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#### Abstract

Necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of lower cut sets are given for the strong insertion of a contra-continuous function between two comparable realvalued functions on such topological spaces that $\Lambda$-sets are open.


## 1. Introduction

A generalized class of closed sets was considered by Maki in 1986 [9]. He investigated the sets that can be represented as union of closed sets and called them V -sets. Complements of V -sets, i.e., sets that are intersection of open sets are called $\Lambda$-sets [9].

Results of Katětov [5, 6] concerning binary relations and the concept of an indefinite lower cut set for a real-valued function, which is due to Brooks [2], are used in order to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the insertion of a contra-continuous function between two comparable real-valued functions on such topological spaces that $\Lambda$-sets are open [3].

A real-valued function $f$ defined on a topological space $X$ is called contracontinuous if the preimage of every open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is closed in $X$.

If $g$ and $f$ are real-valued functions defined on a space $X$, we write $g \leq f$ in case $g(X) \leq f(X)$ for all $X$ in $X$.

[^0]The following definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [7].
A property $\mathbf{P}$ defined relative to a real-valued function on a topological space is a cC-property provided that any constant function has property P and provided that the sum of a function with property $P$ and any contra-continuous function also has property $P$. If $P_{1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ are $\mathbb{C C}-$ properties, the following terminology is used: (i) A space X has the weak cc -insertion property for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ if and only if for any functions $g$ and $f$ on $X$ such that $g \leq f, g$ has property $P_{1}$ and $f$ has property $P_{2}$, then there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ such that $\mathrm{g} \leq \mathrm{h} \leq \mathrm{f}$. (ii) A space X has the strong cc-insertion property for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ if and only if for any functions $g$ and $f$ on $X$ such that $g \leq f, g$ has property $P_{1}$ and $f$ has property $P_{2}$, then there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ such that $\mathrm{g} \leq \mathrm{h} \leq \mathrm{f}$ and such that if $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ for any x in X , then $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$.

In this paper, for a topological space that $\Lambda$-sets are open, is given a sufficient condition for the weak CC-insertion property. Also for a space with the weak CC-insertion property, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the space to have the strong $\mathbf{C C}$-insertion property. Several insertion theorems are obtained as corollaries of these results.

## 2. The Main Results

Before giving a sufficient condition for insertability of a contra-continuous function, the necessary definitions and terminology are stated.

Definition 2.1. Let $A$ be a subset of a topological space $(X, \tau)$. We define the subsets $A^{\Lambda}$ and $A^{\vee}$ as follows:
$A^{\Lambda}=\cap\{O: O \supseteq A, O \in \tau\}$ and $A^{V}=\cup\left\{F: F \subseteq A, F^{c} \in \tau\right\}$.
In $[4,8], \mathrm{A}^{\Lambda}$ is called the kernel of A .
The following first two definitions are modifications of conditions considered in $[5,6]$.

Definition 2.2. If $\rho$ is a binary relation in a set $S$ then $\rho$ is defined as follows: $x \rho y$ if and only if $y \rho v$ implies $x \rho v$ and $u \rho x$ implies $u \rho y$ for any $u$ and $v$ in $S$.

Definition 2.3. A binary relation $\rho$ in the power set $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$ of a topological space $X$ is called a strong binary relation in $P(X)$ in case $\rho$ satisfies each of the following conditions:

1) If $A_{i} \rho B_{j}$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and for any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then there exists a set $C$ in $P(X)$ such that $A_{i} \rho C$ and $C \rho B_{j}$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and any $\mathrm{j} \in\{1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}\}$.
2) If $A \subseteq B$, then $A \rho B$.
3) If $A \rho B$, then $A^{\Lambda} \subseteq B$ and $A \subseteq B^{V}$.

The concept of a lower indefinite cut set for a real-valued function was defined by Brooks [2] as follows:

Definition 2.4. If $f$ is a real-valued function defined on a space $X$ and if $\{x \in X: f(x)<\} \subseteq A(f,) \subseteq\{x \in X: f(x) \leq\}$ for a real number , then $A(f$,$) is called a lower indefinite cut set in the domain of f$ at the level.

