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Abstract. We study the following nonlinear boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{-1}{x^{\gamma}} \cdot \frac{d}{d x}\left(x^{\gamma} \cdot u^{\prime}(x)\right)+f(x, u(x))=F(x), 0<x<1  \tag{*}\\
& \left|\lim _{x \rightarrow 0_{+}} x^{\gamma / 2} u^{\prime}(x)\right|<\infty, u^{\prime}(1)+h \cdot u(1)=g
\end{align*}
$$

In Sec. 1, we prove by the Galerkin method the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution of (*) in appropriate Sobolev spaces with weight. In Sec. 2, we study asymptotic behavior of the solution depending on $h$ as $h \rightarrow 0_{+}$.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the following nonlinear boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{-1}{x^{\gamma}} \cdot \frac{d}{d x}\left(x^{\gamma} \cdot u^{\prime}(x)\right)+f(x, u(x))=F(x), 0<x<1  \tag{1.1}\\
\left|\lim _{x \rightarrow 0_{+}} x^{\gamma / 2} u^{\prime}(x)\right|<\infty, u^{\prime}(1)+h \cdot u(1)=g \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\gamma>0, h>0, g$ are given constants. $f, F$ are given functions.

In [1], Tucsnak has considered the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d x} M\left(x, u^{\prime}(x)\right)+g(x) \sin u(x)=0,0<x<1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.3) has its motivation in the mathematical sense of the buckling of a nonlinear elastic bar immersed in a fluid. We note that Eq. (1.3), with $u^{\prime} . M\left(x, u^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $c_{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}, p>1, C_{1}>0$ independent of $x$, had been considered by the authors in [2]. We consider here Problem (1.1) with $M\left(x, u^{\prime}\right)=x^{\gamma} \cdot u^{\prime}$, where $C_{1}=C_{1}(x)=x^{\gamma} \geq 0$.

In [3, 4], the authors have studied the following nonlinear Bessel differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-1}{x} \cdot \frac{d}{d x}\left(x \cdot u^{\prime}(x)\right)+u^{2}-u=0, \quad x>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we use the Galerkin and compactness method in appropriate Sobolev spaces with weight to prove the existence of a unique weak solution. This result slightly generalizes $[1-4]$. We also study the asymptotic behavior of the solution $u_{h}$ depending on $h$ as $h \rightarrow 0_{+}$. We also obtain that the function $h \mapsto\left|u_{h}(1)\right|$ is nonincreasing on $(0,+\infty)$.

## 2. Theorem of Existence and Uniqueness

Put $\Omega=(0,1)$, we omit the definitions of usual function spaces $c^{m}(\bar{\Omega}), L^{p}(\Omega)$, and $H^{m}(\Omega)$. We denote by $H$ the class of all measurable functions $u$, defined on $\Omega$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} x^{\gamma}|u(x)|^{2} d x<+\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We identify in $H$ functions that are equal almost everywhere (a.e. for short) on $\Omega$. The elements of $H$ are thus actually equivalence classes of measurable functions satisfying (2.1) , two functions are equivalent if they are equal a.e in $\Omega$. Then $H$ is also a Hilbert space with respect to the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} x^{\gamma} \cdot u(x) v(x) d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left\{v \in H: v^{\prime} \in H\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the real Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle+\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with derivatives in the sense of distributions [6].
The norms in $H$ and $V$ induced by the corresponding scalar products are denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{V}$, respectively. $V$ is continuously and densely embedded in $H$. Identifying $H$ with $H^{\prime}$ (the dual of $H$ ), we have $V \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow V^{\prime}$; on the other hand, the notation $\langle.,$.$\rangle is used for the pairing between V$ and $V^{\prime}$.

