Vietnam Journal of Mathematics 32:2 (2004) 197–208

Vietnam Journal of MATHEMATICS © VAST 2004

# A Cauchy Like Problem in Plane Elasticity: Regularization by Quasi-reversibility with Error Estimates<sup>\*</sup>

Dang Dinh Ang<sup>1</sup>, Dang Duc Trong<sup>1</sup>, and M. Yamamoto<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Math. and Infor., Vietnam National University of Ho Chi Minh City, 227 Nguyen Van Cu Str., 5 Dist., Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam <sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, Japan

Received May 15, 2003

**Abstract.** We consider the problem of finding the displacement field in an elastic body from displacements and stresses on a part of boundary of the elastic body. This is an ill-posed problem. We use the method of quasi-reversibility to regularize the problem. An estimate of the error is given.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a plane elastic body and let  $\Gamma_0$  be an open subset of  $\partial\Omega$ . In the present paper, we consider the problem of finding the displacement field on  $\Omega$ . In fact, let u, v be the displacements in the x- and y-directions respectively and let the stress field  $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \tau_{xy}$  satisfy the following system of equations

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} + X = 0,$$
 (1.1)

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial x} + Y = 0, \qquad (1.2)$$

where X, Y, the given body forces (in the x-, y-directions respectively), are assumed to be in  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the Council for Natural Sciences of Vietnam

Assuming plane stress, we have the following relations

$$\tau_{xy} = G\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \equiv G\gamma_{xy},\tag{1.3}$$

$$\sigma_x - \nu \sigma_y = E \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad \sigma_y - \nu \sigma_x = E \frac{\partial v}{\partial y},$$
(1.4)

where  $E, G, \nu$  can be calculated from the Lamé coefficients  $\lambda, \mu$  as follows (cf. [10])

$$G = \frac{\mu}{2}, \quad \nu = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}, \quad E = \frac{\mu(2\lambda + \mu)}{\lambda + \mu}.$$
 (1.5)

Let the displacements and the surface stresses be given on the portion  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\partial\Omega$ , i.e.,

$$(u,v)|_{\Gamma_0} = (f_0,g_0) \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\ell \sigma_x + m \tau_{xy} = \overline{X} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0, \tag{1.7}$$

$$m\sigma_y + \ell \tau_{xy} = Y$$
 on  $\Gamma_0$ , (1.8)

where  $(\ell, m)$  is the exterior unit normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . Here  $(f_0, g_0)$ ,  $(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$  are the surface displacements and surface stresses respectively.

Proceeding as in [1], we get after some rearrangements the system

$$\Delta U = -R(U) + \chi, \tag{1.9}$$

where  $U = (u, v, e), e = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, R = (R_1, R_2, R_3), \chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$  with  $D_{(U)} = \frac{1 + \nu}{2} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x} + \frac{2}{2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}$ 

$$R_{1}(U) = \frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu}\frac{\partial e}{\partial x} + \frac{2}{G}\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{G}\frac{\partial G}{\partial y}\gamma_{xy} + \frac{e}{G}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{2G\nu}{1-\nu}\right),$$

$$(1.10)$$

$$R_2(U) = \frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu}\frac{\partial e}{\partial y} + \frac{2}{G}\frac{\partial G}{\partial y}\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{G}\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}\gamma_{xy} + \frac{e}{G}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{2G\nu}{1-\nu}\right),\tag{1.11}$$

$$R_{3}(U) = \frac{1-\nu}{G} \left\{ \frac{\partial e}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{G(1+\nu)}{1-\nu} \right) + \frac{\partial e}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{G(1+\nu)}{1-\nu} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} R_{1}(U) - \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} R_{2}(U) + \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial x \partial y} \gamma_{xy} + e \Delta \left( \frac{G\nu}{1-\nu} \right) \right\},$$
(1.12)

and

$$\chi_1 = -X/G, \quad \chi_2 = -Y/G,$$
 (1.13)

$$\chi_3 = -\frac{1-\nu}{G} \left( \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Y}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{1-\nu}{G^2} \left( X \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} + Y \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \right).$$
(1.14)

