

On Martingales in the Limit and Their Classification

Dinh Quang Luu and Tran Quang Vinh

Institute of Mathematics, P. O. Box 631, Bo Ho, Hanoi, Vietnam

Received March 28, 2000

Abstract. Martingales in the limit and mils would be regarded as two important generalizations of martingales. It is known that every L^1 -bounded such a sequence of random variables converges a.s. Recently, the first author of this note has noted that this convergence result still holds for another essentially larger class, that is, the class of quasi-martingales in the limit. The main aim of this note is to give a complete classification of the latter class into an increasing family of subclasses whose smallest element is just the class of mils.

1. Notations and Definitions

In this note, let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) denote a complete probability space, N the set of all positive integers and (\mathcal{A}_n) an increasing sequence of complete sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{A} with $\mathcal{A}_n \uparrow \mathcal{A}$. Given a sub- σ -field \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} we denote by $L^1(\mathcal{B})$ the Banach space of all (equivalence classes of) random variables $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$ which are \mathcal{B} -measurable and $E(|X|) = \int_{\Omega} |X| dP < \infty$.

From now on, we shall consider only sequences (X_n) in $L^1(\mathcal{A})$, which are assumed to be adapted to (\mathcal{A}_n) , i.e., each X_n is \mathcal{A}_n -measurable. For other related notions, the reader is referred to [1]. For our purpose, we need to recall only the following:

Definition 1.1. A sequence (X_n) is said to be

a) a martingale in the limit, if

$$\limsup_n \sup_{m \geq n} |E^n(X_m) - X_n| = 0, \text{ a.s.,}$$

where given $n \in N$ and $X \in L^1(\mathcal{A})$, the function $E^n(X)$ is the conditional expectation of X given \mathcal{A}_n .

b) a mil, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq p$ we have

$$P\left(\sup_{p \leq q \leq n} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > \varepsilon\right) < \varepsilon. \quad (1.1)$$

It is worth noting that martingales in the limit were first introduced and considered by Mucci [4], who proves that every L^1 -bounded martingale in the limit converges, a.s. Later, this result was extended to the Banach space-valued case by Peligrad [5], under another additional condition. Next, by the upcrossing method, Talagrand [6] introduced the notion of mil, essentially more general than martingales in the limit and proves that every L^1 -bounded mil in a Banach space can be written in the unique form:

$$X_n = M_n + P_n,$$

where (M_n) is (rather) a uniformly integrable martingale and (P_n) goes to zero a.s. But it is not completely satisfactory. Recently, to answer the interesting conjecture posed in [3], we have introduced the following:

Definition 1.2. A sequence (X_n) is called a quasi-martingale in the limit (shortly, a quasi-mil), if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m \geq p$ there exists $p_m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p_m \geq m$ such that for all $n \geq p_m$, we have

$$P\left(\sup_{p \leq q \leq m} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > \varepsilon\right) < \varepsilon. \quad (1.2)$$

It is clear that by definition, every mil is a quasi-mil. In reality, the conjecture given in [2] says that there exists a real-valued quasi-mil which is not a mil. Unfortunately, we could not prove earlier the conjecture until 1997 when we luckily found a sequence of “locally dependent” random variables which justifies the truth of the conjecture. Further, we noted in [2] that together with a stopping time technique the upcrossing Doob’s method would also be applied to get the above decomposition for L^1 -bounded quasi-mils in Banach spaces. Thus, this note would be regarded as a natural continuation of the above mentioned considerations. Namely, as the main result we shall give in the next section a complete classification of the class of quasi-mils into an increasing family of subclasses for which the smallest element is just the class of mils.

2. Main Results

Since the first example of a quasi-mil which is not a mil, given in [2] was constructed in a purely nonatomic probability space, it would be useful to know such another example in a purely atomic situation. This suggests us to the following:

Example 2.1. There exists an L^1 -bounded quasi-mil in a purely atomic probability space which converges to zero a.s. But it is not a mil.

