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#### Abstract

We consider the problem of finding the displacement field in an elastic body from displacements and stresses on a part of boundary of the elastic body. This is an ill-posed problem. We use the method of quasi-reversibility to regularize the problem. An estimate of the error is given.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a plane elastic body and let $\Gamma_{0}$ be an open subset of $\partial \Omega$. In the present paper, we consider the problem of finding the displacement field on $\Omega$. In fact, let $u, v$ be the displacements in the $x-$ and $y$-directions respectively and let the stress field $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \tau_{x y}$ satisfy the following system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \sigma_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \tau_{x y}}{\partial y}+X=0  \tag{1.1}\\
& \frac{\partial \sigma_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \tau_{x y}}{\partial x}+Y=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X, Y$, the given body forces (in the $x$-, $y$-directions respectively), are assumed to be in $H^{1}(\Omega)$.

[^0]Assuming plane stress, we have the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{x y} & =G\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \equiv G \gamma_{x y}  \tag{1.3}\\
\sigma_{x}-\nu \sigma_{y} & =E \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad \sigma_{y}-\nu \sigma_{x}=E \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E, G, \nu$ can be calculated from the Lamé coefficients $\lambda, \mu$ as follows (cf. [10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{\mu}{2}, \quad \nu=\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu}, \quad E=\frac{\mu(2 \lambda+\mu)}{\lambda+\mu} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the displacements and the surface stresses be given on the portion $\Gamma_{0}$ of $\partial \Omega$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(u, v)\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ell \sigma_{x}+m \tau_{x y}=\bar{X} \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{0}  \tag{1.7}\\
& m \sigma_{y}+\ell \tau_{x y}=\bar{Y} \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{0} \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(\ell, m)$ is the exterior unit normal to $\partial \Omega$. Here $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right),(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ are the surface displacements and surface stresses respectively.

Proceeding as in [1], we get after some rearrangements the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta U=-R(U)+\chi \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U=(u, v, e), e=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, R=\left(R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}\right), \chi=\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \chi_{3}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{1}(U) & =\frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x}+\frac{2}{G} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{G} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \gamma_{x y}+\frac{e}{G} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{2 G \nu}{1-\nu}\right)  \tag{1.10}\\
R_{2}(U) & =\frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu} \frac{\partial e}{\partial y}+\frac{2}{G} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{1}{G} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \gamma_{x y}+\frac{e}{G} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{2 G \nu}{1-\nu}\right)  \tag{1.11}\\
R_{3}(U) & =\frac{1-\nu}{G}\left\{\frac{\partial e}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{G(1+\nu)}{1-\nu}\right)+\frac{\partial e}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{G(1+\nu)}{1-\nu}\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} R_{1}(U)-\frac{\partial G}{\partial y} R_{2}(U)+\frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\
