Pham Ky Anh, An approximate method for multipoint BVPs at resonance, Ukrain: Math. J., 39 (1987), no. 5, 610–624.

A CHARACTERIZATION OF ARTINIAN MODULES

Pham Ky Anh and Bui Duc Tien, An inexact Seidel Newton method for nonlinear boundary-vali problems, Acta Math. Viet., 17 (1992), no. 2, 63-82.

NGO SI TUNG

Abstract. It is shown that if every essensial submodule of a module M is a direct sum of an M-injective module and an artinian module, then M is a direct sum of a semisimple module and an artinian module. In this case, if M is finitely generated or finitely cogenerated, then M is artinian. This result considerably improves [5, Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.2].

Throughout this note rings R are associative with identity and all R-modules are unitary. For a module M, Soc (M) denotes the socle of M. If M = Soc (M), M is called a semisimple module. For the definitions and properties of M-injective, M-projective modules we refer to [1] and [6].

By Chatters [2], a ring R is right noetherian if and only if every cyclic right R-module is a direct sum of a projective module and a noetherian module. The module theoretical version of this result can be stated as follows

Theorem A. A right R-module is a direct sum of an M-projective semisimple module and a noetherian module if and only if every factor module of M is a direct sum of an M-projective module and a noetherian module. In this case, if M or Soc (M) is finitely generated, then M is noetherian.

Proof. The if part has been established in [4, Corollary 14.3].

Now assume that $M = S \oplus N$ where S is a semisimple M - projective module and N is noetherian. Let U be an arbitrary submodule of M. If $S \cap U = 0$, then U is embedded in N, so U is noetherian. Hence U + N is noetherian. It follows the direct decomposition

$$M = T \oplus (U + N)$$

for some submodule T of S. Hence

$$M/U \cong T \oplus (U+N)/U$$

A characterization of artinian modules

is a direct sum of an *M*-projective module *T* and a noetherian module (U+N)/U. If $V = S \cap U \neq 0$, then we consider M' = M/V. It is clear that

M is subbounders and C tail.
$$M' = S' \oplus N', M$$
 so that works are strift
show the set of the set of

where S' is M-projective, semisimple and $N' \cong N$. Let U' be the image of U in M. Then $U' \cap S' = 0'$. Hence by the previous argument, M'/U' is a direct sum of an M-projective module and a noetherian module. Since $M/U \cong M'/U'$ it follows that M/U has the desired property. The last statement is clear.

Suggested by "duality" we obtain the following theorem

Theorem B. Let R be any ring and M an R-module. Then the following statements are equivalent

(i) M is a direct sum of an M-injective semisimple module and an artinian module.

(ii) Every submodule of M is a direct sum of an M-injective module and an artinian module.

(iii) Every essential submodule of M is a direct sum of an M-injective module and an artinian module.

In this case, if M is finitely generated or finitely cogenerated, then M is artinian.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iii): Let $M = S \oplus A$, where S is an M-injective semisimple module and A is an artinian module. If C is an essential submodule of M, then it is easy to see that $S \subseteq C$. Therefore $C = S \oplus B$, where $B = C \cap A$ and B is an artinian module.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Let U be a submodule of M. Then there exists a submodule X of M such that $U \oplus X$ is essential in M. By hypothesis,

$$U \oplus X = S \oplus A,$$

where S is M-injective and A is artinian. Let $T = U \cap S$, then there exists a direct summand T' of S such that T is essential in T'. Hence T' is M-injective. Let $\pi : X \oplus U \to U$ denote the canonical projection. Then $T' \cong \pi(T')$. Hence $\pi(T')$ is an M-injective submodule of M. Since $\pi(T')$ is also U-injective, we have

$$U=\pi(T')\oplus B$$

for some submodule B of U. It is easy to see that $B \cap S = 0$, and since B is a submodule of $A \oplus S, B$ is isomorphic to some submodule of A. Thus B is an artinial module, proving (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let M be an R-module such that every submodule of M is a direct sum of an *M*-injective module and an artinian module.