From now on, we shall consider the portion  $\Gamma_0$  as a subset of the segment  $\{(x,0): 0 < x < \pi\}$ . In this case  $(\ell,m) = (0,-1)$ . Hence (1.7), (1.8) can be rewritten as

$$\tau_{xy} = -\overline{X}, \quad \sigma_y = -\overline{Y}. \tag{1.15}$$

By direct computation, one has

$$U|_{\Gamma_0} = (f_0, g_0, B_0(F_0)), \tag{1.16}$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}|_{\Gamma_0} = B(F_0) \equiv (B_1(F_0), B_2(F_0), B_3(F_0)), \qquad (1.17)$$

where  $F_0 = (f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$  and

$$B_0(F_0) = (1-\nu)\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} - \frac{(1-\nu)\overline{Y}}{2G},$$
(1.18)

$$B_1(F_0) = -\overline{X}/G - \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x}, \quad B_2(F_0) = -(1-\nu)\overline{Y}/G - \nu\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x}, \tag{1.19}$$

$$B_{3}(F_{0}) = -(1-\nu)\frac{\partial^{2}g_{0}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{1}{G}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{2G\nu}{1-\nu}\right)\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial x} + \frac{(1-\nu)\nu}{G}\frac{\partial G}{\partial y}\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial x}\frac{(1-\nu)^{2}}{4\nu^{2}G^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{2G\nu}{1-\nu}\right)\overline{Y} + \frac{(1-\nu^{2})\overline{Y}}{G^{2}}\frac{\partial G}{\partial y} - \frac{1-\nu}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\overline{X}}{G}\right) + \frac{(1-\nu)\overline{X}}{2G^{2}}\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} - \frac{(1-\nu)Y}{2G}.$$
 (1.20)

From (1.9), (1.16), (1.17), it follows that our problem is a Cauchy-type problem and it is ill-posed. In Lattès-Lions' book [5], Chap. 4, the Cauchy problem for an elliptic equation is regularized by the method of quasi-reversibility. However, (1.1), (1.2), (1.6) - (1.8) were not considered in [5]. In practice, measured values  $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  of the exact boundary data  $(f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$  are given only at a finite set of points. It should be noted that exact solutions of (1.3) - (1.6), with  $(f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$  replaced by  $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ , usually do not exist. In fact, the set of boundary data  $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  for which our system has no solution is dense in  $(L^2(\Gamma_0))^4$ . If (1.2) - (1.6) have a solution in  $(H^2(\Omega))^2$  (which is a natural solution space) then  $(u, v)|_{\Gamma_0} \in (H^{3/2}(\Gamma_0))^2$ . Thus if f, g are step functions then (1.3)-(1.6) have no solution in  $(H^2(\Omega))^2$ . In the present paper, we take the given data  $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  as  $L^2$ -functions and we shall regularize both the boundary data and the solution of our system. Explicit estimates will be derived.

#### 2. Notations and Main Result

Consider  $\Omega$  satisfying  $\Omega \subset Q = [0, \pi] \times [0, T]$ ,  $\Gamma_0 = \{(x, 0) : 0 < \alpha_0 < x < \beta_0 < \pi\}$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_0$ .

We assume that there exists a simply connected domain  $\Omega^*$  satisfying (P1) The boundary  $\partial \Omega^*$  is  $C^{1+\alpha}$  (0 <  $\alpha$  < 1) and

$$\Omega^* \supset \Omega \cup \Gamma_0, \ \Gamma_1 = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_0 \subset \partial \Omega^*.$$

(P2) For each  $x \in \partial \Omega^*$  we can find an open ball  $\omega$  such that  $x \in \partial \omega$  and  $\omega \subset \Omega^*$ .

For each  $\delta > 0$ , put

$$\Omega_{\delta} = \big\{ (x, y) \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist} ((x, y), \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega^*) > \delta \big\},\$$

where dist  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$   $(\omega_1, \omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2)$  is the distance between  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ . Let  $\rho_{\delta}$  be a nonegative  $C^2$ -function satisfying

$$\rho_{\delta}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } (x,y) \in \Omega_{\delta}, \\ 0, & \text{for } (x,y) \in \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\delta/2} \end{cases}$$

Put

$$V_{\delta} = \{ V : V \in (L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}, \rho_{\delta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \xi} \in (L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}, \xi = x, y \}$$
$$\rho_{\delta} AV \in (L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}, V|_{\Gamma_{0}} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \}$$

where  $AV = \Delta V + R(V)$  and R(V) is defined in (1.9)-(1.12).