Remark 1. In defining the function space $V$ with weight $x^{\gamma}$, we can also define $V$ as the completion of the space

$$
S=\left\{u \in C^{1}([0,1]):\|u\|_{V}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1} x^{\gamma}\left(|u(x)|^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}\right) d x<\infty\right\}
$$

with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{V}$ (see [6]).
We then have the following lemmas.
Lemma 1. There exist two constants $K_{1}>0$ and $K_{2}>0$ (depending only on $\gamma$ ) such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+u^{2}(1) \geq K_{1}\|u\|_{V}^{2}, \quad \forall u \in C^{1}([0,1]) ;  \tag{2.5}\\
x^{\gamma / 2}|u(x)| \leq K_{2}\|u\|_{V}, \quad \forall u \in C^{1}([0,1]), \quad \forall x \in[0,1] . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Lemma 2. The embedding $V \hookrightarrow H$ is compact.

The proof of Lemmas 1 and 2 can be found in [5].
Remark 2. We also note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0_{+}} x^{\gamma / 2} u(x)=0, \quad \forall u \in V \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [6, Lemma 5.40, p. 128]).
On the other hand, by $H^{1}(\varepsilon, 1) \hookrightarrow C^{0}([\varepsilon, 1]), 0<\varepsilon<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\gamma / 2}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\varepsilon, 1)} \leq\|u\|_{V}, \forall u \in V, 0<\varepsilon<1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{[\varepsilon, 1]} \in C^{0}([\varepsilon, 1]), \forall \varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7) and (2.9), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\gamma / 2} u \in C^{0}([0,1]), \forall u \in V \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall make the following assumptions.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) f:(0,1) \times R \longrightarrow R$ satisfies the Caratheodory condition, i.e., $f(., u)$ is measurable on $(0,1)$ for every $u \in R$, and $f(x,$.$) is continuous on R$ for a.e. $x \in(0,1)$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ There exist positive constants $C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}$ and $p>1$ such that
(i) $u . f(x, u) \geq C_{1}|u|^{p}-C_{1}^{\prime}$;
(ii) $|f(x, u)| \leq C_{2}\left(1+|u|^{p-1}\right)$.

The weak solution of Problems (1.1) and (1.2) is formed from the following variational problem.

Find $u \in V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle+h . u(1) v(1)+\langle f(x, u), v\rangle=g . v(1)+\langle F, v\rangle, \quad \forall v \in V . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3. By (2.10), the terms $u(1)$ and $v(1)$ appearing in (2.11) are defined for every $u, v \in V$. We obtain (2.11) by formally multiplying both sides of (1.1) by $v$ and then integrating by part having conditions (1.2) and (2.7) in mind.

Then we have the following result.
Theorem 1. Let $h>0, g \in R, F \in V^{\prime}$ and $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Then there exists a solution $u$ of the variational problem (2.11) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in V \text { and } x^{\gamma / p} u \in L^{p}(\Omega) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $f(x, u)$ is nondecreasing with respect to $u$, i.e.,

$$
\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)(f(x, u)-f(x, v)) .(u-v) \geq 0 \forall u, v \in R \text {, a.e. } x \in(0,1) \text {, }
$$

then the solution is unique.
Proof. Denote by $\left\{W_{j}\right\}$ the infinite orthonormal base in the separable Hilbert space $V$. We find $u_{m}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{m j} W_{j}(x) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{m j}$ satisfy the following nonlinear equation system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle u_{m}^{\prime}, W_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle+h u_{m}(1) W_{j}(1)+\left\langle f\left(x, u_{m}\right), W_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & g W_{j}(1)+\left\langle F, W_{j}\right\rangle, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

By Brouwer's lemma (see [7, Lemma 4.3, p. 53]), it follows from the hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ that the system (2.13) and (2.14) has a solution $u_{m}$.