We now give the following main results:
Theorem 2.1. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions on a topological space $X$, in which $\Lambda$-sets are open, with $g \leq f$. If there exists a strong binary relation $\rho$ on the power set of $X$ and if there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ in the domain of $f$ and $g$ at the level $t$ for each rational number $t$ such that if $t_{1}<t_{2}$ then $A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(g, t_{2}\right)$, then there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ defined on X such that $\mathrm{g} \leq \mathrm{h} \leq \mathrm{f}$.

Proof. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions defined on $X$ such that $g \leq f$. By hypothesis there exists a strong binary relation $\rho$ on the power set of $X$ and there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ in the domain of $f$ and $g$ at the level $t$ for each rational number $t$ such that if $t_{1}<t_{2}$ then $A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(g, t_{2}\right)$.

Define functions $F$ and $G$ mapping the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ into the power set of $X$ by $F(t)=A(f, t)$ and $G(t)=A(g, t)$. If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then $F\left(t_{1}\right) \rho F\left(t_{2}\right), G\left(t_{1}\right) \rho G\left(t_{2}\right)$, and $F\left(t_{1}\right) \rho G\left(t_{2}\right)$. By Lemmas 1 and 2 of [6] it follows that there exists a function H mapping $\mathbb{Q}$ into the power set of $X$ such that if $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any rational numbers with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then $F\left(t_{1}\right) \rho H\left(t_{2}\right), H\left(t_{1}\right) \rho H\left(t_{2}\right)$ and $H\left(t_{1}\right) \rho G\left(t_{2}\right)$.

For any $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbf{X}$, let $h(\mathbf{x})=\inf \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{Q}: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{t})\}$.
We first verify that $\mathrm{g} \leq \mathrm{h} \leq \mathrm{f}$ : If x is in $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t})$ then x is in $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{t}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\mathrm{t}^{\prime}>\mathrm{t}$; since x is in $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{t}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{t}^{\prime}\right)$ implies that $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}) \leq \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$, it follows that $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}) \leq \mathrm{t}$. Hence $g \leq h$. If $x$ is not in $H(t)$, then $X$ is not in $F\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ for any $t^{\prime}<t$; since $x$ is not in $F\left(t^{\prime}\right)=A\left(f, t^{\prime}\right)$ implies that $f(x)>t^{\prime}$, it follows that $f(x) \geq t$. Hence $\mathrm{h} \leq \mathrm{f}$.

Also, for any rational numbers $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, we have $h^{-1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=$ $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{V}} \backslash \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)^{\Lambda}$. Hence $\mathrm{h}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)$ is closed in X , i.e., h is a contra-continuous function on $X$.

The above proof used the technique of proof of Theorem 1 of [5].
If a space has the strong CC-insertion property for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, then it has the weak CC-insertion property for ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ). The following results use lower cut sets and gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a space satisfying the weak CC-insertion property to satisfy the strong CC-insertion property.

Theorem 2.2. Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be cc-properties and $X$ be a space satisfying the weak cc-insertion property for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$. Also assume that g and f are functions on $X$ such that $g \leq f, g$ has property $P_{1}$ and $f$ has property $P_{2}$. The space $X$ has the strong CC-insertion property for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ if and only if there exist lower cut sets $A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)$ and there exists a sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ of subsets of $X$ such that (i) for each $n, F_{n}$ and $A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)$ are completely separated by contra-continuous functions, and (ii) $\{x \in X:(f-g)(x)>0\}=\underset{n=1}{\infty} F_{n}$.

Proof. Theorem 3.1 of [11].
Theorem 2.3. Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be cc-properties and assume that a space $X$ satisfies the weak cc-insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$. The space $X$ satisfies the strong cc-insertion property for ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ) if and only if X satisfies the strong cc -insertion property for ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{Cc}$ ) and for ( $\mathrm{Cc}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ).

Proof. Theorem 3.2 of [11].

## 3. Applications

Definition 3.1. A real-valued function $f$ defined on a space $X$ is called upper semi-contra-continuous (resp. lower semi-contra-continuous) if $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(-\infty, \mathrm{t})$ (resp. $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{t},+\infty)$ ) is closed for any real number t .

