

## Left $\aleph$ -Coherent Dimension of Rings\*

Zhongkui Liu

Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University  
Lanzhou, Gansu 730070, China

Received December 31, 1997

Revised May 29, 1998

**Abstract.** Let  $\aleph$  be an infinite cardinal number. A ring  $R$  is said to be left  $\aleph$ -coherent if every finitely generated left ideal of  $R$  is  $\aleph$ -finitely presented. In this paper, we define a dimension called the *left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension* for a ring  $R$ . We show that a ring  $R$  is left  $\aleph$ -coherent if and only if the left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension of  $R$  is equal to zero. Some characterizations of this dimension are given. We also show that if a ring  $S$  is an excellent extension of a ring  $R$ , then the left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension of  $S$  is equal to that of  $R$ .

### 1. Introduction

A ring  $R$  is called left coherent if every finitely generated left ideal is finitely presented. It is well known that  $R$  is left coherent if and only if every finitely presented left  $R$ -module  $M$  has a finite 2-presentation in the sense of Bourbaki [3], that is, there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  such that  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  are finitely generated free left  $R$ -modules and  $K_2$  is finitely generated (see, for example, [7] or [9]). In [13], the concept of left  $\aleph$ -coherent rings was introduced and investigated for any infinite cardinal number  $\aleph$ . A ring  $R$  is said to be left  $\aleph$ -coherent if every finitely generated left ideal is  $\aleph$ -finitely presented.

In this paper, using the concepts of finite  $n$ -presentations, as defined in [3], and  $\aleph$ -finite  $n$ -presentations, we define a dimension called the *left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension* for a ring  $R$ . We show that a ring  $R$  is left  $\aleph$ -coherent if and only if the left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension of  $R$  is equal to zero. Thus, the left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension can be used to measure how far a ring is from being left  $\aleph$ -coherent. In Sec. 2, we give the definition and show some characterizations of this dimension. In Sec. 3, we show that if  $S$  is an excellent extension of  $R$ , then the left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension of  $S$  is equal to that of  $R$ . Take the special infinite cardinal number  $\aleph$ , we obtain some results for left coherent dimension of  $R$ .

---

\*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, 19501007 and the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu, ZQ-96-01.

Throughout this paper,  $R$  denotes an associative ring with identity. For any left  $R$ -module  $M$ , we denote by  $M^+$  the character module  $Hom_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$  of  $M$ . If  $N_R$  is a submodule of  $M_R$ , the notation  $N_R | M_R$  means that  $N_R$  is a summand of  $M_R$ .

## 2. Definition and Characterizations

Let  $\aleph$  be an infinite cardinal number and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module. Following Loustaunau [13],  $M$  is said to be  $\aleph$ -finitely generated, denoted by  $\aleph$ -fg, if every subset  $X$  of  $M$ , with  $|X| < \aleph$ , is contained in a finitely generated submodule of  $M$ . For example, every left  $R$ -module is  $\aleph_0$ -fg, and every finitely generated left  $R$ -module is  $\aleph$ -fg for all  $\aleph > \aleph_0$ . If  $\aleph > |M|$  and  $M$  is  $\aleph$ -fg, then  $M$  is finitely generated.

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated left  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is said to be  $\aleph$ -finitely presented, denoted by  $\aleph$ -fp, if there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  with  $F$  free of finite rank and  $K$   $\aleph$ -fg. A ring  $R$  is said to be left  $\aleph$ -coherent if every finitely generated left ideal is  $\aleph$ -fp. For example, every ring is left  $\aleph_0$ -coherent. If  $R$  is left coherent, then it is left  $\aleph$ -coherent for all infinite cardinal number  $\aleph$ . If  $\aleph > \aleph'$ , then every left  $\aleph$ -coherent ring is left  $\aleph'$ -coherent.

Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. According to [3], we will say that  $M$  is  $n$ -finitely presented ( $n$ - $\aleph$ -finitely presented), denoted by  $n$ -FP ( $(n, \aleph)$ -FP, respectively), if there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $F_0, \dots, F_{n-1}$  are finitely generated free modules and  $K_n$  is finitely generated ( $\aleph$ -fg, respectively). In this case, we also say that  $M$  has a finite  $n$ -presentation ( $\aleph$ -finite  $n$ -presentation, respectively).

It is easy to see that a left  $R$ -module  $M$  is finitely presented if and only if  $M$  is 1-FP, and that  $M$  is  $\aleph$ -FP if and only if  $M$  is  $(1, \aleph)$ -FP. Clearly,  $(n+1)$ -FP implies  $(n+1, \aleph)$ -FP and  $(n+1, \aleph)$ -FP implies  $n$ -FP, but not conversely.

