

ON EMBEDDING OF SOBOLEV SPACES OF INFINITE SMOOTHNESS

DINH DUNG

Dedicated to Professor Nguyen Dinh Tri on his sixtieth birthday

Abstract. *Embedding theorems are traditional for Sobolev spaces of finite smoothness. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the non-triviality and existence of the embedding of Sobolev spaces of multivariate functions of infinite smoothness using the Convex Analysis technique.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n \times (0, 1]$. The Sobolev space $W_2(A)$ consists of all measurable functions on \mathbf{R}^n for which the seminorm

$$\|f\|_{W_2(A)} := \sup_{(r,a) \in A} a \|f^{(r)}\|_2$$

is finite, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the norm of $L_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$; $r \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $a \in (0, 1]$; and $f^{(r)}$ is the Weyl-Liouville fractional derivative of order r (see Section 2 for definition). If A is unbounded, then functions of $W_2(A)$ have a common infinite smoothness.

In this paper we study necessary and sufficient conditions of the existence of the embedding $W_2(A) \hookrightarrow W_2(B)$ for preassigned A and B , i.e. of the validity of the inequality

$$\|f\|_{W_2(B)} \leq M \|f\|_{W_2(A)}, \quad f \in W_2(A), \tag{1}$$

with some positive constant M .

Dubinskii [3] considered multidimensional Sobolev spaces of infinite smoothness. We refer to [1,2] for surveys and bibliography on embedding theorems for

unidimensional Sobolev spaces of infinite order. In particular, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the embedding the unidimensional Sobolev space $W_p(A)$ into $W_p(B)$ was obtained in [1].

Let

$$F_A(t) := \sup_{(r,a) \in A} a|t|^r$$

where $|t|^r = \prod_{j=1}^n |t_j|^{r_j}$, t_j denotes the j -th coordinate of $t \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

The purpose of this paper is to show that with certain restrictions on A the embedding (1) is equivalent to the inequality

$$F_B(t) \leq M F_A(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}^n \tag{2}$$

with the same constant M given in (1).

2. PRELIMINARIES

By a certain reason the fractional derivatives can not be defined for distributions of the Schwarz space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (cf., e.g., [4]). We give a definition of the Weyl-Liouville fractional derivative for a special class of distributions, introduced by Lizorkin [4].

Let $X \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the space of all test functions φ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} t_j^k \varphi(t) dt_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and let $Y = \mathcal{F}(X)$ where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform. Both spaces X and Y are non-trivial closed subspaces of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Let X' and Y' be the spaces of distributions defined as the sets of continuous functionals on X and Y , respectively. The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : X' \rightarrow Y'$ and its inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1} : Y' \rightarrow X'$ are defined in a way similar to those for distributions from the Schwarz space. The space Y possesses the following property: if φ belongs to Y , then so does $(E_r \varphi)(t) := (it)^r \varphi(t)$ for any $r \in \mathbf{R}^n$, where $(it)^r = \prod_{j=1}^n (it_j)^{r_j}$, $(it_j)^{r_j} = |t_j|^{r_j} \exp(\frac{i\pi}{2} r_j \text{sign} t_j)$. (The space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ does not possess this property.) This allows us to define the fractional derivative $f^{(r)}$ for a distribution $f \in X'$ by putting

$$f^{(r)} := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \circ E_r \circ \mathcal{F} f$$

where the operator $E_r : Y' \rightarrow Y'$ is defined as follows:

$$\langle E_r f, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, E_r \varphi \rangle, \quad f \in Y', \quad \varphi \in Y.$$

Note that the space $L_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ may be considered as a subspace of X' or Y' or Y . If $f \in X'$ such that $f^{(r)} \in L_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $r \in \mathbf{R}^n$, then we have the Plancherel equality

$$\|f^{(r)}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |t|^{2r} |\mathcal{F}f|^2 dt \quad (3)$$

In what follows, as usual, we identify measurable functions f and g on \mathbf{R}^n if the set $\{x | f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ has zero measure.

To formulate and prove the results we need terminology and some facts from Convex Analysis. We recall some definitions and refer to the book [5] for more details. For $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ let $\text{epi } f := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} | f(x) \leq y\}$; $\text{dom } f := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n | f(x) < \infty\}$. A function f is called convex if $\text{epi } f$ is a convex set in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} . The function

$$f^*(t) := \sup_x (\langle x, t \rangle - f(x))$$

is called the conjugate function of f , where $\langle x, t \rangle = \prod_{j=1}^n x_j t_j$. For $C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ denote by $\text{co } C$ and $\text{cl } C$ the convex and closed hull of C , respectively. A vector $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is called receding direction of C if $x - mz \in C$ for any $x \in C$ and $m \geq 0$.