& \left.+\frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial x \partial y} \gamma_{x y}+e \Delta\left(\frac{G \nu}{1-\nu}\right)\right\} \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi_{1}=-X / G, \quad \chi_{2}=-Y / G  \tag{1.13}\\
& \chi_{3}=-\frac{1-\nu}{G}\left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial Y}{\partial y}\right)-\frac{1-\nu}{G^{2}}\left(X \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}+Y \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}\right) . \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From now on, we shall consider the portion $\Gamma_{0}$ as a subset of the segment $\{(x, 0): 0<x<\pi\}$. In this case $(\ell, m)=(0,-1)$. Hence (1.7), (1.8) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{x y}=-\bar{X}, \quad \sigma_{y}=-\bar{Y} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By direct computation, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.U\right|_{\Gamma_{0}} & =\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, B_{0}\left(F_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{1.16}\\
\left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}} & =B\left(F_{0}\right) \equiv\left(B_{1}\left(F_{0}\right), B_{2}\left(F_{0}\right), B_{3}\left(F_{0}\right)\right) \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{0}=\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{0}\left(F_{0}\right)= & (1-\nu) \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial x}-\frac{(1-\nu) \bar{Y}}{2 G}  \tag{1.18}\\
B_{1}\left(F_{0}\right)= & -\bar{X} / G-\frac{\partial g_{0}}{\partial x}, \quad B_{2}\left(F_{0}\right)=-(1-\nu) \bar{Y} / G-\nu \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial x}  \tag{1.19}\\
B_{3}\left(F_{0}\right)= & -(1-\nu) \frac{\partial^{2} g_{0}}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{1}{G} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{2 G \nu}{1-\nu}\right) \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial x} \\
& +\frac{(1-\nu) \nu}{G} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial x} \frac{(1-\nu)^{2}}{4 \nu^{2} G^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{2 G \nu}{1-\nu}\right) \bar{Y}+\frac{\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \bar{Y}}{G^{2}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \\
& -\frac{1-\nu}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\bar{X}}{G}\right)+\frac{(1-\nu) \bar{X}}{2 G^{2}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}-\frac{(1-\nu) Y}{2 G} \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.9), (1.16), (1.17), it follows that our problem is a Cauchy-type problem and it is ill-posed. In Lattès-Lions' book [5], Chap. 4, the Cauchy problem for an elliptic equation is regularized by the method of quasi-reversibility. However, (1.1), (1.2), (1.6)-(1.8) were not considered in [5]. In practice, measured values $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ of the exact boundary data $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right)$ are given only at a finite set of points. It should be noted that exact solutions of (1.3)-(1.6), with $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right)$ replaced by $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$, usually do not exist. In fact, the set of boundary data $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ for which our system has no solution is dense in $\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{4}$. If (1.2) - (1.6) have a solution in $\left(H^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{2}$ (which is a natural solution space) then $\left.(u, v)\right|_{\Gamma_{0}} \in\left(H^{3 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2}$. Thus if $f, g$ are step functions then (1.3)(1.6) have no solution in $\left(H^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{2}$. In the present paper, we take the given data $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ as $L^{2}$-functions and we shall regularize both the boundary data and the solution of our system. Explicit estimates will be derived.