Construction: Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$. We take $Q_1 = \{[0, \frac{1}{4}]\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 = \sigma - (Q_1)$. For $n \geq 2$, let $a_n = \sum_{j=2}^n 2^{-j}$; $Q_n = \{Q_{n-1}, [a_n, a_{n+1}]\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_n = \sigma - (Q_n)$. Then the chosen stochastic basis (\mathcal{A}_n) increases to a σ -field, denoted by \mathcal{A} . It is clear that \mathcal{A} is purely atomic and the only one of its atoms, i.e., the interval $[\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ does not belong to any \mathcal{A}_n . Now we can construct the desired sequence (X_n) as follows: For $n \leq 2$, set $X_n \equiv 0$. Given $n \geq 3$ we choose

$$X_n = 2^n(1 - a_{n-1}) - 2^{n+1}(1 - a_{n-1})I_{[a_n, a_{n+1})}. \tag{2.1}$$

where I_A is the characteristic function of $A \in \mathcal{A}$. It is easily checked that $E(|X_n|) \leq 2a_{n-1}$, $n \in N$ with $a_0 = 0$. Then (X_n) is L^1 -bounded. Further, for $n \geq 3$ we have

$$E^{n-1}(X_n) = 2^n(1 - a_{n-1})I_{[a_{n-1}, a_n)} - \frac{1 - a_{n-1}}{1 - a_n}I_{[a_n, 1)}.$$

It follows that

$$E^q(X_n) = 0, \quad q \leq n - 2. \tag{2.2}$$

The fact that (X_n) converges to zero a.s., is evident. It implies that for any but fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $p \in N$ such that

$$P\left(\sup_{p \leq q < \infty} |X_q| > \varepsilon\right) < \varepsilon.$$

This together with (2.2) implies that for any $m \geq p$ and $n \geq p_m = m + 2$ we have

$$P\left(\sup_{p \leq q \leq m} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > \varepsilon\right) = P\left(\sup_{p \leq q \leq m} |X_q| > \varepsilon\right) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus by Definition 1.2, (X_n) is a quasi-mil. However, for every $n \geq 3$ we also have

$$P(|E^{n-1}(X_n)| > \frac{1}{2}) \geq P(\{E^{n-1}(X_n) \neq 0\} \cap \{X_{n-1} = 0\}) = 1 - a_n > \frac{1}{2}.$$

It follows that (X_n) cannot be a mil. This completes the construction.

In order to establish a classification of quasi-mils, let G denote the set of all nondecreasing functions $f : N \rightarrow N$. For $f, g \in G$, let define $f = ' g$ if and only if $\text{card}(\{f \neq g\}) < \infty$ and $f < ' g$ if and only if $\text{card}(\{f > g\}) < \infty$ and $\text{card}(\{f < g\}) = \infty$. Then endowed with the partial order " \leq ", G becomes a directed set. Thus the proof of the first part of the next main result is contained in the following characterization.

Theorem 2.2. *A sequence (X_n) is a quasi-mil if and only if there exists some $g \in G$ such that (X_n) is a mil of size g , i.e., for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $p \in N$ such that for all $m, n \in N$ with $p \leq m < m + g(m) \leq n$, we have*

$$P\left(\sup_{p \leq q \leq m} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > \varepsilon\right) < \varepsilon. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, (X_n) is a mil if and only if it is a mil of size 1.

Proof. To prove the general equivalence, let (X_n) be a quasi-mil. Then by Definition 1.2, one can find a strictly increasing sequence $(p(n))$ such that for every $k \in N$, there exists a sequence $(p_n(k))$ with each $p_n(k) \geq p(k)$ such that if $n \geq p_n(k) \geq m \geq p(k)$, then we have

$$P\left(\sup_{p(k) \leq q \leq m} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > 2^{-k}\right) < 2^{-k}. \tag{2.4}$$

Now let define the function $g : N \rightarrow N$ as follows: For $m < p(1)$, set $g(m) =$

$$1. \text{ For } p(k) \leq m < p(k+1) \text{ with some } k \in N, \text{ set } g(m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^k p_i(j) - m.$$