Let  $U_0 = (u_0, v_0, e_0)$  be a solution of (1.9), (1.16), (1.17) corresponding to the (possibly unknown) data  $F_0 = (f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$  defined on  $\Gamma_0$ . Let  $F = (f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  be a "measured" data of  $F_0$ . Assume that

$$\|f - f_0\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}^2 + \|g - g_0\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}^2 + \|\overline{X} - \tilde{X}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}^2 + \|\overline{Y} - \tilde{Y}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}^2 < \epsilon^2.$$
(2.1)

We shall consider a regularized solution  $U_{\epsilon}$  satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_1^2} A^*(\rho_\delta^2 A U_\epsilon) - div(\rho_\delta \nabla U_\epsilon) + \delta U_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon_1^2} A^*(\rho_\delta^2 \chi), \qquad (2.2)$$

$$U_{\epsilon}|_{\Gamma_0} = (f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, B_0(F_{\epsilon})), \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial U_{\epsilon}}{\partial y}|_{\Gamma_0} = B(F_{\epsilon}), \qquad (2.4)$$

where  $\chi, B, B_0$  are in (1.9), (1.13), (1.14), (1.17) - (1.20). Here  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  (to be defined later) is a function of  $\epsilon$  such that  $\epsilon_1 \to 0$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , and  $F_{\epsilon} = (f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \overline{X}_{\epsilon}, \overline{Y}_{\epsilon})$  is defined in terms of  $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  in Sec. 3.

Following is the main result of this paper

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\epsilon, \delta$  be in (0,1), let  $\Omega$  satisfy P1), P2). Suppose that

- (a)  $X, Y \in H^1(\Omega), G, \nu \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}), G(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . (b)  $(f \to \overline{X}, \overline{X}) \in (H^{5/2}(\Gamma))^2 \times (H^{3/2}(\Gamma))^2$   $(f \to \tilde{X}, \tilde{X})$
- (b)  $(f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \in (H^{5/2}(\Gamma_0))^2 \times (H^{3/2}(\Gamma_0))^2$ ,  $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \in (L^2(\Gamma_0))^4$ , and (2.1) holds.
- (c) System (1.1), (1.2), (1.6) (1.8) has a solution  $(u_0, v_0)$  in  $(H^3(\Omega))^2$ . Then, from  $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ , we can construct  $(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \overline{X}_{\epsilon}, \overline{Y}_{\epsilon})$  in  $(H^{5/2}(\Gamma_0))^2 \times$
- $(H^{3/2}(\Gamma_0))^2$  and two functions  $\epsilon_1(\epsilon), W_{\epsilon}$  such that  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon_1(\epsilon) = 0$  and that  $W_{\epsilon} \in (H^2(Q))^3$  satisfies

$$U_{\epsilon} - W_{\epsilon} \in V_{\delta},$$

where  $U_{\epsilon}$  is the unique solution of (2.2) - (2.4).

Moreover, there exist positive constants  $\delta_0, k, C, \theta_0$  independent from  $\epsilon, \delta$  and a function  $\eta(\epsilon)$  satisfying  $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \eta(\epsilon) = 0$  such that

$$\|U_{\epsilon} - U_0\|_{(L^2(\Omega_{k\delta}))^3} \le C\eta(\epsilon) + C\delta^{-1} \left(\ln\frac{1}{\eta(\epsilon)}\right)^{-3/2} (\eta(\epsilon))^{\theta\delta} M_0, \qquad (2.5)$$

where  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ ,  $0 < \theta < \theta_0$  and

$$M_0 = 1 + \|(f_0, g_0)\|_{H^{5/2}(\Gamma_0)} + \|(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\|_{H^{3/2}(\Gamma_0)}$$

If, in addition,

$$(f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \in (H^{5/2+s}(\Gamma_0))^2 \times (H^{3/2+s}(\Gamma_0))^2$$

for an  $s \in (0, 1/2)$ , then

$$\|U_{\epsilon} - U_0\|_{(L^2(\Omega_{k\delta}))^3} \le CM_1 \left(\epsilon^{s\theta\delta/9}\delta^{-1} \left(\ln\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-3/2} + \epsilon^{s/9}\right), \qquad (2.6)$$

where

$$M_1 = 1 + \|U_0\|_{(H^2(\Omega_{k\delta}))^3} + \|(f_0, g_0)\|_{H^{5/2+s}(\Gamma_0)} + \|(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\|_{H^{3/2+s}(\Gamma_0)}.$$

Remark. If

$$\left(\ln\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} \le \delta < \min\{\delta_0, \ e^{-k}, \theta_0^{-1}\},\$$

then (2.6) gives

$$||U_{\epsilon} - U_0||_{(L^2(\Omega_{k\delta'}))^3} \le C' M_1 \left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1/2},$$

where  $\delta' = \delta \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$ . Thus, in this case, we get an estimate independent from  $\delta$ .