## 2. Notations and Main Result

Consider $\Omega$ satisfying $\Omega \subset Q=[0, \pi] \times[0, T], \Gamma_{0}=\left\{(x, 0): 0<\alpha_{0}<x<\beta_{0}<\right.$ $\pi\}, \Gamma_{1}=\partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{0}$.

We assume that there exists a simply connected domain $\Omega^{*}$ satisfying
(P1) The boundary $\partial \Omega^{*}$ is $C^{1+\alpha}(0<\alpha<1)$ and

$$
\Omega^{*} \supset \Omega \cup \Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}=\partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{0} \subset \partial \Omega^{*}
$$

(P2) For each $x \in \partial \Omega^{*}$ we can find an open ball $\omega$ such that $x \in \partial \omega$ and $\omega \subset \Omega^{*}$.

For each $\delta>0$, put

$$
\Omega_{\delta}=\left\{(x, y) \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}\left((x, y), \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \Omega^{*}\right)>\delta\right\}
$$

where dist $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is the distance between $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$.
Let $\rho_{\delta}$ be a nonegative $C^{2}$-function satisfying

$$
\rho_{\delta}(x, y)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { for } \\ 0, & (x, y) \in \Omega_{\delta} \\ \text { for } & (x, y) \in \Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{\delta / 2}\end{cases}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\delta}= & \left\{V: V \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}, \rho_{\delta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \xi} \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}, \xi=x, y,\right. \\
& \left.\rho_{\delta} A V \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3},\left.V\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A V=\Delta V+R(V)$ and $R(V)$ is defined in (1.9)- (1.12).
Let $U_{0}=\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, e_{0}\right)$ be a solution of (1.9), (1.16), (1.17) corresponding to the (possibly unknown) data $F_{0}=\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right)$ defined on $\Gamma_{0}$. Let $F=(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ be a "measured" data of $F_{0}$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-f_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}^{2}+\left\|g-g_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}^{2}+\|\bar{X}-\tilde{X}\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}^{2}+\|\bar{Y}-\tilde{Y}\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}^{2}<\epsilon^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall consider a regularized solution $U_{\epsilon}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}^{2}} A^{*}\left(\rho_{\delta}^{2} A U_{\epsilon}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(\rho_{\delta} \nabla U_{\epsilon}\right)+\delta U_{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}^{2}} A^{*}\left(\rho_{\delta}^{2} \chi\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
\left.U_{\epsilon}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=\left(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, B_{0}\left(F_{\epsilon}\right)\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
\left.\frac{\partial U_{\epsilon}}{\partial y}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=B\left(F_{\epsilon}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\chi, B, B_{0}$ are in (1.9), (1.13), (1.14), (1.17)-(1.20). Here $\epsilon_{1}>0$ (to be defined later) is a function of $\epsilon$ such that $\epsilon_{1} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $F_{\epsilon}=\left(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \bar{X}_{\epsilon}, \bar{Y}_{\epsilon}\right)$ is defined in terms of $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ in Sec. 3.

Following is the main result of this paper
Theorem 1. Let $\epsilon, \delta$ be in ( 0,1 ), let $\Omega$ satisfy P1), P2). Suppose that
(a) $X, Y \in H^{1}(\Omega), G, \nu \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega}), G(x)>0$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.
(b) $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right) \in\left(H^{5 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \times\left(H^{3 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2},(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \in\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{4}$, and (2.1) holds.
(c) System (1.1), (1.2), (1.6)-(1.8) has a solution $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ in $\left(H^{3}(\Omega)\right)^{2}$.

Then, from $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$, we can construct $\left(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \bar{X}_{\epsilon}, \bar{Y}_{\epsilon}\right)$ in $\left(H^{5 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \times$ $\left(H^{3 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2}$ and two functions $\epsilon_{1}(\epsilon), W_{\epsilon}$ such that $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon_{1}(\epsilon)=0$ and that $W_{\epsilon} \in\left(H^{2}(Q)\right)^{3}$ satisfies

$$
U_{\epsilon}-W_{\epsilon} \in V_{\delta}
$$

where $U_{\epsilon}$ is the unique solution of (2.2)-(2.4).

Moreover, there exist positive constants $\delta_{0}, k, C, \theta_{0}$ independent from $\epsilon, \delta$ and a function $\eta(\epsilon)$ satisfying $\lim _{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \eta(\epsilon)=0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{\epsilon}-U_{0}\right\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{k \delta}\right)\right)^{3}} \leq C \eta(\epsilon)+C \delta^{-1}\left(\ln \frac{1}{\eta(\epsilon)}\right)^{-3 / 2}(\eta(\epsilon))^{\theta \delta} M_{0} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\delta<\delta_{0}, 0<\theta<\theta_{0}$ and

$$
M_{0}=1+\left\|\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{5 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}+\|(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\|_{H^{3 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}
$$

If, in addition,

$$
\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right) \in\left(H^{5 / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \times\left(H^{3 / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

for an $s \in(0,1 / 2)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{\epsilon}-U_{0}\right\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{k \delta}\right)\right)^{3}} \leq C M_{1}\left(\epsilon^{s \theta \delta / 9} \delta^{-1}\left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-3 / 2}+\epsilon^{s / 9}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M_{1}=1+\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{\left(H^{2}\left(\Omega_{k \delta}\right)\right)^{3}}+\left\|\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{5 / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}+\|(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\|_{H^{3 / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}
$$