Multiplying the $j$ th equation of system (2.14) by $c_{m j}$, then adding these equations for $j=1,2, \ldots, m$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+h u_{m}^{2}(1)+\left\langle f\left(x, u_{m}\right), u_{m}\right\rangle=g u_{m}(1)+\left\langle F, u_{m}\right\rangle . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the inequalities (2.5) and (2.6) and by the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{i})$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{V}^{2}+C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{\gamma}\left|u_{m}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leq\left(|g| K_{2}+\|F\|_{V^{\prime}}\right)\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{V}+\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{\gamma+1} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{0}=K_{1} \cdot \min \{1, h\}$.
Hence, we deduce from (2.16) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{V} \leq C  \tag{2.17}\\
\left\|x^{\gamma / p} u_{m}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C \tag{2.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

$C$ is a constant independent of $m$.
By means of (2.17) and (2.18) and Lemma 1, the sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ has a subsequence still denoted by $u_{m}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m} \rightarrow u \text { in } V \text { weakly, } \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{m} \rightarrow u \text { in } H \text { strongly and a.e. in } \Omega  \tag{2.20}\\
& x^{\gamma / p} u_{m} \rightarrow x^{\gamma / p} u \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega) \text { weakly. } \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by (2.20) and the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x, u_{m}\right) \rightarrow f(x, u) \text { a.e. in } \Omega . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also deduce from the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (ii) and from (2.18) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} f\left(x, u_{m}\right)\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\Omega)}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq C_{2}^{p^{\prime}} 2^{p^{\prime}-1}\left(1+\left\|x^{\gamma / p} u_{m}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}\right) \leq C, \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1) . C$ is a constant independent of $m$.
We shall need the following lemma, the proof of which can be found in [7].
Lemma 3. Let $Q$ be an open bounded set of $R^{N}$ and

$$
G_{m}, G \in L^{q}(Q), 1<q<\infty \text { such that } G_{m} \rightarrow G \text { a.e. in } Q
$$

and $\left\|G_{m}\right\|_{L^{q}(Q)} \leq C$, with $C$ being a constant independent of $m$. Then $G_{m} \rightarrow G$ weakly in $L^{q}(Q)$.

Applying Lemma 3 with $N=1, q=p^{\prime}, Q=\Omega, G_{m}=x^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} f\left(x, u_{m}\right)$, $G=x^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} f(x, u)$, we deduce from (2.22) and (2.23) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} f\left(x, u_{m}\right) \rightarrow x^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} f(x, u) \text { weakly in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit in Eq. (2.14), we find without difficulty from (2.19) and (2.24) that $u$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u^{\prime}, W_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle+h u(1) W_{j}(1)+\left\langle f(x, u), W_{j}\right\rangle=g W_{j}(1)+\left\langle F, W_{j}\right\rangle \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.25) holds for every $j \in N$, i.e., (2.11) holds.
Proof of Uniqueness. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be two solutions of the problem (2.11) and let $u=u_{1}-u_{2}$. Then $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle+h u(1)+\left\langle f\left(x, u_{1}\right)-f\left(x, u_{2}\right), v\right\rangle=0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $v=u$ in (2.26) and using (2.5) and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
C_{0}\|u\|_{V}^{2} \leq\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+h u^{2}(1)+\left\langle f\left(x, u_{1}\right)-f\left(x, u_{2}\right), u\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Then this inequality implies $u=0$, i.e., $u_{1}=u_{2}$.
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 4. In [3], we have proved that the nonlinear Bessel differential equation (1.4) associated with the boundary condition $u(0)=1, u(+\infty)=0$ has at least one solution. There, the nonlinear term $u^{2}-u$ is non-monotonic. One of the solutions above is established from the boundary value problem (1.4) in the interval $a<x<b$ associated with the boundary condition $u(a)=1, u(b)=0$, wherein, $x_{i}<a<b<x_{i+1}$ and $x_{i}, x_{i+1}$ are two consecutive zeros of the first order Bessel function $J_{0}(x)$. Formation of a counterexample for the function $f(x, u)$ not satisfying the assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ so that the solution of $(2.11)$ is not unique is an open problem.

## 3. Asymptotic Behavior of the Solution as $h \rightarrow 0_{+}$

In this section, let $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ hold. The variational problem (2.11) according to Theorem 1 admits a unique solution $u=u_{h}, h>0$. We shall study asymptotic behavior of solution $u_{h}$ as $h \rightarrow 0_{+}$.