The abbreviations USC, ISC, USCC, ISCC, and CC are used for upper semicontinuous, lower semicontinuous, upper semi-contra-continuous, lower semi-contracontinuous, and contra-continuous, respectively.

Before stating the consequences of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 we suppose that $X$ is a topological space that $\Lambda$-sets are open.

Corollary 3.1. $X$ is an extremally disconnected space if and only if $X$ has the weak Cc-insertion property for (uscc, IScc).

Proof. Let $X$ be an extremally disconnected space and let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions defined on the $X$, such that $f$ is ISCC, $g$ is USCC, and $g \leq f$. If a binary relation $\rho$ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $A^{\Lambda} \subseteq B^{\vee}$, then by hypothesis $\rho$ is a strong binary relation in the power set of $X$. If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\mathrm{t}_{1}<\mathrm{t}_{2}$, then

$$
\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \leq \mathrm{t}_{1}\right\} \subseteq\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{t}_{2}\right\} \subseteq \mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)
$$

since $\left\{x \in X: f(x) \leq t_{1}\right\}$ is open and since $\left\{x \in X: g(x)<t_{2}\right\}$ is closed, it follows that $A\left(f, t_{1}\right)^{\Lambda} \subseteq A\left(g, t_{2}\right)^{V}$. Hence $t_{1}<t_{2}$ implies that $A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(g, t_{2}\right)$. The proof of the first part follows from Theorem 2.1.

On the other hand, let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be disjoint open sets. Set $f=\chi_{G_{1}^{c}}$ and $\mathrm{g}=\chi_{\mathrm{G}_{2}}$, then f is ISCC, g is $\mathbf{u s c c}$, and $\mathrm{g} \leq \mathrm{f}$. Thus there exists a contracontinuous function $h$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$. Set $F_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in X: h(x)<\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}: \mathrm{h}(\mathbf{x})>\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, then $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ are disjoint closed sets such that $\mathrm{G}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2} \subseteq \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ i.e., X is an extremally disconnected space.

Before stating the consequences of Theorem 2.2, we state and prove some necessary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. The following conditions on a space $X$ are equivalent:
(i) X is an extremally disconnected space.
(ii) If $G$ is an open subset of $X$ which is contained in a closed subset $F$, then there exists a closed subset $H$ such that $G \subseteq H \subseteq H^{\Lambda} \subseteq F$.

Proof.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that $G \subseteq F$, where $G$ and $F$ are open subset and closed subset of $X$, respectively. Hence, $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is an open set and $G \cap \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{c}}=\varnothing$.

By (i) there exist two disjoint closed sets $F_{1}, F_{2}$ such that, $G \subseteq F_{1}$ and $F^{c} \subseteq F_{2}$. But

$$
\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{c}} \subseteq \mathrm{~F}_{2} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}} \subseteq \mathrm{~F},
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{F}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~F}_{2}=\varnothing \Rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}
$$

hence

$$
\mathrm{G} \subseteq \mathrm{~F}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}} \subseteq \mathrm{~F}
$$

and since $F_{2}{ }_{2}$ is an open set containing $F_{1}$ we conclude that $F_{1}^{\Lambda} \subseteq F_{2}{ }^{c}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathrm{G} \subseteq \mathrm{~F}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{F}
$$

By setting $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{F}_{1}$, condition (ii) holds.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose that $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are two disjoint open sets of $X$.

This implies that $\mathrm{G}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}^{c}$ is a closed set. Hence by (ii) there exists a closed set H such that, $\mathrm{G}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{H} \subseteq \mathrm{H}^{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}$.

But

$$
\mathrm{H} \subseteq \mathrm{H}^{\Lambda} \Rightarrow \mathrm{H} \cap\left(\mathrm{H}^{\Lambda}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}=\varnothing
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{H}^{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}} \Rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{2} \subseteq\left(\mathrm{H}^{\Lambda}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}
$$

Furthermore, $\left(H^{\Lambda}\right)^{c}$ is a closed subset of $X$. Hence $G_{1} \subseteq H, G_{2} \subseteq\left(H^{\Lambda}\right)^{c}$ and $\mathrm{H} \cap\left(\mathrm{H}^{\Lambda}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}=\varnothing$. This means that condition (i) holds.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $X$ is an extremally disconnected space. If $G_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ are two disjoint open subsets of X , then there exists a contra-continuous function $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right)=\{0\}$ and $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)=\{1\}$.

