

Meromorphic Functions with Prescribed Principle Parts

Tran Hoang Son
Institute of Mathematics of Hanoi

August, 2020



1 Introduction

2 Mittag-Leffler's Problem on Compact Riemann Surfaces



The Classical Version of Mittag-Leffler's Theorem

Theorem (Mittag-Leffler)

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{C} . Suppose $A \subset \Omega$ has no limit point in Ω , and to each $a \in A$ we associate a rational function:

$$P_a(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{m(a)} c_{j,a}(z - a)^{-j}.$$

Then there exists a meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ whose principal part at each $a \in A$ is P_a and which has no other poles in Ω .

Mittag-Leffler's Problem on Compact Riemann Surfaces



Mittag-Leffler Distributions of Meromorphic Functions

Suppose X is a compact Riemann surface and $\mathfrak{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of X .

Mittag-Leffler's Problem on Compact Riemann Surfaces



Mittag-Leffler Distributions of Meromorphic Functions

Suppose X is a compact Riemann surface and $\mathfrak{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of X .

Definition

A *Mittag-Leffler distribution* (with respect to \mathfrak{U}) is meant a cochain $\mu = (f_i) \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M})$ such that $f_j - f_i \in \mathcal{O}(U_i \cap U_j)$ for all $i, j \in I$, i.e. $\delta\mu \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$.

Mittag-Leffler's Problem on Compact Riemann Surfaces



Mittag-Leffler Distributions of Meromorphic Functions

Suppose X is a compact Riemann surface and $\mathfrak{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of X .

Definition

A *Mittag-Leffler distribution* (with respect to \mathfrak{U}) is meant a cochain $\mu = (f_i) \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M})$ such that $f_j - f_i \in \mathcal{O}(U_i \cap U_j)$ for all $i, j \in I$, i.e. $\delta\mu \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$.

Definition

A *solution* of μ is a global meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ which has the same principle parts as μ , i.e. $f|_{U_i} - f_i \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$ for every $i \in I$.

Mittag-Leffler's Problem on Compact Riemann Surfaces



The Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

Remark If $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ are two solutions of μ , then $f_1 - f_2$ is holomorphic on X and thus constant. Hence the solution is unique up to an additive constant.

Denote by $[\delta\mu] \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$ the cohomology class represented by the cocycle $\delta\mu$.

Denote by $[\delta\mu] \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$ the cohomology class represented by the cocycle $\delta\mu$.

Theorem

A Mittag-Leffler distribution μ has a solution if and only if $[\delta\mu] = 0$.

Denote by $[\delta\mu] \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$ the cohomology class represented by the cocycle $\delta\mu$.

Theorem

A Mittag-Leffler distribution μ has a solution if and only if $[\delta\mu] = 0$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is a solution of $\mu = (f_i)$. Set $g_i := f_i - f \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$.

Denote by $[\delta\mu] \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$ the cohomology class represented by the cocycle $\delta\mu$.

Theorem

A Mittag-Leffler distribution μ has a solution if and only if $[\delta\mu] = 0$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is a solution of $\mu = (f_i)$. Set $g_i := f_i - f \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$. On $U_i \cap U_j$ one has $f_j - f_i = g_j - g_i$ and then $\delta\mu \in B^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$, which implies $[\delta\mu] = 0$.

Denote by $[\delta\mu] \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$ the cohomology class represented by the cocycle $\delta\mu$.

Theorem

A Mittag-Leffler distribution μ has a solution if and only if $[\delta\mu] = 0$.

Proof.

- (\Rightarrow) Suppose $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is a solution of $\mu = (f_i)$. Set $g_i := f_i - f \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$. On $U_i \cap U_j$ one has $f_j - f_i = g_j - g_i$ and then $\delta\mu \in B^1(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{O})$, which implies $[\delta\mu] = 0$.
- (\Leftarrow) Suppose $[\delta\mu] = 0$, then $\delta\mu \in B^1(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{O})$. There exists a cochain $(g_i) \in C^0(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{O})$ such that $f_j - f_i = g_j - g_i$ on $U_i \cap U_j$.

Denote by $[\delta\mu] \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$ the cohomology class represented by the cocycle $\delta\mu$.