We make the following additional assumption on the function $f$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ There exist constants $p \geq 2, C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
(f(x, u)-f(x, v)) .(u-v) \geq C_{3}|u-v|^{p}, \quad \forall u, v \in R, \text { a.e. } x \in(0,1)
$$

We have the following result.
Theorem 2. Let $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold and $F \in V^{\prime}, g \in R$. Then Problem (2.11) with $h=0$ has a unique solution $u_{0}$ such that

$$
u_{0} \in V \text { and } x^{\gamma / p} u_{0} \in L^{p}(\Omega)
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\left\|u_{h}-u_{0}\right\|_{V}+\left\|x^{\gamma / p} u_{h}-x^{\gamma / p} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C . h^{1 / p-1}
$$

with $h>0$ small enough, where $C$ is a constant depending on $\gamma, p, C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}, C_{3}, g$, $\|F\|_{V^{\prime}}$ only.

Proof. First, we prove that the solution $u_{h}$ of (2.11) is bounded by a constant independent of $h>0$.

Taking $v=u_{h}$ in (2.11) and using $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{i})$ and (2.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{h}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+C_{1}\left\|x^{\gamma / p} u_{h}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} \leq \mathcal{C}_{1}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}+\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{\gamma+1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{1}=|g| K_{2}+\|F\|_{V^{\prime}}$.
On the other hand, using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{h}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{(p-2) / p}}\left\|x^{\gamma / p} u_{h}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left\|x^{\gamma / p} u_{h}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{h}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leq \beta_{1}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \beta_{1}} \mathcal{C}_{1}^{2}+\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{\gamma+1}, \forall \beta_{1}>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{h}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}_{1}}{C_{1}}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}+\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{C_{1}(\gamma+1)}\right)^{2 / p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p}\left(\beta_{2}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}^{2 / p}\right)^{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{4} / \beta_{2}\right)^{p^{\prime}}+\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{C_{1}(\gamma+1)}\right)^{2 / p}, \quad \forall \beta_{2}>0 \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{4}=\left(\mathcal{C}_{1} / C_{1}\right)^{2 / p}$.
Choosing $\beta_{1}+\frac{\beta_{2}^{p}}{p}<\frac{1}{2}$, we have from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{2 \beta_{1}} \mathcal{C}_{1}^{2}+\frac{2}{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{4} / \beta_{2}\right)^{p^{\prime}}+\widetilde{C}_{2}\left(C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq C_{5}=\widetilde{C}_{1}\left(p, C_{1}\right) \cdot \max \left\{\mathcal{C}_{1}^{2}, \mathcal{C}_{1}^{2 / p-1}\right\}+\widetilde{C}_{2}\left(C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{C}_{1}\left(p, C_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \beta_{1}}+\frac{2}{p^{\prime} \beta_{2}^{\gamma^{\prime}} C_{1}^{2 / p-1}}  \tag{3.6}\\
\widetilde{C}_{2}\left(C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2 C_{1}^{\prime}}{\gamma+1}+2\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{C_{1}(\gamma+1)}\right)^{2 / p}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$C_{5}$ is a constant independent of $h>0$.
Now, let $u_{h}$ (resp. $u_{h^{\prime}}$ ) be the solution of Problem (2.11) with the parameter $h$ (resp. $h^{\prime}$ ). Let $v=u_{h}-u_{h^{\prime}}, \widetilde{h}=h-h^{\prime}$. Then $v$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\rangle+h v(1) w(1)+\left\langle f\left(x, u_{h}\right)-f\left(x, u_{h^{\prime}}\right), w\right\rangle=-\widetilde{h} u_{h^{\prime}}(1) w(1), \forall w \in V \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proceeding as in the proof of the first part, we deduce from (2.6), (3.5) and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+C_{3}\left\|x^{\gamma / p} v\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} \leq|\widetilde{h}| K_{2}^{2} \sqrt{C_{5}}\|v\|_{V} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.1), (3.5), and (3.6) with $C_{1}=C_{3}, C_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathcal{C}_{1}=|\widetilde{h}| K_{2}^{2} \sqrt{C_{5}}$, we deduce from (3.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{V}^{2} \leq \widetilde{C}_{1}\left(p, C_{3}\right) \cdot \max \left\{\left(|\tilde{h}| K_{2}^{2} \sqrt{C_{5}}\right)^{2},\left(|\tilde{h}| K_{2}^{2} \sqrt{C_{5}}\right)^{2 / p-1}\right\} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, if $p \geq 2$, then