Then $g \in G$. We shall show that (X_n) is a mil of size g . To check this, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $2^{-k} < \varepsilon$ with some $k \in N$. Then for all $n, m \in N$ with $m \geq p(k)$ and $n \geq m + g(m)$, by (2.4) we have

$$P\left(\sup_{p(k) \leq q \leq m} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > \varepsilon\right) \leq P\left(\sup_{p(k) \leq q \leq m} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > 2^{-k}\right) < 2^{-k} < \varepsilon,$$

since $n \geq p_n(k)$. Therefore, by definition, (X_n) is a mil of size g . Conversely, suppose that (X_n) is a mil of size g for some $g \in G$. Then by taking each $p_m = g(m) + m$, $m \in N$ we infer that the sequence (p_n) does not depend on ε and satisfies Definition 1.2 automatically. It means that (X_n) is a quasi-mil. This completes the proof of the first equivalence.

For the particular case, suppose first that (X_n) is a mil. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $p, m, n \in N$ with $m \geq p$ and $n \geq m + 1$ we have

$$P\left(\sup_{p \leq q \leq m} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > \varepsilon\right) \leq P\left(\sup_{p \leq q \leq n} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > \varepsilon\right).$$

This together with (1.1) implies (2.3). Thus by definition, (X_n) is a mil of size 1. Conversely assume that (X_n) is a mil of size 1. Then by definition, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $p \in N$ such that if $m, n \in N$ with $m \geq p$ and $n \geq m + 1$, (2.3) is satisfied. Consequently, given $n > p$, by taking $m = n - 1$, we get

$$P\left(\sup_{p \leq q \leq n} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > \varepsilon\right) \leq P\left(\sup_{p \leq q \leq m} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| > \varepsilon\right) < \varepsilon.$$

It means that (X_n) is a mil, noting that for the case when $n = p$, (1.1) is always true. The proof of the theorem is complete. ■

Now we are able to formulate our main result as follows

Theorem 2.3. *When g runs over the directed set (G, \leq') , the set of all quasi-mils is classified into the increasing family of the subclasses of mils of size g for which the smallest element, i.e., the subclass of mils of size 1, is just the class of mils. Furthermore, if $f, g \in G$ with $f <' g$, the class of mils of size f is strictly contained in the class of mils of size g .*

Proof. Clearly, the first part of the classification is contained in the previous theorem. Before proving the second part, we define first for any $h \in G$ the following:

$$\begin{aligned} a_m(h) &= m + h(m), \quad m \in N, \\ b_n(h) &= \max\{m : a_m(h) \leq n\}, \quad n \geq a_1(h). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Then it is clear that

$$b_n(h) + h[b_n(h)] \leq n; \quad n \geq a_1(h). \tag{2.6}$$

Furthermore, since $h \in G$, the sequence $(a_m(h))$ strictly increases to infinity when m runs to infinity. This implies that

$$b_n(h) = m \text{ if and only if } a_m(h) \leq n < a_{m+1}(h). \tag{2.7}$$

Consequently,

$$b_{a_m(h)}(h) = m; \quad m \in N. \tag{2.8}$$

Now let $f, g \in G$ with $f < g$. We shall prove the second part of the theorem by constructing a mil (X_n) of size g which is not a mil of size f . Indeed, let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be the usual Lebesgue probability space on $[0, 1)$. Given $n \in N$, let $a_n = \prod_{j=1}^n 2^j$, Q_n the partition of $[0, 1)$ in intervals of equal length and \mathcal{A}_n the σ -field generated by Q_n . Let denote by k the first element $m \in N$ such that $g(m) > f(m)$ and $f(s) \leq g(s)$, $s \geq m$. Then, in particular, $g(k) \geq 2$. With all the additional notations we can define the desired sequence (X_n) as follows: For $n < a_k(g)$ set $X_n \equiv 0$. For any fixed $n \geq a_k(g)$, we define