Theorem

A Mittag-Leffler distribution μ has a solution if and only if $[\delta\mu] = 0$.

Proof.

- (\Rightarrow) Suppose $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is a solution of $\mu = (f_i)$. Set $g_i := f_i - f \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$. On $U_i \cap U_j$ one has $f_j - f_i = g_j - g_i$ and then $\delta\mu \in B^1(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{O})$, which implies $[\delta\mu] = 0$.
- (\Leftarrow) Suppose $[\delta\mu] = 0$, then $\delta\mu \in B^1(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{O})$. There exists a cochain $(g_i) \in C^0(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{O})$ such that $f_j - f_i = g_j - g_i$ on $U_i \cap U_j$. Let $h_i = f_i - g_i \in \mathcal{M}(U_i)$, then there exists a meromorphic function $h \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ with $h|_{U_i} = f_i - g_i$. Easily check that h is a solution of μ .



Corollary

- 1 *On the Riemann sphere \mathbb{P}^1 every Mittag-Leffler distribution has a solution.*
- 2 *On every compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ there are Mittag-Leffler distributions which have no solution.*

Corollary

- 1 *On the Riemann sphere \mathbb{P}^1 every Mittag-Leffler distribution has a solution.*
- 2 *On every compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ there are Mittag-Leffler distributions which have no solution.*

Proof.

On every compact Riemann surface X one has $H^1(X, \mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Corollary

- 1 On the Riemann sphere \mathbb{P}^1 every Mittag-Leffler distribution has a solution.
- 2 On every compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ there are Mittag-Leffler distributions which have no solution.

Proof.

On every compact Riemann surface X one has $H^1(X, \mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Given any cohomology class $\xi \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$, represented by $(f_{ij}) \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$. There exists $\mu = (g_i) \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M})$ such that $(f_{ij}) = \delta\mu$, i.e. $f_{ij} = g_j - g_i$ on $U_i \cap U_j$ for all i, j , which implies that μ is a Mittag-Leffler distribution and $\xi = [\delta\mu]$. \square

Let $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ be a holomorphic 1-form. Then $\omega\mu \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ is a Mittag-Leffler distribution of 1-forms, and the residue $\text{Res}(\omega\mu)$ is defined.

Let $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ be a holomorphic 1-form. Then $\omega\mu \in C^0(\mathfrak{L}, \mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ is a Mittag-Leffler distribution of 1-forms, and the residue $\text{Res}(\omega\mu)$ is defined.

Theorem

μ has a solution if and only if $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega(X)$.

Let $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ be a holomorphic 1-form. Then $\omega\mu \in C^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ is a Mittag-Leffler distribution of 1-forms, and the residue $\text{Res}(\omega\mu)$ is defined.

Theorem

μ has a solution if and only if $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega(X)$.

Proof.

μ has a solution $\Leftrightarrow [\delta\mu] = 0$ in $H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$.

$\Leftrightarrow \lambda([\delta\mu]) = 0, \forall \lambda \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})^*$.

$\Leftrightarrow \langle \omega, [\delta\mu] \rangle = 0, \forall \omega \in \Omega(X)$ (by Serre's Duality).

$\Leftrightarrow \text{Res}(\omega[\delta\mu]) = 0, \forall \omega \in \Omega(X)$.

$\Leftrightarrow \text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0, \forall \omega \in \Omega(X)$.



Let $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ be a holomorphic 1-form. Then $\omega\mu \in C^0(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ is a Mittag-Leffler distribution of 1-forms, and the residue $\text{Res}(\omega\mu)$ is defined.

Theorem

μ has a solution if and only if $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega(X)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \text{ has a solution} &\Leftrightarrow [\delta\mu] = 0 \text{ in } H^1(X, \mathcal{O}). \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lambda([\delta\mu]) = 0, \forall \lambda \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})^*. \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle \omega, [\delta\mu] \rangle = 0, \forall \omega \in \Omega(X) \text{ (by Serre's Duality)}. \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Res}(\omega[\delta\mu]) = 0, \forall \omega \in \Omega(X). \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0, \forall \omega \in \Omega(X). \end{aligned}$$



Remark If $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ is a basis of $\Omega(X)$, then μ has a solution if and only if $\text{Res}(\omega_k\mu) = 0 \forall k = 1, 2, \dots, g$.