3. NON-TRIVIALITY

First we note the following property of F_A :

$$F_A \text{ is continuous on } \text{int dom } F_A. \quad (4)$$

Indeed, let

$$G_A(x) := \sup_{(r,a) \in A} (\langle r, x \rangle + \ln a).$$

Then,

$$F_A(t) = \exp G_A(\ln |t_1|, \dots, \ln |t_n|) \quad (5)$$

and G_A is a convex function. Thus, G_A is continuous on $\text{int dom } G_A$ (cf. [5, Theorem 10.1]). This and (5) imply (4).

Theorem 1 . For any $f \in W_2(A)$ the support of $\mathcal{F}f$ is almost contained in $\text{dom } F_A$ i. e.

$$\text{meas}\{\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \setminus \text{dom } F_A\} = 0. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, the space $W_2(A)$ is non-trivial, i. e. $W_2(A) \neq \{0\}$ iff

$$\text{int } \text{dom } F_A \neq \emptyset.$$

P r o o f. Since the convex function G_A is closed as the upper bound of a selection of affine functions, by (5) so is F_A also, and therefore, $\text{dom } F_A$ is closed. Assume that there exists a non-zero function $f \in W_2(A)$ such that $\text{meas}\{\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \setminus \text{dom } F_A\} \neq 0$. Then $\mathcal{F}f$ is non-zero, too. Thus, there exists a closed ball V such that $V \cap \text{dom } F_A = \emptyset$ and

$$\int_V |\mathcal{F}f|^2 dt > 0.$$

By (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{W_2(A)}^2 &= \sup_{(r,a) \in A} a \int_{R^n} |t|^{2r} |\mathcal{F}f|^2 dt \\ &\geq \sup_{(r,a) \in A} a \int_V |t|^{2r} |\mathcal{F}f|^2 dt \geq \sup_{(r,a) \in A} \inf_{x \in V} (a^2 |x|^{2r}) \int_V |\mathcal{F}f|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $a^2 |x|^{2r}$ are lower semicontinuous on the compact set V . Hence, by virtue of the relation $V \cap \text{dom } F_A = \emptyset$ it is not hard to verify that

$$\sup_{(r,a) \in A} \inf_{x \in V} (a^2 |x|^{2r}) = \infty.$$

Thus, we obtain $\|f\|_{W_2(A)} = \infty$. This contradiction shows that if $f \in W_2(A)$, then (6) holds.

Now let $\text{int } \text{dom } F_A = \emptyset$ and $f \in W_2(A)$. Since the measure of the boundary of $\text{dom } F_A$ is zero, from (6) it follows that $\text{meas}(\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f) = 0$. This means that only the zero function belongs to $W_2(A)$.

On the contrary, assume that $\text{int } \text{dom } F_A \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a closed ball $U \subset \text{int } \text{dom } F_A$. Let $g = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_U$ where χ_U is the characteristic function of U . Obviously, g is non-trivial. Using (3) and (4) we have

$$\|g\|_{W_2(A)} \leq \text{Vol } U \max_{t \in U} F_A(t),$$

proving $g \in W_2(A)$. \square

4. EMBEDDING THEOREMS

Theorem 2. Let $\text{int dom } F_A \neq \emptyset$. Then the embedding (1) implies (2).

Proof. Let (1) hold. This is equivalent to the fact that for any $(s, b) \in B$ and $f \in W_2(A)$

$$b \|f^{(s)}\|_2 \leq M \sup_{(r,a) \in A} a \|f^{(r)}\|_2. \quad (7)$$

We first transform this condition into a form more suitable for use. In view of (3) from (7) we have

$$b^2 \int_{R^n} |t|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}f|^2 dt \leq M^2 \sup_{(r,a) \in A} a^2 \int_{R^n} |t|^{2r} |\mathcal{F}f|^2 dt$$

for any $(s, b) \in B$ and $f \in W_2(A)$. By replacing $x = (\ln |t_1|, \dots, \ln |t_n|)$, from the latter inequality it is easy to verify that

$$b^2 \int_{R^n} \exp \langle 2s, x \rangle f(x) dx \leq M^2 \int_{R^n} \exp 2G_A(x) f(x) dx \quad (8)$$

for any $(s, b) \in B$ and for all non-negative functions f for which the right side of (8) is finite.