From Theorem 3.3 in [9], it is clear that  $R$  is left coherent if and only if every 1-FP left  $R$ -module is 2-FP. Generalizing this result, we give the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring. We define the left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension of  $R$ , denoted by  $\aleph$ -lc.dim  $R$ , as

$$\inf\{n \mid \text{every } (n+1)\text{-FP left } R\text{-module is } (n+2, \aleph)\text{-FP}\}.$$

If no such  $n$  exists, we say that  $\aleph$ -lc.dim  $R = \infty$ .

Take  $\aleph > |R|^{\aleph_0}$ . For every  $\aleph$ -fg left  $R$ -module  $K$  with  $K \leq F$  for some free left  $R$ -modules  $F$  of finite rank, we have  $|K| \leq |F| \leq |R|^{\aleph_0} < \aleph$ . This implies that  $K$  is finitely generated. Thus, when  $\aleph > |R|^{\aleph_0}$ , Definition 2.1 gives a concept of left coherent dimension, denoted by lc.dim  $R$ , that is,

$$\text{lc.dim } R = \inf\{n \mid \text{every } (n+1)\text{-FP left } R\text{-module is } (n+2)\text{-FP}\}.$$

If no such  $n$  exists, we say that lc.dim  $R = \infty$ .

The following result appeared in [13].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $M_1, \dots, M_k$  be left  $R$ -modules. Then  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_i$  is  $\aleph$ -fg if and only if every  $M_i$  is  $\aleph$ -fg.*

**Proposition 2.3.**  *$R$  is left  $\aleph$ -coherent if and only if  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is left  $\aleph$ -coherent. Then, by Theorem 1.6 in [13], every finitely generated submodule of every  $\aleph$ -fp left  $R$ -module is  $\aleph$ -fp. Let  $M$  be a 1-FP left  $R$ -module. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

such that  $F_0$  is free of finite rank and  $K_1$  is finitely generated. Since  $F_0$  is  $\aleph$ -FP, it follows that  $K_1$  is  $\aleph$ -fp. Thus, there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_2 \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

such that  $F_1$  is free of finite rank and  $K_2$  is  $\aleph$ -fg. Now, it is clear that  $M$  is  $(2, \aleph)$ -FP.

Conversely, suppose  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = 0$ . Then every 1-FP left  $R$ -module is  $(2, \aleph)$ -FP. Let  $L$  be a finitely generated left ideal of  $R$ . Then  $R/L$  is 1-FP. Thus,  $R/L$  is  $(2, \aleph)$ -FP. So there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_2 \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow R/L \longrightarrow 0$$

such that  $F_0, F_1$  are free of finite rank and  $K_2$  is  $\aleph$ -fg. Take an exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$  such that  $F$  is free of finite rank. Then by the Schanuel' lemma,  $H \oplus F_1 \oplus R \simeq K_2 \oplus F \oplus F_0$ . Now, by Lemma 2.2, it follows that  $H$  is  $\aleph$ -fg, which implies that  $L$  is  $\aleph$ -fp. Thus,  $R$  is left  $\aleph$ -coherent.

Because of this proposition, we may regard our left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension as a measure of how far a ring  $R$  is from being left  $\aleph$ -coherent. Since a ring  $R$  is left coherent if and only if every 1-FP left  $R$ -module is 2-FP, it follows that when  $\aleph > |R|^{\aleph_0}$ , we may regard the left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension as a measure of how far a ring  $R$  is from being left coherent. ■

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module and  $\aleph$  an infinite cardinal number. Then  $M$  is  $n$ -FP  $((n, \aleph)$ -FP) if and only if there exists an exact sequence:*

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $P_0, \dots, P_{n-1}$  are finitely generated projective left  $R$ -modules and  $K_n$  is finitely generated ( $\aleph$ -fg, respectively).

