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## 1. Introduction

Let $P_{k}:=\mathbf{F}_{2}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right]$ be the polynomial algebra over $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ in $k$ variables, each of degree 1. The general linear group $G L_{k}:=G L\left(k, \mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ acts on $P_{k}$ in the usual manner. Dickson proves in [1] that the ring of invariants, $D_{k}:=\left(P_{k}\right)^{G L_{k}}$, is also a polynomial algebra $D_{k} \cong \mathbf{F}_{2}\left[Q_{k, k-1}, \ldots, Q_{k, 0}\right]$, where $Q_{k, s}$ denotes the Dickson invariant of degree $2^{k}-2^{s}$. It can be defined by the inductive formula

$$
Q_{k, s}=Q_{k-1, s-1}^{2}+V_{k} \cdot Q_{k-1, s}
$$

where, by convention, $Q_{k, k}=1, Q_{k, s}=0$ for $s<0$ and

$$
V_{k}=\prod_{\lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{F}_{2}}\left(\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{k-1} x_{k-1}+x_{k}\right)
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the $\bmod 2$ Steenrod algebra. The usual action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $P_{k}$ commutes with that of $G L_{k}$. So $D_{k}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-module. One of the authors has been interested in the homomorphism

$$
j_{k}: \mathbf{F}_{2} \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\otimes}\left(P_{k}\right)^{G L_{k}} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{F}_{2} \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\otimes} P_{k}\right)^{G L_{k}}
$$

which is induced by the identity map on $P_{k}$ (see [3]). Observing that $j_{1}$ is an isomorphism and $j_{2}$ is a monomorphism, he sets up the following

Conjecture 1.1. [3] $j_{k}=0$ in positive degrees for $k>2$.

[^0]Let $D_{k}^{+}$and $\mathcal{A}^{+}$denote, respectively, the submodules of $D_{k}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all elements of positive degree. Then Conjecture 1.1 is equivalent to $D_{k}^{+} \subset \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k}$ for $k>2$ (see [3]). In other words, it predicts that every $G L_{k^{-}}$ invariant polynomial is hit by the Steenrod algebra acting on $P_{k}$ for $k>2$.

In [3], one of the authors proves the equivalence of Conjecture 1.1 and a weak algebraic version of the conjecture on spherical classes stating that: There are no spherical classes in $Q_{0} S^{0}$ except the elements of Hopf invariant one and those of Kervaire invariant one. He also gives two proofs of Conjecture 1.1 for the case of $k=3$. The fact that $j_{k} \neq 0$ for $k=1$ and 2 is, respectively, an exposition of the exsitence of Hopf invariant one and Kervaire invariant one classes. In this paper, we establish this conjecture for every $k>2$. We have

Main Theorem. $D_{k}^{+} \subset \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k}$ for $k>2$.
Recently, F. Peterson and R. Wood privately informed us that they had optained a proof of this theorem for $k=4$ and probably for $k=5$. The readers are referred to [5] and [6] for some problems, which are closely related to the Main Theorem. They are also referred to F. Peterson [7], R. Wood [11], W. Singer [9], S. Priddy [8] for other approaches to the hit problem from several classical ones in Homotopy theory.

This note contains three sections. Sec. 2 is a preparation on the action of the Steenrod squares on the Dickson algebra. In Sec. 3, we express an outline of the proof of the Main Theorem.

## 2. Preliminaries

The action of the Steenrod operations on $D_{k}$ is explicitly described as follows.
Theorem 2.1. [2]

$$
S q^{i}\left(Q_{k, s}\right)= \begin{cases}Q_{k, r} & \text { for } i=2^{s}-2^{r}, r \leq s \\ Q_{k, r} Q_{k, t} & \text { for } i=2^{k}-2^{t}+2^{s}-2^{r}, r \leq s<t \\ Q_{k, s}^{2} & \text { for } i=2^{k}-2^{s} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

From now on, we denote $Q_{k, s}$ by $Q_{s}$ for brevity.
Let $I_{n}(n \geq 0)$ be the right ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by the operations $S q^{2^{i}}$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$.

Definition 2.2. Suppose $R_{1}, R_{2} \in P_{k}$. Then we write $R_{1} \equiv R_{2}\left(\bmod I_{n}\right)$ if $R_{1}+R_{2}$ belongs to $I_{n} \cdot P_{k}$. By convention, $R_{1} \equiv R_{2}\left(\bmod I_{n}\right)$ means $R_{1}=R_{2}$ for $n<0$.