$$X_n = \frac{a_n}{a_{b_n(g)+1}} \text{ or } X_n = -\frac{a_n}{a_{b_n(g)+1}}, \text{ resp.}$$

on the first interval of Q_n which is contained in the $(2p - 1)$ -th or $2p$ -th interval of $Q_{b_n(g)+1}$, resp., with $1 \leq p \leq a_{b_n(g)+1}/2$. Then by the definition and (2.7) we have

$$b_n(g) \geq b_{a_k(g)}(g) = k, \quad n \geq a_k(g).$$

Consequently, for $n \geq a_k(g)$ we have $g[b_n(g)] \geq g(k) \geq 2$ since g is nondecreasing. This remark together with (2.6) guarantees that

$$n \geq b_n(g) + g[b_n(g)] \geq b_n(g) + 2, \quad n \geq a_k(g).$$

Therefore,

$$P(\{X_n \neq 0\}) = \frac{a_{b_n(g)+1}}{a_n} = \prod_{j=b_n(g)+2}^n 2^{-j} \leq 2^{-n}. \tag{2.9}$$

This proves that

$$\text{the sequence } (X_n) \text{ converges to zero a.s.} \tag{2.10}$$

Further, for all $n \geq a_k(g)$ and $r = b_n(g) + 1$, also by the same construction we have

$$E^r(X_n) = 1 \text{ or } E^r(X_n) = -1, \text{ resp.}, \tag{2.11}$$

on the $(2p - 1)$ -th or $2p$ -th interval of $Q_{b_n(g)+1}$ resp., with $1 \leq p \leq a_{b_n(g)+1}/2$. Consequently, for all $m \geq a_k(g)$, $n \geq m + g(m)$, we have $E^q(X_n) \equiv 0$ for all $q \leq m$ since, by (2.5), $m \leq b_n(g)$. This remark proves that

$$\sup_{a_k(g) \leq q \leq m} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| = \sup_{a_k(g) \leq q \leq m} |X_q| \text{ a.s.}$$

Thus by the property (2.10), the sequence (X_n) must be a mil of size g . To see that it is not a mil of size f , we set

$$V = \{v \geq a_k(g), a_v(f) < a_v(g)\}.$$

Then by (2.5),

$$V = \{v \geq a_k(g), f(v) < g(v)\}.$$

This together with the assumption that $f < g$ implies that $\text{card}(V) = \infty$. But by (2.8), we have

$$b_{a_v(g)}(g) = v = b_{a_v(f)}(f), \quad v \in V.$$

It follows that

$$r = b_{a_v(f)}(g) < v, \quad v \in V \tag{2.12}$$

since by (2.7), $a_v(g)$ is the smallest $n \in N$ such that $b_n(g) = v$, while $a_v(f) < a_v(g)$. Thus, given $p \geq a_k(g)$, $m = v \geq p$, $n = m + f(m) = a_v(f)$, by (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{p \leq q \leq m} |E^q(X_n) - X_q| = 1\right) &\geq P(|E^{r+1}(X_n) - X_r| = 1) \\ &\geq P(\{|E^{r+1}(X_n)| = 1\} \cap \{X_r \neq 0\}) \geq 1 - 2^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that (X_n) cannot be a mil of size f . This completes the construction. ■

References

1. G. A. Edgar and L. Sucheston, Stopping times and Directed Processes, *Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, **47**, 1992.
2. D. Q. Luu, Further decomposition and convergence theorems for Banach space-valued martingale-like sequences, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Ser. Math.* **4** (1997) 419–428.
3. D. Q. Luu and N. H. Hai, Decompositions and limits for two-parameter martingale-like sequences, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **38** (1993) 243–251.
4. A. G. Mucci, Another martingale convergence theorem, *Pacific J. Math.* **64** (1976) 539–541.
5. M. Peligrad, A limit theorem for martingale-like sequence, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **21** (1976) 733–736.
6. M. Talagrand, Some structure results for martingales in the limit and pramarts, *Ann. Prob.* **13** (1985) 1192–1203.