Mittag-Leffler's Problem on Compact Riemann Surfaces



Application to Doubly Periodic Functions.

Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be linearly independent over \mathbb{R} , $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}\gamma_1 + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_2$ and

$$P := \{t_1\gamma_1 + t_2\gamma_2 : 0 \leq t_1 < 1, 0 \leq t_2 < 1\}.$$

Mittag-Leffler's Problem on Compact Riemann Surfaces



Application to Doubly Periodic Functions.

Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be linearly independent over \mathbb{R} , $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}\gamma_1 + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_2$ and

$$P := \{t_1\gamma_1 + t_2\gamma_2 : 0 \leq t_1 < 1, 0 \leq t_2 < 1\}.$$

Given these prescribed principal parts at the points $a_1, \dots, a_n \in P$:

$$f_j(z) = \sum_{k=-r_j}^{-1} c_k^{(j)} (z - a_j)^k, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Mittag-Leffler's Problem on Compact Riemann Surfaces



Application to Doubly Periodic Functions.

Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be linearly independent over \mathbb{R} , $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}\gamma_1 + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_2$ and

$$P := \{t_1\gamma_1 + t_2\gamma_2 : 0 \leq t_1 < 1, 0 \leq t_2 < 1\}.$$

Given these prescribed principal parts at the points $a_1, \dots, a_n \in P$:

$$f_j(z) = \sum_{k=-r_j}^{-1} c_k^{(j)} (z - a_j)^k, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$ doubly periodic with respect to Γ and having poles with the prescribed principal parts at a_1, \dots, a_n if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_{-1}^{(j)} = 0.$$

Proof.

Any function doubly periodic with respect to Γ can be considered as a function on $X = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. The prescribed principle parts then give rise to a Mittag-Leffler distribution μ on X . Then such a function f exists if and only if μ has a solution, or equivalently, $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega(X)$.

Proof.

Any function doubly periodic with respect to Γ can be considered as a function on $X = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. The prescribed principle parts then give rise to a Mittag-Leffler distribution μ on X . Then such a function f exists if and only if μ has a solution, or equivalently, $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega(X)$.

Note that $\dim\Omega(X) = 1$, it suffices to show that $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for some $\omega \in \Omega(X) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof.

Any function doubly periodic with respect to Γ can be considered as a function on $X = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. The prescribed principle parts then give rise to a Mittag-Leffler distribution μ on X . Then such a function f exists if and only if μ has a solution, or equivalently, $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega(X)$.

Note that $\dim\Omega(X) = 1$, it suffices to show that $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for some $\omega \in \Omega(X) \setminus \{0\}$.

Choose ω induced by the 1-form dz on \mathbb{C} , i.e., $p^*\omega = dz$ ($p : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is the projection). One has:

$$\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}_{p(a_j)}(\omega\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}_{a_j}(f_j dz) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{-1}^{(j)}.$$

Proof.

Any function doubly periodic with respect to Γ can be considered as a function on $X = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. The prescribed principle parts then give rise to a Mittag-Leffler distribution μ on X . Then such a function f exists if and only if μ has a solution, or equivalently, $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega(X)$.

Note that $\dim\Omega(X) = 1$, it suffices to show that $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for some $\omega \in \Omega(X) \setminus \{0\}$.

Choose ω induced by the 1-form dz on \mathbb{C} , i.e., $p^*\omega = dz$ ($p : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is the projection). One has:

$$\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}_{p(a_j)}(\omega\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}_{a_j}(f_j dz) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{-1}^{(j)}.$$

(The second identity is given by this observation: If $p : (Y, y) \rightarrow (X, x)$ is a holomorphic covering map then $\text{Res}_x(\omega) = \text{Res}_y(p^*\omega)$ for ω is a holomorphic 1-form on a punctured neighborhood of x). □

Proof.

Any function doubly periodic with respect to Γ can be considered as a function on $X = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. The prescribed principle parts then give rise to a Mittag-Leffler distribution μ on X . Then such a function f exists if and only if μ has a solution, or equivalently, $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega(X)$.

Note that $\dim\Omega(X) = 1$, it suffices to show that $\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = 0$ for some $\omega \in \Omega(X) \setminus \{0\}$.