In order to prove (2) it suffices to show that for any $(s, b) \in B$

$$b |t|^s \leq M F_A(t), \quad \forall t \in \text{dom } F_A. \quad (9)$$

Let t° be an arbitrary point of $\text{dom } F_A$. Put $x^\circ = (\ln |t_1^\circ|, \dots, \ln |t_n^\circ|)$. Then $x^\circ \in \text{dom } F_A$. Since G_A is a closed convex function, $\text{dom } G_A$ is a closed convex set. Moreover, $\text{int dom } G_A \neq \emptyset$ because $\text{int dom } F_A \neq \emptyset$. Hence it follows that there exists a n -dimensional simplex $S \subset \text{dom } G_A$ such that $x^\circ \in S$. Let $S_h = hS + (1-h)x^\circ$, $0 < h \leq 1$. Clearly, $x^\circ \in S_h \subset \text{dom } G_A$. As a closed convex function G_A is continuous on every locally simplicial subset of $\text{dom } G_A$, in particular, on S_h (cf. [5, Theorem 10.2]). Applying the characteristic function of S_h we have

$$b^2 (\text{Vol } S_h)^{-1} \int_{S_h} \exp \langle 2s, x \rangle dx \leq M^2 (\text{Vol } S_h)^{-1} \int_{S_h} \exp 2G_A(x) dx.$$

Using the mean value theorem and then, letting h tend to zero in this inequality, we obtain

$$b^2 \exp \langle 2s, x^\circ \rangle \leq M^2 \exp 2G_A(x^\circ).$$

This is equivalent to (9) with arbitrary $t = t^\circ$. \square

Theorem 3 . Let $\text{int dom } F_A \neq \emptyset$ and let $\text{co} \{(r, -\ln a) \mid (r, a) \in A\}$ be a closed set in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Then (2) implies the embedding (1).

P r o o f. Let (2) hold. For the sake of simplicity we put $M = 1$ in (2). Thus, (2) is equivalent to

$$\langle s, x \rangle + \ln b \leq G_A(x), \quad \forall x \in \text{dom} G_A \tag{10}$$

for any $(s, b) \in B$. To prove (1) it is sufficient to check (7). From the definition of the conjugate function it follows that (10) holds if and only if for any $(s, b) \in B$

$$(s, -\ln b) \in \text{epi } G_A^*. \tag{11}$$

It is not hard to verify that

$$\text{epi } G_A^* = \text{cl}(Q + H) \tag{12}$$

where $Q = \text{co}\{(r, -\ln a) \mid (r, a) \in A\}$ and $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0; x_{n+1} = h, h \geq 0\}$. We have $\text{cl}(Q + H) = \text{cl } Q + \text{cl } H$ because H does not have any receding direction opposite to the receding directions of Q (cf. [5, Corollary 9. 1. 2]). Therefore, $\text{cl}(Q + H) = Q + H$ because both sets Q and H are closed. Hence by (11) and (12) we have $(s, -\ln b) \in Q + H$. It means that there exist elements $(r^j, a^j) \in A$ and non-negative numbers $h_0, m_j, j = 1, \dots, k$, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k m_j = 1, \tag{13}$$

$$s = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j r^j, \tag{14}$$

$$\ln b = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j \ln a^j - h_0. \tag{15}$$

Let $f \in W_2(A)$. By (3), (13) and (14) we have

$$\|f^{(s)}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k |t|^{2r^j} |\mathcal{F}f|^2 \right)^{m_j} dt.$$

On the other hand, the Holder inequality gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k |t|^{2r^j} |\mathcal{F}f|^2 \right)^{m_j} dt \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |t|^{2r^j} |\mathcal{F}f|^2 dt \right)^{m_j}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|f^{(s)}\|_2^2 \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \|f^{(r^j)}\|_2^{2m_j}.$$

Hence by (13) - (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b\|f^{(s)}\|_2 &\leq \exp\left(\prod_{j=1}^k m_j \ln a^j - h_0\right) \prod_{j=1}^k \|f^{(r^j)}\|_2^{m_j} \leq \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^k (a^j \|f^{(r^j)}\|_2)^{m_j} \leq \|f\|_{W_2(A)}. \square \end{aligned}$$

Combining Theorems 2 and 3 we have finally:

Theorem 4. Let $\text{int dom } F_A \neq \emptyset$ and let $\text{co} \{(r, -\ln a) \mid (r, a) \in A\}$ be a closed set. Then (2) is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the embedding (1).

REFERENCES

1. Dinh Dung: On embedding theorems for Sobolev spaces of infinite order, C. R. de l'Academie bulgare des Sciences, 42, No 3(1989), 33-35.
2. Yu. A. Dubinskii: Sobolev spaces of infinite order and differential equations, Teubner-Text zur Mathematik, Leipzig, Band 87, 1986.
3. Yu. A. Dubinskii: Limits of Banach spaces. Embedding Theorems. Applications to Sobolev spaces of infinite order, Mat. Sb. 110 (1979), 428-439.
4. P. I. Lizorkin: Generalized Liouville differentiation and functional spaces $L_p^r(E_n)$, Mat. Sb. 60(1963), 325-253.
5. R. Tyrrell Rockafellar: Convex Analysis, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

Institute of Computer Science
Lieu Giai, Ba Dinh
Hanoi

Received December 10, 1990