The proof of the theorem is divided into four steps. In Step 1 (Sec. 3), we shall construct  $(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \overline{X}_{\epsilon}, \overline{Y}_{\epsilon}) \in (H^{5/2}(0, \pi))^2 \times (H^{3/2}(0, \pi))^2$  approximating  $(f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$  in the norm of  $(H^{5/2}(\Gamma_0))^2 \times (H^{3/2}(\Gamma_0))^2$ . In Step 2 (Sec. 4), we shall construct  $W_{\epsilon} \in (H^2(Q))^3$  from  $(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \overline{X}_{\epsilon}, \overline{Y}_{\epsilon})$  such that  $(W_{\epsilon}|_{\Gamma_0}, \partial W_{\epsilon}/\partial y|_{\Gamma_0})$  approximates  $(U_0|_{\Gamma_0}, \partial U_0/\partial y|_{\Gamma_0})$  in a sense to be specified later. In Step 3 (Sec. 5), we shall find a  $U_{\epsilon}$  in the form  $U_{\epsilon} = Z_{\epsilon} + W_{\epsilon}$ , where  $Z_{\epsilon}$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_1^2} A^*(\rho_\delta^2 A Z_\epsilon) - \operatorname{div}(\rho_\delta^2 \nabla Z_\epsilon \epsilon) + \delta Z_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon_1^2} A^*(\rho_\delta^2(\chi - W_\epsilon)) + \operatorname{div}(\rho_\delta^2 \nabla W_\epsilon \epsilon) - \delta W_\epsilon$$

subject to the homogeneous condition

$$Z_{\epsilon}|_{\Gamma_0} = \frac{\partial Z_{\epsilon}}{\partial y}|_{\Gamma_0} = 0.$$

Finally, in Step 4 (Sec. 6), an error estimate will be given. In the remainder of the paper, all of proofs of Lemmas will be omitted.

Before going to Step 1 of the proof we set a notation. Letting H be a Hilbert space and letting  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_m$  be in H, we put

$$||(u_1, ..., u_m)||_H^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m ||u_i||_H^2$$

## 3. Step 1 of the Proof

Let  $F = (f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \in (L^2(\Gamma_0))^4$ ,  $F_0 = (f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \in (H^{5/2+s}(\Gamma_0))^2 \times (H^{3/2+s}(\Gamma_0))^2$  ( $0 \le s < 1/2$ ) satisfying

$$\|(f - f_0, g - g_0, \tilde{X} - \overline{X}, \tilde{Y} - \overline{Y})\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} < \epsilon.$$

$$(3.1)$$

From  $F = (f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ , we construct  $(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \overline{X}_{\epsilon}, \overline{Y}_{\epsilon})$  in  $(H^3(0, \pi))^4$  approximating  $F_0 = (f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$ .

We divide Step 1 into two parts. In Part i) we construct an operator P which extends a function  $\phi \in H^p(\Gamma_0)$ ,  $0 \le p < 3$ , to a function  $P(\phi)$  in  $H^p(0, \pi)$ . In part ii) we shall construct functions  $f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \overline{X_{\epsilon}}, \overline{Y_{\epsilon}}$ .

(i) Construction of the operator P.

Using the reflexive method (see, e.g., [3], page 10) we can construct  $P(\phi) \in H^p(0,\pi)$  for every  $\phi \in H^p(\Gamma_0)$ , such that supp  $\phi \subset [\alpha',\beta'] \subset (0,\pi)$ , and that there exists a C independent from  $\phi$  and  $p \in [0,3)$  such that

$$\|P(\phi)\|_{H^{p}(0,\pi)} \le C \|\phi\|_{H^{p}(\alpha_{0},\beta_{0})} \quad \text{for all } \phi \in H^{p}(\alpha_{0},\beta_{0}).$$
(3.2)

(ii) Construction of  $F_{\epsilon} = (f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \overline{X}_{\epsilon}, \overline{Y}_{\epsilon})$ 