Choose ω induced by the 1-form dz on \mathbb{C} , i.e., $p^*\omega = dz$ ($p : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is the projection). One has:

$$\text{Res}(\omega\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}_{p(a_j)}(\omega\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}_{a_j}(f_j dz) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{-1}^{(j)}.$$

(The second identity is given by this observation: If $p : (Y, y) \rightarrow (X, x)$ is a holomorphic covering map then $\text{Res}_x(\omega) = \text{Res}_y(p^*\omega)$ for ω is a holomorphic 1-form on a punctured neighborhood of x . □

Remark The above argument implies that there are no doubly periodic meromorphic functions having precisely one pole of order one in any period parallelogram.

- 1 We now consider whether on a compact Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$ there exist meromorphic functions which have one pole of order $\leq g$ and holomorphic otherwise.

- 1 We now consider whether on a compact Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$ there exist meromorphic functions which have one pole of order $\leq g$ and holomorphic otherwise.
- 2 The answer is: it depends on the pole. In particular, there exists a non-constant meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ which has a pole of order $\leq g$ at P and holomorphic on $X \setminus \{P\}$ if and only if P is a **Weierstrass point**.

- 1 We now consider whether on a compact Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$ there exist meromorphic functions which have one pole of order $\leq g$ and holomorphic otherwise.
- 2 The answer is: it depends on the pole. In particular, there exists a non-constant meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ which has a pole of order $\leq g$ at P and holomorphic on $X \setminus \{P\}$ if and only if P is a **Weierstrass point**.
- 3 To define the notion of **Weierstrass points** of a Riemann surface X , we need some preliminaries.

Mittag-Leffler's Problem on Compact Riemann Surfaces



The Wronskian Determinant

Definition

Suppose f_1, \dots, f_g are holomorphic functions on a domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}$. Define:

$$W(f_1, \dots, f_g) := \det \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \dots & f_g \\ f_1' & f_2' & \dots & f_g' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(g-1)} & f_2^{(g-1)} & \dots & f_g^{(g-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Mittag-Leffler's Problem on Compact Riemann Surfaces



The Wronskian Determinant

Definition

Suppose f_1, \dots, f_g are holomorphic functions on a domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}$. Define:

$$W(f_1, \dots, f_g) := \det \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \dots & f_g \\ f_1' & f_2' & \dots & f_g' \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_1^{(g-1)} & f_2^{(g-1)} & \dots & f_g^{(g-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Remark By induction on g , one can show that if the functions f_1, \dots, f_g are linearly independent then $W(f_1, \dots, f_g) \neq 0$.

Suppose X is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ is a basis of $\Omega(X)$. On a coordinate neighborhood (U, z) one can write $\omega_k = f_k dz$. Define:

$$W_z(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) := W(f_1, \dots, f_g),$$

where the derivatives of the functions f_k are taken with respect to z .

Suppose X is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ is a basis of $\Omega(X)$. On a coordinate neighborhood (U, z) one can write $\omega_k = f_k dz$. Define:

$$W_z(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) := W(f_1, \dots, f_g),$$

where the derivatives of the functions f_k are taken with respect to z .

Theorem (Change of Coordinates)

If (U, z) and (\tilde{U}, \tilde{z}) are two coordinate neighborhoods on X , then on $U \cap \tilde{U}$ one has:

$$W_z(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) = \left(\frac{d\tilde{z}}{dz} \right)^N W_{\tilde{z}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g), \text{ where } N = \frac{g(g+1)}{2}.$$

Proof.

Set $\psi := \frac{d\tilde{z}}{dz} \in \mathcal{O}(U \cap \tilde{U})$ and define the functions f_k and \tilde{f}_k on $U \cap \tilde{U}$ by

$$\omega_k = f_k dz = \tilde{f}_k d\tilde{z}.$$

Then $f_k = \psi \tilde{f}_k$.

Proof.