Proof. Suppose $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two disjoint open subsets of $X$. Since $G_{1} \cap G_{2}=\varnothing$, hence $\mathrm{G}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}$. In particular, since $\mathrm{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}$ is a closed subset of X containing $\mathrm{G}_{1}$, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a closed set $\mathrm{H}_{1 / 2}$ such that,

$$
\mathrm{G}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{1 / 2} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{1 / 2}^{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}
$$

Note that $\mathrm{H}_{1 / 2}$ is a closed set and contains $\mathrm{G}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is a closed set and contains $H_{1 / 2}^{\Lambda}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, there exists closed sets $H_{1 / 4}$ and $H_{3 / 4}$ such that,

$$
\mathrm{G}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{1 / 4} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{1 / 4}^{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{1 / 2} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{1 / 2}^{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{3 / 4} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{3 / 4}^{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}
$$

By continuing this method for every $t \in D$, where $D \subseteq[0,1]$ is the set of rational numbers that their denominators are exponents of 2 , we obtain closed sets $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}$
with the property that if $\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2} \in \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{1}<\mathrm{t}_{2}$, then $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}_{1}} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}_{2}}$. We define the function $h$ on $X$ by setting $h(x)=\inf \left\{t: x \in H_{t}\right\}$ for $x \notin G_{2}$ and $h(x)=1$ for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{G}_{2}$.

Note that for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{X}, 0 \leq \mathrm{h}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$, i.e., $h$ maps $\mathbf{X}$ into [0,1]. Also, we note that for any $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{G}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}$; hence $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right)=\{0\}$. Furthermore, by definition, $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)=\{1\}$. It remains only to prove that h is a contra-continuous function on $X$. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have if $\alpha \leq 0$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)<\alpha\}=\varnothing$ and if $0<\alpha$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)<\alpha\}=\cup\left\{H_{t}: t<\alpha\right\}$, hence, they are closed subsets of $X$. Similarly, if $\alpha<0$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)>\alpha\}=X$ and if $0 \leq \alpha$ then $\{x \in X: h(X)>\alpha\}=\cup\left\{\left(H_{t}^{\Lambda}\right)^{c}: t>\alpha\right\}$ hence, every of them is a closed set. Consequently h is a contra-continuous function.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $X$ is an extremally disconnected space. If $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two disjoint open subsets of $X$ and $G_{1}$ is a countable intersection of closed sets, then there exists a contra-continuous function $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(0)=\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)=\{1\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $G_{1}={ }_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}$, where $F_{n}$ is a closed subset of $X$. We can suppose that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \cap \mathrm{G}_{2}=\varnothing$, otherwise we can substitute $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \backslash \mathrm{G}_{2}$. By Lemma 3.2, for every $\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a contra-continuous function $h_{n}: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $h_{n}\left(G_{1}\right)=\{0\}$ and $h_{n}\left(X \backslash F_{n}\right)=\{1\}$. We set $h(x)=\underset{n=1}{\infty} 2^{-n} h_{n}(\mathbf{x})$.

Since the above series is uniformly convergent, it follows that $h$ is a contracontinuous function from $X$ into $[0,1]$. Since for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, G_{2} \subseteq X \backslash F_{n}$, therefore $h_{n}\left(G_{2}\right)=\{1\}$ and consequently $h\left(G_{2}\right)=\{1\}$. Since $h_{n}\left(G_{1}\right)=\{0\}$, hence $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right)=\{0\}$. It suffices to show that if $\mathbf{x} \notin \mathrm{G}_{1}$, then $\mathrm{h}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$.