*Proof.* By induction for  $n$ , it follows from the Schanuel' lemma and standard techniques. ■

In order to establish some characterization of left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $\text{lc. dim } R = m$ , then for any  $n \geq m$ , every  $(n + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module is  $(n + 2, \aleph)$ -FP.*

*Proof.* Suppose left  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $(m + 2)$ -FP. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{m+2} \longrightarrow F_{m+1} \longrightarrow F_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $F_{m+1}, F_m, \dots, F_0$  are finitely generated free modules and  $K_{m+2}$  is finitely generated. Denote  $K_1 = \text{Ker}(F_0 \longrightarrow M)$ . Then  $K_1$  is  $(m + 1)$ -FP. Since  $\text{lc.dim} R = m$ , it follows that  $K_1$  is  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP. Thus, there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{m+2} \longrightarrow G_{m+1} \longrightarrow G_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $G_{m+1}, G_m, \dots, G_0$  are finitely generated free modules and  $H_{m+2}$  is  $\aleph$ -finitely generated. Therefore,  $M$  is  $(m + 3, \aleph)$ -FP. ■

Now, the result follows by induction.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $R, S$  be rings. Then*

$$\aleph\text{-lc. dim}(R \oplus S) = \sup(\aleph\text{-lc. dim } R, \aleph\text{-lc. dim } S).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\aleph\text{-lc. dim}(R \oplus S) = k < \infty$ . Let  $A$  be a  $k + 1$ -FP left  $R$ -module. For any left  $R$ -module  $X$ , we can regard  $X$  as an  $(R \oplus S)$ -module by defining  $(r, s)x = rx$ , for  $r \in R, s \in S$ , and  $x \in X$ . Then  $(1, 0)X \simeq X$  as an  $R$ -module. It is well known that  ${}_R X$  is projective if and only if  ${}_{(R \oplus S)} X$  is projective. Thus, by Lemma 2.4, it is easy to see that  $A$  is a  $k + 1$ -FP left  $(R \oplus S)$ -module. Hence,  ${}_{(R \oplus S)} A$  is  $(k + 2, \aleph)$ -FP, that is, there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{m+2} \longrightarrow F_{m+1} \longrightarrow F_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $F_{m+1}, F_m, \dots, F_0$  are finitely generated free  $(R \oplus S)$ -modules and  $K_{m+2}$  is  $\aleph$ -fg. Thus, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow (1, 0)K_{m+2} \longrightarrow (1, 0)F_{m+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (1, 0)F_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $(1, 0)F_{m+1}, (1, 0)F_m, \dots, (1, 0)F_0$  are finitely generated projective left  $R$ -modules and  $(1, 0)K_{m+2}$  is  $\aleph$ -fg. This means that  ${}_R A$  is  $(k + 2, \aleph)$ -FP. Thus,  $\aleph\text{-lc. dim } R \leq k$ . Similarly, we have  $\aleph\text{-lc. dim } S \leq k$ . Thus,  $\sup(\aleph\text{-lc. dim } R, \aleph\text{-lc. dim } S) \leq \aleph\text{-lc. dim}(R \oplus S)$ . If  $\aleph\text{-lc. dim}(R \oplus S) = \infty$ , then clearly  $\sup(\aleph\text{-lc. dim } R, \aleph\text{-lc. dim } S) \leq \aleph\text{-lc. dim}(R \oplus S)$ .

Let  $\aleph\text{-lc. dim } R = m < \infty$  and  $\aleph\text{-lc. dim } S = n < \infty, m \geq n$ . Let  $M$  be an  $m + 1$ -FP left  $(R \oplus S)$ -module. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{m+1} \longrightarrow F_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $F_m, \dots, F_0$  are finitely generated free left  $(R \oplus S)$ -modules and  $K_{m+1}$  is finitely generated left  $(R \oplus S)$ -module. Thus, we have exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow (1, 0)K_{m+1} \longrightarrow (1, 0)F_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (1, 0)F_0 \longrightarrow (1, 0)M \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow (0, 1)K_{m+1} \longrightarrow (0, 1)F_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (0, 1)F_0 \longrightarrow (0, 1)M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $(1, 0)F_m, \dots, (1, 0)F_0$  are finitely generated projective left  $R$ -modules,  $(1, 0)K_{m+1}$  is  $R$ -finitely generated,  $(0, 1)F_m, \dots, (0, 1)F_0$  are finitely generated projective left  $S$ -modules, and  $(0, 1)K_{m+1}$  is  $S$ -finitely generated. By Lemma 2.5, every  $m + 1$ -FP left  $R$ - (left  $S$ -) module is  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP. Thus,  $(1, 0)M, (0, 1)M$  is an  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP left  $R$ -, left  $S$ -, respectively, module. Now, by Lemma 2.4, it is easy to see that  $M$  is an  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP left  $R \oplus S$ -module. Therefore,  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}(R \oplus S) \leq m = \sup(\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R, \aleph\text{-lc.dim}S)$ . If  $\sup(\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R, \aleph\text{-lc.dim}S) = \infty$ , then obviously

$$\aleph\text{-lc.dim}(R \oplus S) \leq \sup(\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R, \aleph\text{-lc.dim}S).$$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $X$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{Ext}_R^n(X, M^+) = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\text{Tor}_n^R(X, M) = 0$ ;
- (3)  $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, X^+) = 0$ .