This is an equivalence relation. We have

Lemma 2.3. Let $k>1$ and suppose $S$ is a non-empty subset of $\{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ such that $1 \notin S$. Then

$$
Q R^{2} \equiv 0\left(\bmod I_{0}\right)
$$

where $Q=\prod_{s \in S} Q_{s}$ and $R$ is an arbitrary polynomial in $P_{k}$.

## 3. Outline of Proof of the Main Theorem

Let $Q$ be a non-zero Dickson monomial. If $Q \neq 1$, it can be written as

$$
Q=\prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} A_{i}^{2^{i}}
$$

where $n$ is some non-negative integer and $A_{i}$ is some Dickson monomial dividing $\prod_{0<s<k} Q_{s}$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$ with $A_{n} \neq 1$.

Indeed, suppose $Q=\prod_{0<s<k} Q_{s}^{\alpha_{s}}$. Since $Q \neq 1$, there exists at least one $\alpha_{s} \neq 0$. Consider the 2-adic expansions of all the non-zero $\alpha_{s}$ 's:

$$
\alpha_{s}=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n(s)} \alpha_{s i} 2^{i}
$$

where $\alpha_{s n(s)}=1$. Now denoting

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & :=\max _{\substack{\alpha_{s} \neq 0 \\
0 \leq \leq<0}} n(s), \\
\alpha_{s i} & :=0 \text { if } n(s)<i \leq n(0 \leq s<k), \\
A_{i} & :=\prod_{0 \leq s<k} Q_{s}^{\alpha_{s i}}(0 \leq i \leq n),
\end{aligned}
$$

one can easily check that $Q=\prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} A_{i}^{2^{2}}$ and each $A_{i}$ divides $\prod_{0 \leq s<k} Q_{s}$. Moreover, there exists an integer $r$ such that $0 \leq r<k, \alpha_{r} \neq 0$, and $n=n(r)$. Then $A_{n}=\prod_{0 \leq s<k} Q_{s}^{\alpha_{s n}}$ is divisible by $Q_{r}^{\alpha_{r n}}=Q_{r}^{\alpha_{r n(r)}}=Q_{r}$, so $A_{n} \neq 1$.

## Definition 3.1.

(i) We call $n$ the height of $Q$. The monomial $A_{i}^{2^{i}}=A_{i}(Q)^{2^{i}}$ is called the $i$-th cut of $Q$. It is said to be full if $A_{i}$ is divisible by $\prod_{0<s<k} Q_{s}$. The monomial $Q$ is called full if its cuts are all full.
(ii) A Dickson monomial is called a based cut if it is the 0 th cut of some $Q \neq 0$ and $\neq 1$.

The Main Theorem is proved by means of the following two lemmata.
Lemma 3.2. Let $k>2$ and suppose $R$ is an arbitrary polynomial in $P_{k}$.
(a) If $Q=\prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} A_{i}^{2^{i}} \neq 1$ and it is not full, then $Q R^{2^{n+1}} \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k}$.
(b) If $Q=\prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} A_{i}^{2^{i}}$ is full, then $Q S q^{2^{m+n+1}}\left(R^{2^{n+1}}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k}$ for $0 \leq m$ $<k-1$.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose $k>2$. If $A$ is a full based cut, then $A \equiv 0\left(\bmod I_{1}\right)$.
Proof of the Main Theorem. Suppose $Q=\prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} A_{i}^{2^{i}}$ is a Dickson monomial with $A_{n} \neq 1$.

If $Q$ is not full, then applying Lemma 3.2(a) with $R=1$, one gets $Q \in \mathcal{A}^{+} . P_{k}$.

If $Q$ is full and $n=0$, then $Q$ is the full based cut of itself. So using Lemma 3.3, one obtains $Q \equiv 0\left(\bmod I_{1}\right)$. In particular, $Q \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k}$.