Set $\psi := \frac{d\tilde{z}}{dz} \in \mathcal{O}(U \cap \tilde{U})$ and define the functions f_k and \tilde{f}_k on $U \cap \tilde{U}$ by

$$\omega_k = f_k dz = \tilde{f}_k d\tilde{z}.$$

Then $f_k = \psi \tilde{f}_k$. By induction on m one can prove that:

$$\frac{d^m f_k}{dz^m} = \psi^{m+1} \frac{d^m \tilde{f}_k}{d\tilde{z}^m} + \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \varphi_{m\mu} \frac{d^\mu \tilde{f}_k}{d\tilde{z}^\mu},$$

where $\varphi_{m\mu} \in \mathcal{O}(U \cap \tilde{U})$ and independent of k .

Proof.

Set $\psi := \frac{d\tilde{z}}{dz} \in \mathcal{O}(U \cap \tilde{U})$ and define the functions f_k and \tilde{f}_k on $U \cap \tilde{U}$ by

$$\omega_k = f_k dz = \tilde{f}_k d\tilde{z}.$$

Then $f_k = \psi \tilde{f}_k$. By induction on m one can prove that:

$$\frac{d^m f_k}{dz^m} = \psi^{m+1} \frac{d^m \tilde{f}_k}{d\tilde{z}^m} + \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \varphi_{m\mu} \frac{d^\mu \tilde{f}_k}{d\tilde{z}^\mu},$$

where $\varphi_{m\mu} \in \mathcal{O}(U \cap \tilde{U})$ and independent of k . Then:

$$\det \left(\frac{d^m f_k}{dz^m} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq g \\ 0 \leq m \leq g-1}} = \det \left(\psi^{m+1} \frac{d^m \tilde{f}_k}{d\tilde{z}^m} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq g \\ 0 \leq m \leq g-1}}.$$

The result follows. □

Remark If $\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_g$ is another basis of $\Omega(X)$, then there exist constants $c_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega_j = \sum_k c_{jk} \tilde{\omega}_k$. Let $c = \det(c_{jk})$, easily see that $c \neq 0$ and:

$$W_z(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) = cW_z(\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_g).$$

Remark If $\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_g$ is another basis of $\Omega(X)$, then there exist constants $c_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega_j = \sum_k c_{jk} \tilde{\omega}_k$. Let $c = \det(c_{jk})$, easily see that $c \neq 0$ and:

$$W_z(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) = cW_z(\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_g).$$

Definition

A point $P \in X$ is called a **Weierstrass point**, if for a basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ of $\Omega(X)$ and a coordinate neighborhood (U, z) of P , $W_z(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g)$ has a zero at P . The order of this zero is called the weight of the Weierstrass point P .

Theorem

Suppose X is a compact Riemann surface of genus g and P is a point of X . Then there exists a non-constant meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ which has a pole of order $\leq g$ at P and is holomorphic on $X \setminus \{P\}$ if and only if P is a Weierstrass point.

Proof.

Suppose $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ is a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and (U, z) is a coordinate neighborhood of P with $z(P) = 0$. Near P one can write $\omega_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{kj} z^j dz$, $1 \leq k \leq g$.

Proof.

Suppose $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ is a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and (U, z) is a coordinate neighborhood of P with $z(P) = 0$. Near P one can write $\omega_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{kj} z^j dz$, $1 \leq k \leq g$.

Our desired function f has a principal part at P of the form:

$$h = \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} \frac{c_j}{z^{1+j}}, (c_0, \dots, c_{g-1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0).$$

Proof.

Suppose $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ is a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and (U, z) is a coordinate neighborhood of P with $z(P) = 0$. Near P one can write $\omega_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{kj} z^j dz$, $1 \leq k \leq g$.

Our desired function f has a principal part at P of the form:

$$h = \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} \frac{c_j}{z^{1+j}}, (c_0, \dots, c_{g-1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0).$$

Consider the following Mittag-Leffler distribution:

$$\mu = (h, 0) \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}) \text{ with } \mathfrak{U} = (U, X \setminus \{P\}).$$

Proof.

Suppose $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ is a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and (U, z) is a coordinate neighborhood of P with $z(P) = 0$. Near P one can write $\omega_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{kj} z^j dz$, $1 \leq k \leq g$.

Our desired function f has a principal part at P of the form:

$$h = \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} \frac{c_j}{z^{1+j}}, (c_0, \dots, c_{g-1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0).$$

Consider the following Mittag-Leffler distribution:

$$\mu = (h, 0) \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}) \text{ with } \mathfrak{U} = (U, X \setminus \{P\}).$$

One has $\text{Res}(\omega_k \mu) = \text{Res}_P(\omega_k h) = \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} a_{kj} c_j$.