*Proof.* By standard techniques, we have an isomorphism:

$$\text{Ext}_R^n(X, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\text{Tor}_n^R(X, M), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}).$$

Thus, (1)  $\iff$  (2) follows. The proof of (2)  $\iff$  (3) is similar. ■

Suppose  $I$  is a set and  $\{M_i | i \in I\}$  is a family of right  $R$ -modules. Let  $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ . We define the support of  $x$  as  $\text{supp}(x) = \{i \in I | x_i \neq 0\}$ . For an infinite cardinal number  $\aleph$ , define the  $\aleph$ -product of the  $M_i$ 's as

$$\prod_{i \in I}^{\aleph} M_i = \left\{ x \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i \mid |\text{supp}(x)| < \aleph \right\}.$$

Clearly, one may view the direct sum and the direct product of a family of modules as two special cases of the same object, namely, the  $\aleph$ -product of the family of modules.  $\aleph$ -products of some families of modules have been studied by Loustaunau [13], Dauns [5, 6], Teply [20, 21], and Oyonarte and Torrecillas [15]. The following lemma appeared in [13].

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $\aleph$  be an infinite cardinal number and  $M$  a right  $R$ -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M$  is  $\aleph$ -fp;
- (2) if  $\{L_i | i \in I\}$  is any family of left  $R$ -modules and if  $\phi : M \otimes_R \left( \prod_{i \in I}^{\aleph} L_i \right) \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I}^{\aleph} (M \otimes L_i)$  is defined via  $\phi(m \otimes (x_i)_{i \in I}) = (m \otimes x_i)_{i \in I}$ , then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism;
- (3) if  $I$  is any index set and if  $\phi : M \otimes_R \left( \prod_{i \in I}^{\aleph} R \right) \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I}^{\aleph} M$  is defined via  $\phi(m \otimes (r_i)_{i \in I}) = (mr_i)_{i \in I}$ , then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

We are now ready to give our characterizations of left  $\aleph$ -coherent dimension of rings.



**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $\aleph$  be an infinite cardinal number or an integer  $m \geq 0$ . The following conditions on a ring  $R$  are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\aleph$ -lc.dim $R \leq m$ ;
- (2) if  $(L_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of flat right  $R$ -modules, then  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_{i \in I}^{\aleph} L_i, M) = 0$  for each  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module  $M$ ;
- (3)  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I^{\aleph} R, M) = 0$  for each  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module  $M$  and for every set  $I$ ;
- (4) for each set  $I$ , if  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I^{\aleph} R, N) = 0$  for all  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP left  $R$ -modules  $N$ , then  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I^{\aleph} R, M) = 0$  for all  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -modules  $M$ ;
- (5) if  $X$  is a right  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(X, N^+) = 0$  for all  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP left  $R$ -modules  $N$ , then  $\text{Ext}R^{m+1}(X, M^+) = 0$  for all  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -modules  $M$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2) Suppose  $M$  is  $(m + 1)$ -FP. Then  $M$  is  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP by Lemma 2.5. Thus, there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{m+2} \longrightarrow F_{m+1} \longrightarrow F_m \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $F_{m+1}, F_m, \dots, F_0$  are finitely generated free modules and  $K_{m+2}$  is  $\aleph$ -finitely generated. Denote  $K_{m+1} = \text{Ker}(F_m \rightarrow F_{m-1})$  and  $K_m = \text{Ker}(F_{m-1} \rightarrow F_{m-2})$ . If  $m = 0$ , then take  $K_m = F_{m-1} = M$ . Consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{m+1} \longrightarrow F_m \longrightarrow K_m \longrightarrow 0.$$

We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tor}_1^R(\prod_I^{\aleph} L_i, K_m) & \xrightarrow{f} & (\prod_I^{\aleph} L_i) \otimes K_{m+1} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_I^{\aleph} (L_i \otimes K_{m+1}) \end{array}$$

where  $f$  is a monomorphism. When  $K_{m+2}$  is  $\aleph$ -fg,  $K_{m+1}$  is  $\aleph$ -fp and hence  $\beta$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 2.8. Thus,  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism and hence  $\text{Tor}_1^R(\prod_I^{\aleph} L_i, K_m) = 0$ . Now, it is easy to see that  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I^{\aleph} L_i, M) = 0$ .