If $Q$ is full and $n>0$, then $A_{n}$ is the full based cut of itself. By Lemma 3.3, one has $A_{n}=S q^{1}\left(R_{1}\right)+S q^{2}\left(R_{2}\right)$, with some $R_{1}, R_{2} \in P_{k}$. Noting that $Q^{\prime}=\prod_{0 \leq i<n} A_{i}^{2^{i}}$ is also full with the height $n-1$, one can apply Lemma 3.2(b) to it and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{\prime} S q^{2^{n}}\left(R_{1}^{2^{n}}\right) & =\prod_{0 \leq i<n} A_{i}^{2^{i}} S q^{2^{n}}\left(R_{1}^{2^{n}}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k} \\
Q^{\prime} S q^{2^{n+1}}\left(R_{2}^{2^{n}}\right) & =\prod_{0 \leq i<n} A_{i}^{2^{i}} S q^{2^{n+1}}\left(R_{2}^{2^{n}}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

(It should be noted that $1<k-1$.) Therefore,

$$
Q=\prod_{0 \leq i<n} A_{i}^{2^{i}} \cdot A_{n}^{2^{n}}=\prod_{0 \leq i<n} A_{i}^{2^{i}}\left[S q^{2^{n}}\left(R_{1}^{2^{n}}\right)+S q^{2^{n+1}}\left(R_{2}^{2^{n}}\right)\right] \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k}
$$

The proof is complete.
Outline of Proof of Lemma 3.2. The proof is divided into two steps.
Step 1: If Lemma 3.2(a) is true for every $n \leq N$, then so is Lemma 3.2(b) for every $n \leq N$.

Indeed, suppose $Q=\prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} A_{i}^{2^{i}}$ (with $n \leq N$ ) is full and $m$ satisfies $0 \leq$ $m<k-1$. One needs to prove $Q S q^{2^{m+n+1}}\left(R^{2^{n+1}}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k}$, where $R \in P_{k}$. By the Cartan formula, one gets

$$
Q S q^{2^{m+n+1}}\left(R^{2^{n+1}}\right) \equiv \sum_{0<j \leq 2^{m}} S q^{2^{n+1} j}(Q) R_{j}^{2^{n+1}}\left(\bmod \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k}\right)
$$

where $R_{j}:=S q^{2^{m}-j}(R)$ for $j=1, \ldots, 2^{m}$.
Let $B=\prod_{0 \leq i \leq p} B_{i}^{2^{i}}$ be an arbitrary Dickson monomial of $S q^{2^{n+1} j}(Q)$, with $B_{i}^{2^{i}}$ the $i$ th cut of $B$. Note that $p \geq n$. If $\prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} B_{i}^{2^{i}}=1$, then $p>n$, so we get $B R_{j}^{2^{n+1}}=\left(\prod_{0 \leq i \leq p} B_{i}^{2^{i-1}} R_{j}^{2^{n}}\right)^{2} \equiv 0\left(\bmod I_{0}\right)$. If $\prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} B_{i}^{2^{i}} \neq 1$, then it is not full. So we can choose an integer $q$ such that $B_{q} \neq \overline{1}(0 \leq q \leq n \leq N)$ and $\prod_{0 \leq i \leq q} B_{i}^{2^{i}}$ is not full. Applying Lemma 3.2 (a) to $\prod_{0 \leq i \leq q} B_{i}^{2^{i}}$, we obtain

$$
B R_{j}^{2^{n+1}}=\prod_{0 \leq i \leq q} B_{i}^{2^{i}}\left(\prod_{q<i \leq p} B_{i}^{2^{i-q-1}} R_{j}^{2^{n-q}}\right)^{2^{q+1}} \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdot P_{k}
$$

Therefore, Step 1 is shown.
Step 2: Lemma 3.2(a) holds for every non-negative integer $n$.
Let $q=q(Q)$ be the smallest integer so that $A_{q}$ is not full $(0 \leq q \leq n)$. Suppose $s$ is the smallest integer with $0<s<k$ such that $Q_{s} \nmid A_{q}$.

Using Step 1, we prove Lemma 3.2(a) by induction on $n$ and for a fixed $n$ by induction on $s$.

Outline of Proof of Lemma 3.3. Note that to prove Lemma 3.3, it suffices to show

$$
Q_{2} Q_{1} \equiv 0\left(\bmod I_{1}\right)
$$

Let $R_{1}:=\sum_{s y m} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}^{8} \cdots x_{k}^{2^{k-1}}$, where $\sum_{s y m}$ denotes the sum of all symmetrized terms in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Using Theorem 2.2 of [4], one can show that

$$
Q_{2} Q_{1} \equiv\left[S q^{2}\left(R_{1}\right)\right]^{2}\left(\bmod I_{1}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod I_{1}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.3 is proved.
The result of this note will be published in detail elsewhere.
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