Remark. If

$$
\left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} \leq \delta<\min \left\{\delta_{0}, e^{-k}, \theta_{0}^{-1}\right\}
$$

then (2.6) gives

$$
\left\|U_{\epsilon}-U_{0}\right\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{k \delta^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{3}} \leq C^{\prime} M_{1}\left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

where $\delta^{\prime}=\delta \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$. Thus, in this case, we get an estimate independent from $\delta$.
The proof of the theorem is divided into four steps. In Step 1 (Sec. 3), we shall construct $\left(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \bar{X}_{\epsilon}, \bar{Y}_{\epsilon}\right) \in\left(H^{5 / 2}(0, \pi)\right)^{2} \times\left(H^{3 / 2}(0, \pi)\right)^{2}$ approximating $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right)$ in the norm of $\left(H^{5 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \times\left(H^{3 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2}$. In Step 2 (Sec. 4), we shall construct $W_{\epsilon} \in\left(H^{2}(Q)\right)^{3}$ from $\left(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \bar{X}_{\epsilon}, \bar{Y}_{\epsilon}\right)$ such that $\left(\left.W_{\epsilon}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}, \partial W_{\epsilon} /\left.\partial y\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}\right)$ approximates $\left(\left.U_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}, \partial U_{0} /\left.\partial y\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}\right)$ in a sense to be specified later. In Step 3 (Sec. 5), we shall find a $U_{\epsilon}$ in the form $U_{\epsilon}=Z_{\epsilon}+W_{\epsilon}$, where $Z_{\epsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}^{2}} A^{*}\left(\rho_{\delta}^{2} A Z_{\epsilon}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(\rho_{\delta}^{2} \nabla Z_{\epsilon} \epsilon\right)+\delta Z_{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}^{2}} A^{*}\left(\rho_{\delta}^{2}\left(\chi-W_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+\operatorname{div}\left(\rho_{\delta}^{2} \nabla W_{\epsilon} \epsilon\right)-\delta W_{\epsilon}
$$

subject to the homogeneous condition

$$
\left.Z_{\epsilon}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=\left.\frac{\partial Z_{\epsilon}}{\partial y}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0
$$

Finally, in Step 4 (Sec. 6), an error estimate will be given. In the remainder of the paper, all of proofs of Lemmas will be omitted.

Before going to Step 1 of the proof we set a notation. Letting $H$ be a Hilbert space and letting $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}$ be in $H$, we put

$$
\left\|\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)\right\|_{H}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{H}^{2}
$$

## 3. Step 1 of the Proof

Let $F=(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \in\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{4}, F_{0}=\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right) \in\left(H^{5 / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \times$ $\left(H^{3 / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2}(0 \leq s<1 / 2)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(f-f_{0}, g-g_{0}, \tilde{X}-\bar{X}, \tilde{Y}-\bar{Y}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}<\epsilon \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $F=(f, g, \bar{X}, \tilde{Y})$, we construct $\left(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \bar{X}_{\epsilon}, \bar{Y}_{\epsilon}\right)$ in $\left(H^{3}(0, \pi)\right)^{4}$ approximating $F_{0}=\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right)$.

We divide Step 1 into two parts. In Part i) we construct an operator $P$ which extends a function $\phi \in H^{p}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right), 0 \leq p<3$, to a function $P(\phi)$ in $H^{p}(0, \pi)$. In part ii) we shall construct functions $f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \bar{X}_{\epsilon}, \bar{Y}_{\epsilon}$.
(i) Construction of the operator $P$.

Using the reflexive method (see, e.g., [3], page 10) we can construct $P(\phi) \in$ $H^{p}(0, \pi)$ for every $\phi \in H^{p}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$, such that $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset\left[\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right] \subset(0, \pi)$, and that there exists a $C$ independent from $\phi$ and $p \in[0,3)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P(\phi)\|_{H^{p}(0, \pi)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^{p}\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)} \quad \text { for all } \phi \in H^{p}\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Construction of $F_{\epsilon}=\left(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \bar{X}_{\epsilon}, \bar{Y}_{\epsilon}\right)$

For $\phi \in L^{2}(0, \pi)$, one has the Fourier expansion

$$
\phi=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}(\phi) \sin n x
$$

with

$$
a_{n}(\phi)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \phi(x) \sin n x d x
$$

For $\delta>0$ we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\delta} \phi=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}(\phi)}{1+\delta n^{4}} \sin n x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
f_{\epsilon}=T_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(P f), g_{\epsilon}=T_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(P g), \bar{X}_{=} T_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(P \tilde{X}), \quad Y_{\epsilon}=T_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(P \tilde{Y})
$$