For  $\phi \in L^2(0,\pi)$ , one has the Fourier expansion

$$\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(\phi) \sin nx$$

with

$$a_n(\phi) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) \sin nx dx.$$

For  $\delta > 0$  we put

$$T_{\delta}\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(\phi)}{1+\delta n^4} \sin nx, \qquad (3.3)$$

and

$$f_{\epsilon} = T_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(Pf), \ g_{\epsilon} = T_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(Pg), \ \overline{X} = T_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(P\tilde{X}), \ Y_{\epsilon} = T_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(P\tilde{Y}).$$

Now, we have the following lemma

#### Lemma 1.

(a) If  $\phi \in H^{k/2+s}(\Gamma_0)$ , k = 1, 3, 5, for some  $0 \le s < 1/2$  then there are  $C_1, C_2$  independent from  $\phi$ , s such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{k+2s} |a_n(P\phi)|^2 \le C_1 \|\phi\|_{H^{k/2+s}(\Gamma_0)}$$
(3.4)

and for every  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $\psi \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$ ,

$$\|T_{\delta}P\psi - P\phi\|_{H^{k/2+s}(\Gamma_0)} \le C_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{k+2s} |a_n(T_{\delta}P\psi - a_n(P\phi))|^2.$$
(3.5)

(b) If  $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \in (L^2(\Gamma_0))^4$  and  $(f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \in (H^{5/2}(\Gamma_0))^2 \times (H^{3/2}(\Gamma_0))^2$ satisfy (3.1) then there is a constant C > 0 independent from  $(f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \epsilon$ such that

$$\|(f_{\epsilon} - Pf_0, g_{\epsilon} - Pg_0)\|_{H^{5/2}(\Gamma_0)}^2 + \|(X_{\epsilon} - P\overline{X}, Y_{\epsilon} - P\overline{Y})\|_{H^{3/2}(\Gamma_0)}^2 \le C\eta^2(\epsilon),$$
(3.6)

where

$$\eta(\epsilon) = \epsilon + \epsilon^{1/9} \left( \| (f_0, g_0) \|_{H^{5/2}(\Gamma_0)}^2 + \| (\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \|_{H^{3/2}(\Gamma_0)}^2 \right) + \sum_{n \ge [\epsilon^{-1/9}] + 1} (|a_n(Pf_0)|^2 + |a_n(Pg_0)|^2) + \sum_{n \ge [\epsilon^{-1/9}] + 1} (|a_n(P\overline{X})|^2 + |a_n(P\overline{Y})|^2).$$
(3.7)

(c) Let  $(f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \in (H^{5/2+s}(\Gamma_0))^2 \times (H^{3/2+s}(\Gamma_0))^2, \ 0 < \underline{s} < \underline{1}/2.$  If (3.1) holds then there is a constant C independent from  $(f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$  such that

LHS of (3.5) + LHS of (3.6) 
$$\leq C\eta_1^2(\epsilon)$$

where

$$\eta_1^2(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{2s/9} (1 + \|(f_0, g_0)\|_{H^{5/2+s}(\Gamma_0)}^2 + \|(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\|_{H^{3/2+s}(\Gamma_0)}^2)$$

and LHS denotes the left hand side.

# 4. Step 2 of the Proof

We shall construct a function  $W_{\epsilon} \in (H^2(Q))^3$  such that  $(W_{\epsilon}|_{\Gamma_0}, \partial W_{\epsilon}/\partial y|_{\Gamma_0})$ approximates  $(U_0|_{\Gamma_0}, \partial U_0/\partial y|_{\Gamma_0})$  in  $(H^{5/2}(\Gamma_0))^3 \times (H^{5/2}(\Gamma_0))^3$ . Define  $\Phi: L^2(0,\pi) \times L^2(0,\pi) \to H^2(Q)$  as follows

$$\Phi(\phi_0, \psi_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-ny} \sin x \Big( (1 + \sin ny) a_n(\phi_0) + \frac{a_n(\psi_0)}{n} \sin ny \Big), \qquad (4.1)$$

where, we recall

$$a_n(\phi) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) \sin nx dx.$$

We have

**Lemma 2.** The operator  $\Phi$  has the following properties (a)  $\Phi(\phi_0, \psi_0) \in C^2(Q)$ , for all  $(\phi_0, \psi_0) \in (L^2(0, \pi))^2$ . Moreover, if Dang Dinh Ang, Dang Duc Trong, and M. Yamamoto