The implications (2)  $\implies$  (3) and (3)  $\implies$  (4) are clear.

(4)  $\implies$  (1) Let  $N$  be an  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP left  $R$ -module. By analogy with the proof of (1)  $\implies$  (2), we can obtain  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I^{\aleph} R, N) = 0$  for every set  $I$ . Thus, by (4), it follows that  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I^{\aleph} R, M) = 0$  for all  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -modules  $M$ . In order to complete the proof, it is enough to show that every  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module is  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP.

Let  $M$  be an  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module. If  $m = 0$ , then the result follows from Proposition 2.3 and from the fact that  $R$  is left  $\aleph$ -coherent if and only if every  $\aleph$ -product of any family of copies of  $R$  is flat as a right  $R$ -module (see [13]) since every left  $R$ -module is a direct limit of finitely presented left  $R$ -modules. Now, suppose  $m \geq 1$ . Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{m+1} \longrightarrow F_m \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $F_m, \dots, F_0$  are finitely generated free left  $R$ -modules and  $K_{m+1}$  is finitely generated. Denote  $K_m = \text{Ker}(F_{m-1} \rightarrow F_{m-2})$  (if  $m = 1$ , then set  $K_m = \text{Ker}(F_0 \rightarrow M)$ ). Then  $\text{Tor}_1^R(\prod_I^\aleph R, K_m) \cong \text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I^\aleph R, M) = 0$ . Thus, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & (\prod_I^\aleph R) \otimes K_{m+1} & \longrightarrow & (\prod_I^\aleph R) \otimes F_m & \longrightarrow & (\prod_I^\aleph R) \otimes K_m \\
 \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_I^\aleph K_{m+1} & \longrightarrow & \prod_I^\aleph F_m & \longrightarrow & \prod_I^\aleph K_m
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are isomorphisms by Lemma 2.8 since  $F_m$  and  $K_m$  are finitely presented. Thus,  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism and, hence  $K_{m+1}$  is  $\aleph$ -fp by Lemma 2.8. Now, the result follows.

(1)  $\implies$  (5) It follows from Lemma 2.5.

(5)  $\implies$  (3) Let  $N$  be an  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP left  $R$ -module. By analogy with the proof of (1)  $\implies$  (2), we can obtain

$$\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R\left(\prod_I^\aleph R, N\right) = 0,$$

or every set  $I$ . Thus,  $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(\prod_I^\aleph R, N^+) = 0$  by Lemma 2.7. From (5), it follows that  $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(\prod_I^\aleph R, M^+) = 0$  for every  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module  $M$ . Now, we have  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I^\aleph R, M) = 0$  for every set  $I$  and every  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module  $M$  by Lemma 2.7. ■

We use  $\text{w.gl.dim}R$  to denote the weak global dimension of ring  $R$ . As a direct consequence of Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.9, we have

**Corollary 2.10.**  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R \leq \text{lc.dim}R \leq \text{w.gl.dim}R$ .

*Example 1.* We remark that  $\text{lc.dim}R$  can be much smaller than  $\text{w.gl.dim}R$ . Take  $R = F[x]$ , the polynomial ring over a field  $F$ . Then  $\text{lc.dim}R = 0$  but  $\text{w.gl.dim}R \neq 0$ .

We also remark that  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R$  can be much smaller than  $\text{lc.dim}R$ . For example, let  $\omega_1$  be the first uncountable ordinal number and  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2[x_\mu \mid \mu \leq \omega_1]$  the commutative polynomial ring with relations  $x_\alpha = x_\alpha x_\beta$  for  $\alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1$  and  $x_\alpha^2 = x_\alpha$  for  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . By [13],  $R$  is  $\aleph_1$ -coherent but not coherent. Thus,  $\aleph_1\text{-lc.dim}R = 0$  but  $\text{lc.dim}R > 0$ .

*Example 2.* Couchot [5] pointed out that there exists a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $\text{w.gl.dim}R \leq 1$  but  $R$  is not semi-hereditary. It is well known that  $R$  is semi-hereditary if and only if  $\text{w.gl.dim}R \leq 1$  and  $R$  is left coherent. Thus, there exists a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $\text{w.gl.dim}R \leq 1$  but  $R$  is not coherent. For these rings, we have  $\text{lc.dim}R = \text{w.gl.dim}R = 1$  by Corollary 2.10. Take  $\aleph > |R|^{\aleph_0}$ . Then  $R$  is not left  $\aleph$ -coherent. Therefore,  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = 1$  by Corollary 2.10 and Proposition 2.3.