Now, we have the following lemma

## Lemma 1.

(a) If $\phi \in H^{k / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right), k=1,3,5$, for some $0 \leq s<1 / 2$ then there are $C_{1}, C_{2}$ independent from $\phi, s$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{k+2 s}\left|a_{n}(P \phi)\right|^{2} \leq C_{1}\|\phi\|_{H^{k / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for every $0<\delta<1, \psi \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\delta} P \psi-P \phi\right\|_{H^{k / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)} \leq C_{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{k+2 s} \mid a_{n}\left(T_{\delta} P \psi-\left.a_{n}(P \phi)\right|^{2}\right. \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \in\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{4}$ and $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right) \in\left(H^{5 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \times\left(H^{3 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2}$ satisfy (3.1) then there is a constant $C>0$ independent from $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \epsilon$ such that
$\left\|\left(f_{\epsilon}-P f_{0}, g_{\epsilon}-P g_{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{5 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(X_{\epsilon}-P \bar{X}, Y_{\epsilon}-P \bar{Y}\right)\right\|_{H^{3 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}^{2} \leq C \eta^{2}(\epsilon)$,
where

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta(\epsilon)= & \epsilon+\epsilon^{1 / 9}\left(\left\|\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{5 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}^{2}+\|(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\|_{H^{3 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{n \geq\left[\epsilon^{-1 / 9}\right]+1}\left(\left|a_{n}\left(P f_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|a_{n}\left(P g_{0}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{n \geq\left[\epsilon^{-1 / 9}\right]+1}\left(\left|a_{n}(P \bar{X})\right|^{2}+\left|a_{n}(P \bar{Y})\right|^{2}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

(c) Let $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right) \in\left(H^{5 / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \times\left(H^{3 / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2}, 0<s<1 / 2$. If (3.1) holds then there is a constant $C$ independent from $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right)$ such that

$$
L H S \text { of }(3.5)+L H S \text { of }(3.6) \leq C \eta_{1}^{2}(\epsilon),
$$

where

$$
\eta_{1}^{2}(\epsilon)=\epsilon^{2 s / 9}\left(1+\left\|\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{5 / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}^{2}+\|(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\|_{H^{3 / 2+s}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}^{2}\right)
$$

and LHS denotes the left hand side.

## 4. Step 2 of the Proof

We shall construct a function $W_{\epsilon} \in\left(H^{2}(Q)\right)^{3}$ such that $\left(\left.W_{\epsilon}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}, \partial W_{\epsilon} /\left.\partial y\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}\right)$ approximates $\left(\left.U_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}, \partial U_{0} /\left.\partial y\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}\right)$ in $\left(H^{5 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{3} \times\left(H^{5 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{3}$.

Define $\Phi: L^{2}(0, \pi) \times L^{2}(0, \pi) \rightarrow H^{2}(Q)$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\phi_{0}, \psi_{0}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n y} \sin x\left((1+\sin n y) a_{n}\left(\phi_{0}\right)+\frac{a_{n}\left(\psi_{0}\right)}{n} \sin n y\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, we recall

$$
a_{n}(\phi)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \phi(x) \sin n x d x .
$$

We have

Lemma 2. The operator $\Phi$ has the following properties
(a) $\Phi\left(\phi_{0}, \psi_{0}\right) \in C^{2}(Q), \quad$ for all $\left(\phi_{0}, \psi_{0}\right) \in\left(L^{2}(0, \pi)\right)^{2}$. Moreover, if