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n^3 |a_n(\phi_0)|^2 + n |a_n(\psi_0)|^2) < \infty,$$

then  $\Phi(\phi_0, \psi_0) \in H^2(Q)$  and

$$\Phi(\phi_0,\psi_0)|_{(0,\pi)\times\{0\}} = \phi_0, \ \frac{\partial\Phi(\phi_0,\psi_0)}{\partial y}|_{(0,\pi)\times\{0\}} = \psi_0$$

and there is a constant C independent from  $\phi_0, \psi_0$  such that

$$\|\Phi(\phi_0,\psi_0)\|_{H^2(Q)}^2 \le C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n^3 |a_n(\phi_0)|^2 + n |a_n(\psi_0)|^2).$$

(b) If  $F = (f, g, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ ,  $F_0 = (f_0, g_0, \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$  are as in Lemma 1 (b), then

$$W_{\epsilon} = (\Phi(f_{\epsilon}, PB_1(F_{\epsilon})), \Phi(g_{\epsilon}, PB_2(F_{\epsilon})), \Phi(PB_0(F_{\epsilon}), PB_3(F_{\epsilon})))$$

is in  $(H^2(Q))^3$ . Moreover, one has

$$||W_{\epsilon} - W_0||^2_{(H^2(Q))^3} \le C\eta(\epsilon),$$

where  $\eta(\epsilon)$  as in Lemma 1 (b) and

$$W_0 = (\Phi(f_0, PB_1(F_0)), \Phi(g_0, PB_2(F_0)), \Phi(PB_0(F_0), PB_3(F_0))).$$

(c) Under the assumptions of Lemma 1(c), we have

$$||W_{\epsilon} - W_0||^2_{(H^2(Q))^3} \le C\eta_1(\epsilon)$$

where  $\eta_1(\epsilon)$  is as in Lemma 1(c).

# 5. Step 3 of the Proof: Construction of Regularized Solution by QR Method and Preliminary Error Estimates

# 5.1. Construction of regularized solution

On  $V_{\delta}$ , we consider the norm

$$\|V\|_{V_{\delta}} = \|(V, \rho_{\delta}AV, \rho_{\delta}D_{1}V, \rho_{\delta}D_{2}V)\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}.$$

It can be shown (cf. [5]) that  $V_{\delta}$  with this norm is a Hilbert space. Accordingly we have

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $W_{\epsilon}$  be as in Lemmas 1 and 2 and let  $X, Y \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Put  $\epsilon_1 = \eta(\epsilon)$ . Then the system

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_1^2} A^*(\rho_\delta^2 A U_\epsilon) - div(\rho_\delta^2 \nabla U_\epsilon) + \delta U_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon_1^2} A^*(\rho_\delta^2 F)$$
(5.1)

$$U_{\epsilon}|_{\Gamma_0} = (f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, B_0(F_{\epsilon})), \qquad (5.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial U_{\epsilon}}{\partial y}|_{\Gamma_0} = B(F_{\epsilon}) \tag{5.3}$$

has a unique solution  $U_{\epsilon}$  satisfying  $U_{\epsilon} - W_{\epsilon} \in V_{\delta}$ , where  $W_{\epsilon}$  is defined in Lemma 2.

5.2. Error estimates: preliminary results.

We claim that

$$\|\rho_{\delta}A(Z_{\epsilon}-Z)\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}^{2} \leq C\eta(\epsilon)(1+\|U_{0}\|_{(H^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}),$$
(5.4)

$$||Z_{\epsilon} - Z||^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} + ||\rho_{\delta}\nabla(Z_{\epsilon} - Z)||^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} \le C\delta^{-1}(1 + ||U_{0}||_{(H^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}), \quad (5.5)$$

where  $Z = U_0 - W_0$ , with  $W_0$  defined in Lemma 2(b).

In fact, since  $Z_{\epsilon}, Z \in V_{\delta}$ , one has for every  $W \in V_{\delta}$ 

$$\pi_{\epsilon}(Z_{\epsilon}, W) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}^{2}} \langle \rho_{\delta}(F - AW_{\epsilon}), \rho_{\delta}AW \rangle - \langle \rho_{\delta}\nabla W_{\epsilon}, \rho_{\delta}\nabla W \rangle - \delta \langle W_{\epsilon}, W \rangle \pi_{\epsilon}(Z, W) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}^{2}} \langle \rho_{\delta}(F - AW_{0}), \rho_{\delta}AW \rangle.$$