According to [7], a left (right)  $R$ -module  $X$  is called 2-FP-injective (2-FP-flat) if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, X) = 0$  ( $\text{Tor}_1^R(X, M) = 0$ ) for each 2-FP left  $R$ -module  $M$ . We will say that a left (right)  $R$ -module  $X$  is called  $(2, \aleph)$ -FP-injective ( $(2, \aleph)$ -FP-flat) if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, X) = 0$  ( $\text{Tor}_1^R(X, M) = 0$ ) for each  $(2, \aleph)$ -FP left  $R$ -module  $M$ . A left  $R$ -module  $X$  is called FP-injective if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, X) = 0$  for each finitely presented left  $R$ -module  $M$ . As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.9 when  $m = 0$ , we have the following result, some parts of which are well known.

**Corollary 2.11.** *The following are equivalent for a ring  $R$ .*

- (1)  $R$  is left  $\aleph$ -coherent.
- (2) The  $\aleph$ -product of any family of flat right  $R$ -modules is flat.
- (3) For every set  $I$ ,  $\prod_I^\aleph R$  is a flat right  $R$ -module.
- (4) For every set  $I$ , if the right  $R$ -module  $\prod_I^\aleph R$  is  $(2, \aleph)$ -FP-flat, then it is flat.
- (5) For every set  $I$ , if  $(\prod_I^\aleph R)^+$  is  $(2, \aleph)$ -FP-injective, then it is FP-injective.

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 1.6 in [13], Theorem 2.9, Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.7, bearing in mind that each left  $R$ -module is a direct limit of finitely presented modules, and that the functor  $\text{Tor}_1^R(X, -)$  preserves direct limits. ■

For special  $\aleph$  (for example,  $\aleph > |R|^{\aleph_0}$ ), we have

**Corollary 2.12.** *(See [9]) For an integer  $m \geq 0$ , the following conditions on a ring  $R$  are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\text{lc.dim} R \leq m$ .
- (2) If  $(L_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of flat right  $R$ -modules, then  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_{i \in I} L_i, M) = 0$  for each  $(m+1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module  $M$ .
- (3)  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I R, M) = 0$  for each  $(m+1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module  $M$  and for every set  $I$ .
- (4) For each set  $I$ , if  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I R, N) = 0$  for all  $(m+2)$ -FP left  $R$ -modules  $N$ , then  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R(\prod_I R, M) = 0$  for all  $(m+1)$ -FP left  $R$ -modules  $M$ .
- (5) If  $X$  is a right  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(X, N^+) = 0$  for all  $(m+2)$ -FP left  $R$ -modules  $N$ , then  $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(X, M^+) = 0$  for all  $(m+1)$ -FP left  $R$ -modules  $M$ .

### 3. Left $\aleph$ -Coherent Dimension of Excellent Extensions

Suppose  $R$  is a subring of the ring  $S$ , and  $R$  and  $S$  have the same identity.

- (1) The ring  $S$  is said to be an excellent extension of  $R$  if
  - (i)  $S$  is a free normalizing extension of  $R$  with a basis that includes 1, that is, there is a finite set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq S$  such that  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $S = Ra_1 + \dots + Ra_n$ ,  $a_i R = Ra_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $S$  is free with basis  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  as both a right and left  $R$ -module, and
  - (ii)  $S$  is right  $R$ -projective, that is, if  $N_S$  is a submodule of  $M_S$ , then  $N_R | M_R$  implies  $N_S | M_S$ .

Excellent extensions were introduced by Passman [17], named by Bonami [2], and recently studied in [10–12, 16, 22]. Examples include finite matrix rings (see [17]), and crossed product  $R * G$  where  $G$  is a finite group with  $|G|^{-1} \in R$  (see [18]).



The following lemma is well known.

**Lemma 3.1.**

(1) Let  $R \longrightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of rings and let there be given modules  $U_R$  and  ${}_S V$ . Assume  ${}_R S$  is a flat left  $R$ -module. Then there exists an isomorphism

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(U, {}_R V) \cong \text{Tor}_n^S(U \otimes_R S, V).$$

(2) Let  $R, S$  be rings and let there be given modules  $U_S, {}_S V_R$ , and  ${}_R W$ . Assume  ${}_S V$  and  $V_R$  are flat. Then there exists an isomorphism