Now if $x \notin G_{1}$, since $G_{1}=\underset{n=1}{\infty} F_{n}$, therefore there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{x} \notin \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}_{0}}$, hence $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}_{0}}(\mathrm{x})=1$, i.e., $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})>0$. Therefore $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(0)=\mathrm{G}_{1}$.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $X$ is an extremally disconnected space. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) For every two disjoint open sets $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, there exists a contra-continuous function $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(0)=\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(1)=\mathrm{G}_{2}$.
(ii) Every open set is a countable intersection of closed sets.
(iii) Every closed set is a countable union of open sets.

Proof.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that $G$ is an open set. Since $\varnothing$ is an open set, by (i) there exists a contra-continuous function $h: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $h^{-1}(0)=G$. Set $F_{n}=\left\{x \in X: h(x)<\frac{1}{n}\right\}$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{n}$ is a closed set and ${ }_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})=0\}=\mathrm{G}$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ are two disjoint open sets. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a contra-continuous function $f: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $f{ }^{-1}(0)=G_{1}$ and $\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{G}_{2}\right)=\{1\}$. Set $F=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}: \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})<\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, $\mathbf{G}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in X: \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, and $H=\left\{x \in X: f(x)>\frac{1}{2}\right\}$. Then $F \cup G$ and $H \cup G$ are two open sets and $(F \cup G) \cap \mathrm{G}_{2}=\varnothing$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a contra-continuous function $g: X \rightarrow\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ such that $\mathrm{g}^{-1}(1)=\mathrm{G}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{F} \cup \mathrm{G})=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$. Define $h$ by setting $h(x)=f(x)$ for $x \in F \cup G$, and $h(x)=g(x)$ for $x \in H \cup G$. Then $h$ is well-
defined and is a contra-continuous function, since $(F \cup G) \cap(H \cup G)=G$ and for every $x \in G$ we have $f(x)=g(x)=\frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore, $(F \cup G) \cup(H \cup G)=X$, hence $h$ defined on $X$ and maps $X$ into $[0,1]$. Also, we have $h^{-1}(0)=G_{1}$ and $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(1)=\mathrm{G}_{2}$.
(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) By De Morgan laws and noting that the complement of every open set is a closed set and the complement of every closed set is an open set, the equivalence holds.

Corollary 3.2. For every two disjoint open sets $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, there exists a contra-continuous function $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(0)=\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(1)=$ $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ if and only if X has the strong Cc -insertion property for (uscc, Iscc).

Proof. Since for every two disjoint open sets $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, there exists a contracontinuous function $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(0)=\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(1)=\mathrm{G}_{2}$, define $\mathrm{F}_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})<\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})>\frac{1}{2}\right\}$. Then $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are two disjoint closed sets that contain $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively. This means that, X is an extremally disconnected space. Hence by Corollary 3.1, X has the weak Cc-insertion property for (USCC, ISCC). Now, assume that $g$ and $f$ are functions on $X$ such that $g \leq f, g$ is uscc and $f$ is Iscc. Since $f-g$ is Iscc, therefore the lower cut set $A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)=\left\{x \in X:(f-g)(x) \leq 2^{-n}\right\}$ is an open set. By Lemma 3.4, we can choose a sequence $\left\{\mathbf{G}_{n}\right\}$ of open sets such that $\{\mathbf{x} \in X:(\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{g})(\mathbf{x})>0\}={ }_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and for every $\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)$ are disjoint. By Lemma $3.2, G_{n}$ and $A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)$ can be completely separated by contra-continuous functions. Hence by Theorem 2.2, X has the strong CC-insertion property for (USCC, ISCC).

On the other hand, suppose that $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ are two disjoint open sets. Since $\mathrm{G}_{1} \cap \mathrm{G}_{2}=\varnothing$, hence $\mathrm{G}_{2} \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}}$. Set $\mathrm{g}=\chi_{\mathrm{G}_{2}}$ and $\mathrm{f}=\chi_{\mathrm{G}_{1}}$. Then f is Iscc and g is USCC and furthermore $\mathrm{g} \leq \mathrm{f}$. By hypothesis, there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ on $X$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$ and whenever $g(x)<f(x)$ we have $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$. By definitions of f and g , we have $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(1)=\mathrm{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{G}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(0)=\mathrm{G}_{1} \cap \mathrm{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{G}_{1}$.