Taking the difference of the foregoing equalities, letting  $W = Z_{\epsilon} - Z$  and estimating, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{\delta}A(Z_{\epsilon}-Z)\|^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} + \epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\rho_{\delta}\nabla(Z_{\epsilon}-Z)\|^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} + \delta\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|Z_{\epsilon}-Z\|^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} \\ &\leq C\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|U_{0}\|_{(H^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} + C\|W_{\epsilon}-W_{0}\|^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon_1 = \eta(\epsilon)$ , it follows from Lemma 2(b) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{\delta}A(Z_{\epsilon}-Z)\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}^{2} + \eta^{2}(\epsilon)\|\rho_{\delta}\nabla(Z_{\epsilon}-Z)\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}^{2} + \delta\eta^{2}(\epsilon)\|Z_{\epsilon}-Z\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}^{2} \\ &\leq C\eta^{2}(\epsilon)(1+\|U_{0}\|_{(H^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (5.4), (5.5) hold.

#### 6. Step 4 of the Proof: a Carleman Type Estimate

6.1. We first consider a simple case. One has

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\Omega$  be a simply connected domain satisfying (P1), (P2) as discussed. Then there exist a conformal mapping  $\Lambda : \overline{\Omega} \to \Lambda(\overline{\Omega})$  and constants  $\delta_0, C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\Lambda(\overline{\Omega}) \subset \{(z,t): 1/2 \le t \le 1\}, 
\Lambda(\Gamma_1) \subset \{(z,1): z \in \mathbb{R}\}, 
\Lambda(\overline{\Omega}_{\delta}) \subset \{(z,t): t \le 1 - C_2\delta\} 
\Lambda(\overline{\Omega}_{\delta}) \supset \{(z,t) \in \Lambda(\overline{\Omega}): t \le 1 - C_1\delta\},$$
(6.1)

for all  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ .

We now turn to the derivation of an inequality of the Carleman type. Consider an elliptic operator

$$LV = \mu \Delta V + H(V, \nabla V),$$

where  $V = V(z,t) \in (H^2(\Lambda(\Omega)))^3$ ,  $\mu \in C(\overline{\Lambda(\Omega)})$  and H depends linearly on  $(V, \nabla V)$ . From Lemma 4, one has

$$D \equiv \Lambda(\Omega) \subset (a, b) \times (1/2, 1), \ a < b.$$

We have

**Lemma 5.** Let  $V \in (H^2(D))^3$  and let

$$V|_{\partial D} = 0, \ \nabla V|_{\partial D} = 0.$$

Then there exist  $C, \lambda_0$  independent from V such that

$$\lambda^{3} \int_{D} |V|^{2} e^{2\lambda t^{-m}} dz dt + \lambda \int_{D} |\nabla V|^{2} e^{2\lambda t^{-m}} dz dt$$
$$\leq C \int_{D} |LV|^{2} e^{2\lambda t^{-m}} dz dt, \quad for \ all \ \lambda \geq \lambda_{0},$$

where  $|W|^2 = w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_3^2$  for  $W = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$ .

6.2. Error estimates

Put

$$V = (Z_{\epsilon} - Z) \circ \Lambda^{-1}, \ Z_{\epsilon} = U_{\epsilon} - W_{\epsilon}, \ Z = U_0 - W_0,$$

where  $\Lambda$  is as in Lemma 4. We have

$$V|_{\Lambda(\Gamma_0)} = 0, \ \nabla V|_{\Lambda(\Gamma_0)} = 0.$$
(6.3)

Let  $\xi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfy

$$\xi(z,t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for all } (z,t) \in D, 0 < t < 1 - 2C_1 \delta, \\ 0, & \text{for all } (z,t) \in D, t > 1 - C_1 \delta, \\ |\nabla \xi(z,t)| \le C \delta^{-1}, & \text{for all } (z,t) \in D, \end{cases}$$
(6.4)

where  $C_1$  is as in Lemma 4.