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(U \otimes_S V, W) \cong \text{Tor}_n^S(U, V \otimes_R W).$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $S$  be an excellent extension of  $R$ . Then

$$\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = \aleph\text{-lc.dim}S.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = m < \infty$ . Let  $M$  be an  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $S$ -module. There exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{m+1} \longrightarrow F_m \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow_S M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $F_m, \dots, F_0$  are finitely generated free left  $S$ -modules and  $K_{m+1}$  is finitely generated. Suppose  $K_{m+1}$  is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ . Since  $S$  is an excellent extension of  $R$ , it follows that  ${}_R(K_{m+1})$  is generated by  $y_{ij} = a_i x_j, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p$ . It is easy to see that  ${}_R F_m, \dots, {}_R F_0$  are finitely generated free left  $R$ -modules. Thus,  ${}_R M$  is an  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module. Since  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = m$  and  $S_R$  is flat right  $R$ -module, it follows that

$$\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S, M\right) = 0$$

for every set  $I$  by Theorem 2.9. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\text{Tor}_{m+1}^S\left(\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right) \otimes_R S, M\right) = 0.$$

Define an  $S$ -homomorphism  $f : \left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right) \otimes_R S \longrightarrow \prod_I^{\aleph} S$  via  $f(x \otimes s) = xs$ , where  $s \in S$  and  $x \in \prod_I^{\aleph} S$ . Then we have an exact sequence of right  $S$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(f) \longrightarrow \left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right) \otimes_R S \longrightarrow \prod_I^{\aleph} S \longrightarrow 0.$$

Define an  $R$ -homomorphism  $g : \prod_I^{\aleph} S \longrightarrow \left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right) \otimes_R S$  via  $g(x) = x \otimes 1$ . Then  $fg = 1$ . This means that the exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow (\text{Ker}(f))_R \longrightarrow \left(\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right) \otimes_R S\right)_R \longrightarrow \left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right)_R \longrightarrow 0$  splits. Thus,  $(\text{Ker}(f))_R \mid \left(\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right) \otimes_R S\right)_R$ , which implies that  $(\text{Ker}(f))_S \mid \left(\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right) \otimes_S S\right)$  by the right  $R$ -projectivity of  $S$ . Hence,  $\prod_I^{\aleph} S$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right) \otimes S$ . It now follows that

$$\text{Tor}_{m+1}^S\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S, M\right) = 0,$$

and so  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}S \leq m$  by Theorem 2.9.

If  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = \infty$ , then obviously  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}S \leq \aleph\text{-lc.dim}R$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}S = m < \infty$ . Let  $M$  be an  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{m+1} \longrightarrow F_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $F_m, \dots, F_0$  are finitely generated free left  $R$ -modules and  $K_{m+1}$  is finitely generated. Since  $S_R$  is flat, we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes K_{m+1} \longrightarrow S \otimes F_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S \otimes F_0 \longrightarrow S \otimes M \longrightarrow 0.$$

It is clear that  $S \otimes F_m, \dots, S \otimes F_0$  are finitely generated projective left  $S$ -modules and  $S \otimes K_{m+1}$  is finitely generated. This means that  $S \otimes M$  is an  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $S$ -module by Lemma 2.4. Thus, by Theorem 2.9, we have

$$\text{Tor}_{m+1}^S\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S, S \otimes_R M\right) = 0$$

for every set  $I$ , which implies that  $\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R\left(\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right)_R, M\right) = 0$  by Lemma 3.1. Now, it follows that

$$\text{Tor}_{m+1}^R\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} R, M\right) = 0,$$

since  $\left(\prod_I^{\aleph} S\right)_R \cong \prod_I^{\aleph} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_I^{\aleph} R\right)$ , and hence,  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R \leq m = \aleph\text{-lc.dim}S$  by Theorem 2.9.

If  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}S = \infty$ , then clearly  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R \leq \aleph\text{-lc.dim}S$ . ■

### Corollary 3.3.

- (1)  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = \aleph\text{-lc.dim}(M_n(R))$  for every ring  $R$ , where  $M_n(R)$  is the matrix ring over  $R$ .
- (2) If  $G$  is a finite group such that  $|G|^{-1} \in R$ , then  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = \aleph\text{-lc.dim}(R * G)$ , where  $R * G$  is a crossed product of  $R$  with  $G$ .

Let  $R$  be graded by a finite group  $G$ . The smash product,  $R\#G^*$ , is a free right and left  $R$ -module with basis  $\{p_a | a \in G\}$  and multiplication determined by

$$(rp_a)(sp_b) = rs_{ab^{-1}}p_a,$$

where  $s_{ab^{-1}}$  is the  $ab^{-1}$  component of  $s$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $R$  be graded by a finite group  $G$ , and  $|G|^{-1} \in R$ . Then  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = \aleph\text{-lc.dim}(R\#G^*)$ .