Corollary 3.3. $X$ is a normal space if and only if $X$ has the weak cc-insertion property for (Iscc, uscc).

Proof. Let $X$ be a normal space and let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions defined on the $X$, such that $f$ is ISCC, $g$ is USCC, and $f \leq g$. If a binary relation $\rho$ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $A^{\Lambda} \subseteq F \subseteq F^{\Lambda} \subseteq B^{V}$ for some closed set $F$ in $X$, then by hypothesis $\rho$ is a strong binary relation in the power set of $X$. If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\mathrm{t}_{1}<\mathrm{t}_{2}$, then

$$
\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{t}_{1}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{t}_{1}\right\} \subseteq\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \leq \mathrm{t}_{2}\right\}=\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)
$$

since $\left\{x \in X: g(x)<t_{1}\right\}$ is a closed set and since $\left\{x \in X: f(x) \leq t_{2}\right\}$ is an open set, by hypothesis it follows that $A\left(g, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(f, t_{2}\right)$. The proof of the first part follows from Theorem 2.1.

On the other hand, let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be disjoint closed sets. Set $f=\chi_{F_{2}}$ and $g=\chi_{F_{1}}$, then $f$ is $\operatorname{ISCC}, g$ is USCC, and $f \leq g$.

Thus there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ such that $f \leq h \leq g$. Set $\mathrm{G}_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathbf{X}: \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \frac{1}{3}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}=\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}: \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x}) \geq 2 / 3\}$ then $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ are disjoint open sets such that $F_{1} \subseteq G_{1}$ and $F_{2} \subseteq G_{2}$. Hence $X$ is a normal space.

Corollary 3.4. Every closed set is an open set if and only if $X$ has the strong cc-insertion property for (Iscc, uscc).

Proof. Suppose that every closed set in $X$ is open, then $X$ is a normal space. Hence by Corollary 3.3, X has the weak CC-insertion property for (ISCC, USCC). Now, assume that $g$ and $f$ are functions on $X$ such that $g \leq f, g$ is ISCC and $f$ is cc. Set $A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)=\left\{x \in X:(f-g)(x)<2^{-n}\right\}$. Then, since $f-g$ is uscc, we can say that $A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)$ is a closed set. By hypothesis, $A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)$ is an open set. Set $F_{n}=X \backslash A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)$. Then $F_{n}$ is a closed set. This means that $F_{n}$ and $A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)$ are disjoint closed sets and also are two disjoint open sets. Therefore $F_{n}$ and $A\left(f-g, 2^{-n}\right)$ can be completely separated by contra-
 By Theorem 2.2, X has the strong CC-insertion property for (ISCC, CC). By an analogous argument, we can prove that $X$ has the strong CC-insertion property for (CC, USCC). Hence, by Theorem 2.3, X has the strong CC-insertion property for (Iscc, uscc).

On the other hand, suppose that $X$ has the strong CC-insertion property for (ISCC, USCC). Also, suppose that $F$ is a closed set. Set $f=1$ and $g=\chi_{F}$. Then $f$ is USCC, $g$ is ISCC and $g \leq f$. By hypothesis, there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ on $X$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$ and whenever $g(x)<f(x)$, we have $g(x)<$ $h(\mathbf{x})<\mathrm{f}(\mathbf{x})$. It is clear that $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{F})=\{1\}$ and for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{F}$ we have $0<\mathrm{h}(\mathbf{x})<1$. Since $h$ is a contra-continuous function, therefore $\{x \in X: h(x) \geq 1\}=F$ is an open set, i.e., $\mathbf{F}$ is an open set.

Remark 1. [5, 6]. A space $X$ has the weak $\mathbf{C}$-insertion property for (USC, ISC) if and only if X is normal.

Remark 2. [10] . A space $X$ has the strong $C$-insertion property for (USC, Isc) if and only if $X$ is perfectly normal.

Remark 3. [12]. A space $X$ has the weak $C$-insertion property for (Isc, usc) if and only if $X$ is extremally disconnected.

Remark 4. [1]. A space $X$ has the strong $\mathbf{C}$-insertion property for (Isc, usc) if and only if each open subset of $X$ is closed.
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