Proof.

Suppose $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ is a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and (U, z) is a coordinate neighborhood of P with $z(P) = 0$. Near P one can write $\omega_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{kj} z^j dz$, $1 \leq k \leq g$.

Our desired function f has a principal part at P of the form:

$$h = \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} \frac{c_j}{z^{1+j}}, (c_0, \dots, c_{g-1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0).$$

Consider the following Mittag-Leffler distribution:

$$\mu = (h, 0) \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}) \text{ with } \mathfrak{U} = (U, X \setminus \{P\}).$$

One has $\text{Res}(\omega_k \mu) = \text{Res}_P(\omega_k h) = \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} a_{kj} c_j$. Thus μ has a (non-trivial) solution if and only if:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,0} & a_{2,0} & \dots & a_{g,0} \\ a_{1,1} & a_{2,1} & \dots & a_{g,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{1,(g-1)} & a_{2,(g-1)} & \dots & a_{g,(g-1)} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Or equivalently, $W_z(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g)(P) = 0$.



The previous theorem gives us a relation between Mittag-Leffler problems and Weierstrass points. Next we will concern about the number of Weierstrass points.

The previous theorem gives us a relation between Mittag-Leffler problems and Weierstrass points. Next we will concern about the number of Weierstrass points.

Theorem

The number of Weierstrass points, counted according to their weights, is $(g - 1)g(g + 1)$.

Proof.

Firstly, cover X by coordinate neighborhoods $(U_i, z_i)_{i \in I}$. On each $U_i \cap U_j$ define $\psi_{ij} = dz_j/dz_i \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$.

Proof.

Firstly, cover X by coordinate neighborhoods $(U_i, z_i)_{i \in I}$. On each $U_i \cap U_j$ define $\psi_{ij} = dz_j/dz_i \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ be a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and define $W_i := W_{z_i}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$.

Proof.

Firstly, cover X by coordinate neighborhoods $(U_i, z_i)_{i \in I}$. On each $U_i \cap U_j$ define $\psi_{ij} = dz_j/dz_i \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ be a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and define $W_i := W_{z_i}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$.

On $U_i \cap U_j$ one has

$$W_i = \psi_{ij}^N W_j \text{ where } N = \frac{g(g+1)}{2}, \quad (1)$$

which implies $\text{ord}_x(W_i) = \text{ord}_x(W_j) \forall x \in U_i \cap U_j$.

Proof.

Firstly, cover X by coordinate neighborhoods $(U_i, z_i)_{i \in I}$. On each $U_i \cap U_j$ define $\psi_{ij} = dz_j/dz_i \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ be a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and define $W_i := W_{z_i}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$.

On $U_i \cap U_j$ one has

$$W_i = \psi_{ij}^N W_j \text{ where } N = \frac{g(g+1)}{2}, \quad (1)$$

which implies $\text{ord}_x(W_i) = \text{ord}_x(W_j) \forall x \in U_i \cap U_j$.

Setting $D(x) := \text{ord}_x(W_i)$ for $x \in U_i$, defines the divisor D on X corresponding to the Weierstrass points together with their respective weights. Thus our desired number is equal to $\text{deg}(D)$.

Proof.

Firstly, cover X by coordinate neighborhoods $(U_i, z_i)_{i \in I}$. On each $U_i \cap U_j$ define $\psi_{ij} = dz_j/dz_i \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ be a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and define $W_i := W_{z_i}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$.

On $U_i \cap U_j$ one has

$$W_i = \psi_{ij}^N W_j \text{ where } N = \frac{g(g+1)}{2}, \quad (1)$$

which implies $\text{ord}_x(W_i) = \text{ord}_x(W_j) \forall x \in U_i \cap U_j$.

Setting $D(x) := \text{ord}_x(W_i)$ for $x \in U_i$, defines the divisor D on X corresponding to the Weierstrass points together with their respective weights. Thus our desired number is equal to $\text{deg}(D)$.

Let D_1 be the divisor of ω_1 . Then $\text{deg}(D_1) = 2g - 2$.

Proof.