*Proof.* The group  $G$  acts as automorphisms on  $R\#G^*$  with

$$a(rp_a) = rp_{ba},$$

so we may form the skew group ring  $(R\#G^*) * G$ . By [14] or [19], it follows that  $(R\#G^*) * G \cong M_n(R)$ , the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$ , where  $n = |G|$ . Thus, the result follows from Corollary 3.3. ■

Now, we give a generalization of Corollary 3.3(1).

**Proposition 3.5.** *If  $R$  and  $S$  are two equivalent rings, then  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R = \aleph\text{-lc.dim}S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F : R \approx S$  define an equivalence and  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}S = m < \infty$ . Assume  $M$  is an  $(m + 1)$ -FP left  $R$ -module. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{m+1} \longrightarrow H_m \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow H_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

such that  $H_m, \dots, H_0$  are finitely generated free left  $R$ -modules and  $K_{m+1}$  is finitely generated. By [1, pp. 254, 258], we obtain an exact sequence of left  $S$ -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow F(K_{m+1}) \longrightarrow F(H_m) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F(H_0) \longrightarrow F(M) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $F(H_m), \dots, F(H_0)$  are finitely generated projective left  $S$ -modules and  $F(K_{m+1})$  is finitely generated. Thus, by Lemma 2.4,  $F(M)$  is  $(m + 1)$ -FP. Since  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}S = m$ , it follows that  $F(M)$  is  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP. Similar arguments will show that  $M$  is  $(m + 2, \aleph)$ -FP by [1, p. 256] and Lemma 2.4. Thus,  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R \leq m$ . If  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}S = \infty$ , then obviously  $\aleph\text{-lc.dim}R \leq \aleph\text{-lc.dim}S$ . Now, the result follows.

## References

1. F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
2. L. Bonami, *On the Structure of Skew Group Rings*, Algebra Berichte, Vol. 48, Verlag Reinhard Fischer, Munchen, 1984.
3. N. Bourbaki, *Algebre Homologique*, Algebre, Masson, 1980, Chpt. 10.
4. F. Couchot, Example d'anneaux auto-injectifs, *Comm. Alg.* **10** (1982) 339–360.
5. J. Dauns, Subdirect products of injectives, *Comm. Alg.* **17**(1) (1989) 179–196.
6. J. Dauns, Uniform dimensions and subdirect products, *Pacific J. Math.* **126** (1987) 1–19.
7. N.R. Gonzalez, On relative coherence and applications, *Comm. Alg.* **21** (1993) 1529–1542.
8. J.M. Hernandez,  $\lambda$ -Dimension de Anillos Respecto de Radicales Idempotentes, Publicaciones del Departamento de Algebra y Fundamentos, Universidad de Murcia, 1982.
9. M.F. Jones, Coherence relative to an hereditary torsion theory, *Comm. Alg.* **10** (1982) 719–739.
10. Z. Liu, Excellent extensions of rings, *Acta Math. Sinica* **34** (1991) 818–824 (Chinese).
11. Z. Liu, Excellent extensions and homological dimensions, *Comm. Alg.* **22** (1994) 1741–1745.
12. Z. Liu, Rings with flat left socle, *Comm. Alg.* **23** (1995) 1645–1656.
13. P. Loustaunau, Large subdirect products of projective modules, *Comm. Alg.* **17**(1) (1989) 197–215.
14. C. Nastasescu and N. Rodino, Localization on graded modules, relative Maschke's theorem and applications, *Comm. Alg.* **18** (1990) 811–832.
15. L. Oyonarte and B. Torrecillas, Large subdirect products of flat modules, *Comm. Alg.* **24**(4) (1996) 1389–1407.
16. M.M. Parmenter and P.N. Stewart, Excellent extensions, *Comm. Alg.* **16** (1988) 703–713.
17. D.S. Passman, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1977.
18. D.S. Passman, It's essentially Maschke's theorem, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **13** (1983) 37–54.
19. D. Quinn, Group graded rings and duality, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **292** (1985) 154–167.
20. M.L. Teply, *Large Subdirect Products*, Proceedings of the International Conference of Ring Theory, Granada. Spain, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1328, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, pp. 283–304.
21. M.L. Teply, *Semicocritical Modules*, University of Murcia Press, Murcia, 1987.
22. Y. Xiao, SF-rings and excellent extensions, *Comm. Alg.* **22** (1994) 2463–2471.