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(n^{3}\left|a_{n}\left(\phi_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+n\left|a_{n}\left(\psi_{0}\right)\right|^{2}\right)<\infty
$$

then $\Phi\left(\phi_{0}, \psi_{0}\right) \in H^{2}(Q)$ and

$$
\left.\Phi\left(\phi_{0}, \psi_{0}\right)\right|_{(0, \pi) \times\{0\}}=\phi_{0},\left.\frac{\partial \Phi\left(\phi_{0}, \psi_{0}\right)}{\partial y}\right|_{(0, \pi) \times\{0\}}=\psi_{0}
$$

and there is a constant $C$ independent from $\phi_{0}, \psi_{0}$ such that

$$
\left\|\Phi\left(\phi_{0}, \psi_{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{2}(Q)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{n-0}^{\infty}\left(n^{3}\left|a_{n}\left(\phi_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+n\left|a_{n}\left(\psi_{0}\right)\right|^{2}\right)
$$

(b) If $F=(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), F_{0}=\left(f_{0}, g_{0}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}\right)$ are as in Lemma 1 (b), then

$$
W_{\epsilon}=\left(\Phi\left(f_{\epsilon}, P B_{1}\left(F_{\epsilon}\right)\right), \Phi\left(g_{\epsilon}, P B_{2}\left(F_{\epsilon}\right)\right), \Phi\left(P B_{0}\left(F_{\epsilon}\right), P B_{3}\left(F_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right)
$$

is in $\left(H^{2}(Q)\right)^{3}$. Moreover, one has

$$
\left\|W_{\epsilon}-W_{0}\right\|_{\left(H^{2}(Q)\right)^{3}}^{2} \leq C \eta(\epsilon)
$$

where $\eta(\epsilon)$ as in Lemma 1 (b) and

$$
W_{0}=\left(\Phi\left(f_{0}, P B_{1}\left(F_{0}\right)\right), \Phi\left(g_{0}, P B_{2}\left(F_{0}\right)\right), \Phi\left(P B_{0}\left(F_{0}\right), P B_{3}\left(F_{0}\right)\right)\right)
$$

(c) Under the assumptions of Lemma 1(c), we have

$$
\left\|W_{\epsilon}-W_{0}\right\|_{\left(H^{2}(Q)\right)^{3}}^{2} \leq C \eta_{1}(\epsilon)
$$

where $\eta_{1}(\epsilon)$ is as in Lemma 1 (c).
5. Step 3 of the Proof: Construction of Regularized Solution by QR Method and Preliminary Error Estimates
5.1. Construction of regularized solution

On $V_{\delta}$, we consider the norm

$$
\|V\|_{V_{\delta}}=\left\|\left(V, \rho_{\delta} A V, \rho_{\delta} D_{1} V, \rho_{\delta} D_{2} V\right)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}
$$

It can be shown (cf. [5]) that $V_{\delta}$ with this norm is a Hilbert space. Accordingly we have

Lemma 3. Let $\delta>0, \epsilon>0$. Let $W_{\epsilon}$ be as in Lemmas 1 and 2 and let $X, Y \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. Put $\epsilon_{1}=\eta(\epsilon)$. Then the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}^{2}} A^{*}\left(\rho_{\delta}^{2} A U_{\epsilon}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(\rho_{\delta}^{2} \nabla U_{\epsilon}\right)+\delta U_{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}^{2}} A^{*}\left(\rho_{\delta}^{2} F\right)  \tag{5.1}\\
\left.U_{\epsilon}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=\left(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, B_{0}\left(F_{\epsilon}\right)\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
\left.\frac{\partial U_{\epsilon}}{\partial y}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=B\left(F_{\epsilon}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

has a unique solution $U_{\epsilon}$ satisfying $U_{\epsilon}-W_{\epsilon} \in V_{\delta}$, where $W_{\epsilon}$ is defined in Lemma 2.
5.2. Error estimates: preliminary results.