Firstly, cover X by coordinate neighborhoods $(U_i, z_i)_{i \in I}$. On each $U_i \cap U_j$ define $\psi_{ij} = dz_j/dz_i \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ be a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and define $W_i := W_{z_i}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$.

On $U_i \cap U_j$ one has

$$W_i = \psi_{ij}^N W_j \text{ where } N = \frac{g(g+1)}{2}, \quad (1)$$

which implies $\text{ord}_x(W_i) = \text{ord}_x(W_j) \forall x \in U_i \cap U_j$.

Setting $D(x) := \text{ord}_x(W_i)$ for $x \in U_i$, defines the divisor D on X corresponding to the Weierstrass points together with their respective weights. Thus our desired number is equal to $\text{deg}(D)$.

Let D_1 be the divisor of ω_1 . Then $\text{deg}(D_1) = 2g - 2$. On each U_i write $\omega_1 = f_{1i} dz_i$, then $D_1(x) = \text{ord}_x(f_{1i})$ for every $x \in U_i$. Moreover

$$f_{1i} = \psi_{ij} f_{1j} \text{ on } U_i \cap U_j, \quad (2).$$

Proof.

Firstly, cover X by coordinate neighborhoods $(U_i, z_i)_{i \in I}$. On each $U_i \cap U_j$ define $\psi_{ij} = dz_j/dz_i \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ be a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and define $W_i := W_{z_i}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$.

On $U_i \cap U_j$ one has

$$W_i = \psi_{ij}^N W_j \text{ where } N = \frac{g(g+1)}{2}, \quad (1)$$

which implies $\text{ord}_x(W_i) = \text{ord}_x(W_j) \forall x \in U_i \cap U_j$.

Setting $D(x) := \text{ord}_x(W_i)$ for $x \in U_i$, defines the divisor D on X corresponding to the Weierstrass points together with their respective weights. Thus our desired number is equal to $\text{deg}(D)$.

Let D_1 be the divisor of ω_1 . Then $\text{deg}(D_1) = 2g - 2$. On each U_i write $\omega_1 = f_{1i} dz_i$, then $D_1(x) = \text{ord}_x(f_{1i})$ for every $x \in U_i$. Moreover

$$f_{1i} = \psi_{ij} f_{1j} \text{ on } U_i \cap U_j, \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) we have $W_i f_{1i}^{-N} = W_j f_{1j}^{-N}$ on $U_i \cap U_j$.

And thus exists $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that $f|_{U_i} = W_i f_{1i}^{-N}$. Then $(f) = D - ND_1$.

Proof.

Firstly, cover X by coordinate neighborhoods $(U_i, z_i)_{i \in I}$. On each $U_i \cap U_j$ define $\psi_{ij} = dz_j/dz_i \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ be a basis of $\Omega(X)$ and define $W_i := W_{z_i}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$.

On $U_i \cap U_j$ one has

$$W_i = \psi_{ij}^N W_j \text{ where } N = \frac{g(g+1)}{2}, \quad (1)$$

which implies $ord_x(W_i) = ord_x(W_j) \forall x \in U_i \cap U_j$.

Setting $D(x) := ord_x(W_i)$ for $x \in U_i$, defines the divisor D on X corresponding to the Weierstrass points together with their respective weights. Thus our desired number is equal to $deg(D)$.

Let D_1 be the divisor of ω_1 . Then $deg(D_1) = 2g - 2$. On each U_i write $\omega_1 = f_{1i} dz_i$, then $D_1(x) = ord_x(f_{1i})$ for every $x \in U_i$. Moreover

$$f_{1i} = \psi_{ij} f_{1j} \text{ on } U_i \cap U_j, \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) we have $W_i f_{1i}^{-N} = W_j f_{1j}^{-N}$ on $U_i \cap U_j$.

And thus exists $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that $f|_{U_i} = W_i f_{1i}^{-N}$. Then $(f) = D - ND_1$.

$$\Rightarrow deg(D) = Ndeg(D_1) = \frac{g(g+1)}{2}(2g-2) = (g-1)g(g+1).$$

Corollary

Every compact Riemann surface X of genus $g \geq 2$ admits a holomorphic covering mapping $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ having at most g sheets. In particular every compact Riemann surface of genus 2 is hyperelliptic.

THANK YOU FOR LISTENING