Firts we show that Soc (M) is essential in M. Let C be a submodule of M such that $C \cap \text{Soc} (M) = 0$. Then Soc (C) = 0. This together with the hypothesis shows that any submodule of C is M-injective and hence C-injective. It follows by [6, 16.3] that any submodule of C is a direct summand of C, showing that C is semisimple. Hence $C \subseteq Soc(M)$, therefore C = 0, proving that Soc(M) is essential in M. Using this we next consider two cases:

a) Soc (M) is finitely generated. Then M has a direct sum decomposition:

$$M = M_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_n$$
,

where each M_i is indecomposable. Hence, by hypothesis, each proper submodule of M_i must be artinian. It follows that each M_i is artinian. Thus M is artinian.

b) Soc (M) is infinitely generated. By hypothesis,

Soc
$$(M) = S \oplus B$$
,

where S is M-injective and B is artinian. It follows that

$$M = S \oplus A$$

for some submodule A of M, since S is an M-injective submodule of M. Therefore Soc $(M) = S \oplus C$, where $C = Soc (M) \cap A$, and so $C \cong B$, in particular, C is finitely generated. Moreover, it is clear that C = Soc(A) and C is essential in A. Hence we may use a) to show that A is artinian. The last statement is clear.

The proof of Theorem B is complete.

Theorem B shows in particular that the assumptions (P_1) and $J(M) \ll M$ in [5, Theorem 3.1] as well as the semi-perfectness of rings in [5, Corollary 3.2] can be removed.

Corollary. Let $M = S \oplus A$ be a direct sum of an M-injective semisimple module S and an artinian module A. If M is quasi-projective, then S and A can be chosen to be fully invariant submodules of M.

Proof. A submodule U of a right R-module N is called fully invariant, if for each $f \in \operatorname{End}_R(N), \quad f(U) \subseteq U.$

By hypothesis, we may assume that all minimal submodules of A are not M-injective. Hence there is no non-zero homomorphisms from S to A, this implies $f(S) \subseteq S$ for all $f \in \operatorname{End}_R(M)$. Now, assume that M is quasi-projective. Then A characterization of artinian modules

each submodule of S is M-projective. Let φ be a homomorphism from A to S, then $A/\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ is isomorphic to a submodule of S, and so $A/\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ is M-projective and semisimple. Moreover A is M-projective and, since A is artinian, $A/\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ is finitely generated. Hence by [6, 18.3] the exact sequence

$0 \to \operatorname{Ker} \varphi \to A \to A/\operatorname{Ker} \varphi \to 0$

splits, i.e. $A = \operatorname{Ker} \varphi \oplus U$ for some submodule U of A with $U \cong A/\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$. In particular, U is an M - injective semisimple submodule of A. But we assumed above that each simple submodule of A is not M-injective, hence U = 0, i.e. $\varphi(A) = 0$. From this we easily derive that for each $f \in \operatorname{End}_R(M), f(A) \subseteq A$.

The proof of Corollary is complete.

Note that by the same argument we can show that Theorem B remains true when we replace "artinian module" by "module with Krull dimension at most α " for some ordinal α . tive line whose generic fibre is a complex algebraic curve of

We would like to ask the question of whether a module M is the direct sum of an M-projective semisimple module and a noetherian module if every factor module of M by its small submodule is a direct sum of an M-projective module and a noetherian module.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his thanks to Professor Dinh Van Huynh for raising questions and helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fullers, Rings and categories of modules, Springer - Verlag, 1974.

A. W. Chatters, A characterization of right noetherian rings, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 33 (1982). 2.

A. W. Chatters and C. R. Hajarnavis, Rings with chain conditions, Pitman, London, 1980. 3.

4. N. V. Dung, D. V. Huynh, P. F. Smith and R. Wisbauer, Extending modules, to appear.

5. K. Varadarajan, On certain classes of modules, Publications Mathématiques 36 (1992), 1011-1027.

6. R. Wisbauer, Foundations of module and ring theory, Gordon and Breach, Reading 1991.

Vinh Pedagogical Institute Vinh, Vietnam Nathematics Received July 14, 1993 Revised January 13, 1994 Department of Mathematics