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{\delta} A\left(Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}^{2} \leq C \eta(\epsilon)\left(1+\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{\left(H^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}\right), \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}^{2}+\left\|\rho_{\delta} \nabla\left(Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}^{2} \leq C \delta^{-1}\left(1+\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{\left(H^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}\right), \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z=U_{0}-W_{0}$, with $W_{0}$ defined in Lemma 2(b).
In fact, since $Z_{\epsilon}, Z \in V_{\delta}$, one has for every $W \in V_{\delta}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\epsilon}\left(Z_{\epsilon}, W\right)= & \frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}^{2}}\left\langle\rho_{\delta}\left(F-A W_{\epsilon}\right), \rho_{\delta} A W\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle\rho_{\delta} \nabla W_{\epsilon}, \rho_{\delta} \nabla W\right\rangle-\delta\left\langle W_{\epsilon}, W\right\rangle \\
\pi_{\epsilon}(Z, W)= & \frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}^{2}}\left\langle\rho_{\delta}\left(F-A W_{0}\right), \rho_{\delta} A W\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the difference of the foregoing equalities, letting $W=Z_{\epsilon}-Z$ and estimating, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\rho_{\delta} A\left(Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}^{2} & +\epsilon_{1}^{2}\left\|\rho_{\delta} \nabla\left(Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}^{2}+\delta \epsilon_{1}^{2}\left\|Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}^{2} \\
& \leq C \epsilon_{1}^{2}\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{\left(H^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}+C\left\|W_{\epsilon}-W_{0}\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\epsilon_{1}=\eta(\epsilon)$, it follows from Lemma 2(b) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\rho_{\delta} A\left(Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}^{2} & +\eta^{2}(\epsilon)\left\|\rho_{\delta} \nabla\left(Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}^{2}+\delta \eta^{2}(\epsilon)\left\|Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}^{2} \\
\leq & C \eta^{2}(\epsilon)\left(1+\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{\left(H^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (5.4), (5.5) hold.

## 6. Step 4 of the Proof: a Carleman Type Estimate

6.1. We first consider a simple case. One has

Lemma 4. Let $\Omega$ be a simply connected domain satisfying (P1), (P2) as discussed. Then there exist a conformal mapping $\Lambda: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \Lambda(\bar{\Omega})$ and constants $\delta_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda(\bar{\Omega}) & \subset\{(z, t): 1 / 2 \leq t \leq 1\} \\
\Lambda\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) & \subset\{(z, 1): z \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
\Lambda\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\delta}\right) & \subset\left\{(z, t): t \leq 1-C_{2} \delta\right\}  \tag{6.1}\\
\Lambda\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\delta}\right) & \supset\left\{(z, t) \in \Lambda(\bar{\Omega}): t \leq 1-C_{1} \delta\right\} \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $0<\delta<\delta_{0}$.
We now turn to the derivation of an inequality of the Carleman type. Consider an elliptic operator

$$
L V=\mu \Delta V+H(V, \nabla V)
$$

where $V=V(z, t) \in\left(H^{2}(\Lambda(\Omega))\right)^{3}, \mu \in C(\overline{\Lambda(\Omega)})$ and $H$ depends linearly on $(V, \nabla V)$. From Lemma 4, one has

$$
D \equiv \Lambda(\Omega) \subset(a, b) \times(1 / 2,1), \quad a<b
$$

We have
Lemma 5. Let $V \in\left(H^{2}(D)\right)^{3}$ and let

$$
\left.V\right|_{\partial D}=0,\left.\nabla V\right|_{\partial D}=0
$$

Then there exist $C, \lambda_{0}$ independent from $V$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{3} \int_{D}|V|^{2} e^{2 \lambda t^{-m}} d z d t+\lambda \int_{D}|\nabla V|^{2} e^{2 \lambda t^{-m}} d z d t \\
\leq & C \int_{D}|L V|^{2} e^{2 \lambda t^{-m}} d z d t, \quad \text { for all } \lambda \geq \lambda_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|W|^{2}=w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}+w_{3}^{2}$ for $W=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)$.
6.2. Error estimates