$$
\left(|\widetilde{h}| K_{2}^{2} \sqrt{C_{5}}\right)^{2} \leq\left(|\widetilde{h}| K_{2}^{2} \sqrt{C_{5}}\right)^{2 / p-1}
$$

as $|\tilde{h}|$ is small enough.
Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{h}-u_{h^{\prime}}\right\|_{V}=\|v\|_{V} \leq C_{6}\left|h-h^{\prime}\right|^{1 / p-1} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{6}=C_{6}\left(\gamma, p, C_{3}, C_{5}, g,\|F\|_{V^{\prime}}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.8) and (3.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\gamma / p} u_{h}-x^{\gamma / p} u_{h^{\prime}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}=\left\|x^{\gamma / p} v\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{7}\left|h-h^{\prime}\right|^{1 / p-1}, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{7}=\left(K_{2}^{2} \sqrt{C_{5}} C_{6} / C_{3}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we obtain from (3.10) and (3.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{h}-u_{h^{\prime}}\right\|_{V}+\left\|x^{\gamma / p} u_{h}-x^{\gamma / p} u_{h^{\prime}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega),} \leq C\left|h-h^{\prime}\right|^{1 / p-1} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the space

$$
W=\left\{v \in V: x^{\gamma / p} v \in L^{p}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

$W$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|v\|_{W}=\|v\|_{V}+\left\|x^{\gamma / p} v\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}
$$

Let $h_{m}$ be a sequence such that $h_{m}>0, h_{m} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (3.14) that $\left\{u_{h_{m}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W$. Hence, there exists $u_{0} \in W$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{h_{m}} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { strongly in } W . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By passing to the limit as in the proof of Theorem 1, we deduce that $u_{0}$ satisfies the following variational equation:

$$
\left\langle u_{0}^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle f\left(x, u_{0}\right), w\right\rangle=g w(1)+\langle F, w\rangle, \forall w \in V
$$

The uniqueness is proved in a standard manner as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then, letting $h^{\prime} \rightarrow 0_{+}$in (3:14), we have

$$
\left\|u_{h}-u_{0}\right\|_{V}+\left\|x^{\gamma / p} u_{h}-x^{\gamma / p} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C h^{1 / p-1}
$$

Therefore, Theorem 2 is proved completely.
Theorem 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, we have that
(i) The function $h \mapsto\left|u_{h}(1)\right|$ is nonincreasing on $(0,+\infty)$;
(ii) $\left|u_{0}(1)\right|=\sup _{h>0}\left|u_{h}(1)\right|$.

Proof. Let $0<h<h^{\prime}, \tilde{h}=h-h^{\prime}<0$. Then $v=u_{h}-u_{h^{\prime}}$ satisfies (3.7). Taking $\ddot{w}=v$ in (3.7), we obtain

$$
-\widetilde{h} u_{h^{\prime}}(1)\left(u_{h}(1)-u_{h^{\prime}}(1)\right) \geq 0
$$

Hence,

$$
\left|u_{h^{\prime}}(1)\right|^{2} \leq u_{h^{\prime}}(1) u_{h}(1)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{h^{\prime}}(\mathbf{1})\right| \leq\left|u_{h}(1)\right| \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (i) is proved.
Letting $h \rightarrow 0_{+}$in (3.16), we obtain (ii). Theorem 3 is completely proved.
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