From (6.3), (6.4), it follows that the function  $\xi V$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5. Put

$$LV = A(V \circ \Lambda)$$

Since  $AV = \Delta V + R(V)$  and since  $\Lambda$  is a conformal mapping, LV has the form as in Lemma 5. Hence, Lemma 5 gives after some rearrangements

$$\lambda^{3} e^{2\lambda(1-2C_{1}\delta)^{-m}} \|V\|^{2}_{(L^{2}(D_{1\delta}))^{3}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \|V\|^{2}_{(H^{1}(D_{2\delta}))^{3}} e^{2\lambda(1-C_{1}\delta)^{-m}} + C e^{2\lambda \cdot 2^{m}} \|LV\|^{2}_{(L^{2}(D_{3\delta}))^{3}},$$
(6.5)

where

$$D_{1\delta} = D \cap \{1/2 < t < 1 - 2C_1\delta\}, D_{2\delta} = D \cap \{1 - 2C_1\delta < t < 1 - C_1\delta\}, D_{3\delta} = D \cap \{1/2 < t < 1 - C_1\delta\}.$$

From Lemma 4 and from (6.5), we get in view of the fact  $V = (Z_{\epsilon} - Z) \circ \Lambda^{-1}$  that

$$||Z_{\epsilon} - Z||^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega_{k\delta}))^{3}} \leq \lambda^{-3} \frac{C}{\delta} e^{2\lambda((1-C_{1}\delta)^{-m} - (1-2C_{1}\delta)^{-m})} ||Z_{\epsilon} - Z||^{2}_{(H^{1}(\Omega_{\delta}))^{3}} + C\lambda^{-3} e^{2\lambda(2^{m} - (1-2C_{1}\delta)^{-m})} ||A(Z_{\epsilon} - Z)||^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega_{\delta}))^{3}}.$$
(6.6)

Now, we choose a  $\lambda$  such that

$$e^{2\lambda((1-C_1\delta)^{-m}-2^{m+1})} = \eta^2(\epsilon),$$

or equivalently that

$$\lambda = (2^{m+1} - (1 - C_1 \delta)^{-m}) \ln \frac{1}{\eta(\epsilon)}$$

Using the latter equality, we can find a  $\delta_0$  such that

$$||Z_{\epsilon} - Z||^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega_{k\delta}))^{3}} \leq 2C\lambda^{-3}\delta^{-2}e^{-\lambda mC_{1}\delta}(1 + ||U_{0}||_{(H^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}),$$

for  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ .

Hence, if we put  $\theta = mC_1/(2^{m+1}-1)$  then we get after some computations that

$$\|Z_{\epsilon} - Z\|^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega_{k\delta}))^{3}} \leq 2C\lambda^{-3}\delta^{-2}(\eta(\epsilon))^{\theta\delta}(1 + \|U_{0}\|_{(H^{2}(\Omega))^{3}}).$$
(6.7)

Now, we have

$$U_{\epsilon} - U_0 = (Z_{\epsilon} - Z) + (W_{\epsilon} - W_0).$$

Hence (6.7) and Lemma 2(b) give

$$\|U_{\epsilon} - U_0\|_{(L^2\Omega_{k\delta}))^3}^2 \le C(\lambda^{-3}\delta^{-2}(\eta(\epsilon))^{\theta\delta}(1 + \|U_0\|_{(H^2(\Omega))^3}) + \eta^2(\epsilon)),$$

i.e., (2.5) holds. From (2.5) and Lemma 2(c) we get (2.6). This completes the proof of our theorem.  $\hfill\blacksquare$ 

### References

- D. D. Ang, M. Ikehata, D. D. Trong, and M. Yamamoto, Unique continuation for a stationary isotropic Lamé system with variable coefficients, *Partial Diff. Eqs.* 23 (1998) 371–385.
- 2. H. Brezis, Analyse Fonctionelle, Théorie et Application, Masson, 1987.
- A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type, Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood, N.J., 1964.

- 4. A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations*, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston Inc., 1969.
- R. Lattès and J. L. Lions, Méthode de Quasi-Réversibilité et Applications, Dunond, Paris, 1967.
- R. N. Pederson, On the Unique Continuation Theorem for Certain Second and Fourth Order Elliptic Equations, Comm. on Pure and Appl. Math. XI (1958) 67–80.
- 7. W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, Mc Graw Hill, 1987.
- 8. S. Agmon, *Unicité et Convexité dans des Problèmes Différentiels*, Les Presses de l'Univ. de Montréal, 1966.
- M. S. Kilbanov and F. Santosa, A Computational Quasi-Reversibility Method for Cauchy Problems for Laplace's Equation, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **51** (1991) 1653– 1675.
- S. P. Timosenko and J. N. Goodier, *Theory of Elasticity*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970.
- W. Warschawski, On Differentiability at the Boundary in Conformal Mapping, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961) 614–620.