Put

$$
V=\left(Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right) \circ \Lambda^{-1}, Z_{\epsilon}=U_{\epsilon}-W_{\epsilon}, Z=U_{0}-W_{0}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is as in Lemma 4. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V\right|_{\Lambda\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}=0,\left.\nabla V\right|_{\Lambda\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}=0 \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi(z, t) & = \begin{cases}1, & \text { for all } \quad(z, t) \in D, 0<t<1-2 C_{1} \delta, \\
0, & \text { for all } \quad(z, t) \in D, t>1-C_{1} \delta,\end{cases}  \tag{6.4}\\
|\nabla \xi(z, t)| & \leq C \delta^{-1}, \quad \text { for all } \quad(z, t) \in D,
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is as in Lemma 4.
From (6.3), (6.4), it follows that the function $\xi V$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5. Put

$$
L V=A(V \circ \Lambda)
$$

Since $A V=\Delta V+R(V)$ and since $\Lambda$ is a conformal mapping, $L V$ has the form as in Lemma 5. Hence, Lemma 5 gives after some rearrangements

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{3} e^{2 \lambda\left(1-2 C_{1} \delta\right)^{-m}}\|V\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(D_{1 \delta}\right)\right)^{3}}^{2} & \leq \frac{C}{\delta}\|V\|_{\left(H^{1}\left(D_{2 \delta}\right)\right)^{3}}^{2} e^{2 \lambda\left(1-C_{1} \delta\right)^{-m}} \\
& +C e^{2 \lambda .2^{m}}\|L V\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(D_{3 \delta}\right)\right)^{3}}^{2}, \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1 \delta} & =D \cap\left\{1 / 2<t<1-2 C_{1} \delta\right\}, \\
D_{2 \delta} & =D \cap\left\{1-2 C_{1} \delta<t<1-C_{1} \delta\right\}, \\
D_{3 \delta} & =D \cap\left\{1 / 2<t<1-C_{1} \delta\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 4 and from (6.5), we get in view of the fact $V=\left(Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right) \circ \Lambda^{-1}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{k \delta}\right)\right)^{3}}^{2} & \leq \lambda^{-3} \frac{C}{\delta} e^{2 \lambda\left(\left(1-C_{1} \delta\right)^{-m}-\left(1-2 C_{1} \delta\right)^{-m}\right)}\left\|Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right\|_{\left(H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)\right)^{3}}^{2} \\
& +C \lambda^{-3} e^{2 \lambda\left(2^{m}-\left(1-2 C_{1} \delta\right)^{-m}\right)}\left\|A\left(Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)\right)^{3}}^{2} . \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we choose a $\lambda$ such that

$$
e^{2 \lambda\left(\left(1-C_{1} \delta\right)^{-m}-2^{m+1}\right)}=\eta^{2}(\epsilon),
$$

or equivalently that

$$
\lambda=\left(2^{m+1}-\left(1-C_{1} \delta\right)^{-m}\right) \ln \frac{1}{\eta(\epsilon)} .
$$

Using the latter equality, we can find a $\delta_{0}$ such that

$$
\left\|Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{k \delta}\right)\right)^{3}}^{2} \leq 2 C \lambda^{-3} \delta^{-2} e^{-\lambda m C_{1} \delta}\left(1+\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{\left(H^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}\right)
$$

for $0<\delta<\delta_{0}$.
Hence, if we put $\theta=m C_{1} /\left(2^{m+1}-1\right)$ then we get after some computations that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{k \delta}\right)\right)^{3}}^{2} \leq 2 C \lambda^{-3} \delta^{-2}(\eta(\epsilon))^{\theta \delta}\left(1+\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{\left(H^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}\right) . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we have

$$
U_{\epsilon}-U_{0}=\left(Z_{\epsilon}-Z\right)+\left(W_{\epsilon}-W_{0}\right)
$$

Hence (6.7) and Lemma 2(b) give

$$
\left\|U_{\epsilon}-U_{0}\right\|_{\left.\left(L^{2} \Omega_{k \delta}\right)\right)^{3}}^{2} \leq C\left(\lambda^{-3} \delta^{-2}(\eta(\epsilon))^{\theta \delta}\left(1+\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{\left(H^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}\right)+\eta^{2}(\epsilon)\right),
$$

i.e., (2.5) holds. From (2.5) and Lemma 2(c) we get (2.6). This completes the proof of our theorem.
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