

# The Exact Cohomology Sequence on Riemann surfaces

Dinh Ngoc Tung

July 2020

# Table of Contents

- 1 Exact sequences of sheaf homomorphisms.
- 2 Exact cohomology sequences.
- 3 Applications: Dolbeault's Theorem and deRham groups.

# Sheaf homomorphism

## Definition

$\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are sheaves of abelian groups on the topological space  $X$ . A *sheaf homomorphism*  $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a family of group homomorphisms:

$$\alpha_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$$

which compatible with the restriction homomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ \downarrow \text{restr.} & & \downarrow \text{restr.} \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & \mathcal{G}(V) \end{array}$$

is commutative for every  $V \subset U \subset X$ .

# Sheaf homomorphism

## Example 1

$\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$  are the sheaves of differentiable functions, 1-forms and 2-forms on a Riemann surface  $X$ . The exterior derivative  $d$  on functions and differentiable forms induces sheaf homomorphism.

$$d : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$$

$$d : \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$$

# Sheaf homomorphism

## Example 1

$\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$  are the sheaves of differentiable functions, 1-forms and 2-forms on a Riemann surface  $X$ . The exterior derivative  $d$  on functions and differentiable forms induces sheaf homomorphism.

$$d : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$$

$$d : \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$$

For  $V \subset U \subset X$ , we define:

$$d_U : \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(U) : f \mapsto df, (df)(a) := d_a f \in T_a^{(1)}, \text{ for } a \in U.$$

$$d_V : \mathcal{E}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(V) : f \mapsto df, (df)(a) := d_a f \in T_a^{(1)}, \text{ for } a \in V.$$

$$\mathcal{E}(U) \xrightarrow{\text{restr.}} \mathcal{E}(V) : f \mapsto f|_V, \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(U) \xrightarrow{\text{restr.}} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(V) : df \mapsto df|_V.$$

# Sheaf homomorphism

$d_U$  is a vector space homomorphism since

$$\begin{aligned}d_U(zf + z'g)(a) &= d(zf + z'g)(a) = d_a(zf + z'g) = \\&= (zf + z'g)(a) - (zf + z'g) = (zf(a) - zf) + (z'g(a) - z'g) = \\&= zd_a(f) + z'd_a(g) = zd_U(f)(a) + z'd_U(g)(a), \text{ for every} \\& z, z' \in \mathbb{C}, f, g \in \mathcal{E}(U)\end{aligned}$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{E}(U)$  :  $\text{restr.} \circ d_U(f) = d_V \circ \text{restr.}(f)$  since  
 $\text{restr.} \circ d_U(f)(a) = d_a(f) = d_V \circ \text{restr.}(f)(a)$  for every  $a \in V$ .

# Sheaf homomorphism

$d_U$  is a vector space homomorphism since

$$\begin{aligned} d_U(zf + z'g)(a) &= d(zf + z'g)(a) = d_a(zf + z'g) = \\ &= (zf + z'g)(a) - (zf + z'g) = (zf(a) - zf) + (z'g(a) - z'g) = \\ &= zd_a(f) + z'd_a(g) = zd_U(f)(a) + z'd_U(g)(a), \text{ for every} \\ & z, z' \in \mathbb{C}, f, g \in \mathcal{E}(U) \end{aligned}$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{E}(U)$  :  $\text{restr.} \circ d_U(f) = d_V \circ \text{restr.}(f)$  since

$$\text{restr.} \circ d_U(f)(a) = d_a(f) = d_V \circ \text{restr.}(f)(a) \text{ for every } a \in V.$$

Hence the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(U) & \xrightarrow{d_U} & \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(U) \\ \downarrow \text{restr.} & & \downarrow \text{restr.} \\ \mathcal{E}(V) & \xrightarrow{d_V} & \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(V) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

# Sheaf homomorphism

## Example 2

$\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}^*$  are sheaf of holomorphic functions and multiplicative sheaf of holomorphic functions with value in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  on Riemann surface  $X$ .  
 $U : \text{open} \subset X$ , we define  $\text{ex}_U : \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(U) : f \mapsto \exp(2\pi if)$ .  
 $\text{ex} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$  is a sheaf homomorphism.



# Sheaf homomorphism

## Example 2

$\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}^*$  are sheaf of holomorphic functions and multiplicative sheaf of holomorphic functions with value in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  on Riemann surface  $X$ .  
 $U : \text{open} \subset X$ , we define  $ex_U : \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(U) : f \mapsto \exp(2\pi if)$ .  
 $ex : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$  is a sheaf homomorphism.

It is easy to check that  $ex_U$  is a group homomorphism. Since  $restr. \circ ex_U(f) = \exp(2\pi if)|_V = \exp(2\pi if|_V) = ex_V \circ restr.$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{O}(U) & \xrightarrow{ex_U} & \mathcal{O}^*(U) \\
 \downarrow restr. & & \downarrow restr. \\
 \mathcal{O}(V) & \xrightarrow{ex_V} & \mathcal{O}^*(V)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

# The Kernel of a Sheaf Homomorphism

## Definition

$\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  : sheaves on a topological space  $X$  and  $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf homomorphism.  $U : \text{open} \subset X$ . Let

$$\mathcal{K}(U) := \ker(\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U))$$

The family of groups  $\{\mathcal{K}(U)\}_{U:\text{open}}$  together with the natural restriction is a sheaf  $\mathcal{K}$ . It is called kernel of  $\alpha$  and is denoted by  $\mathcal{K} = \ker \alpha$ .

# The Kernel of a Sheaf Homomorphism

## Examples

**1**  $\mathcal{O} = \ker(\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{d''} \mathcal{E}^{0,1}).$

*Proof:* For  $f \in \mathcal{E}(U)$ ,  $a \in U$ ,  $d''f(a) = d''_a f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(a) d_a \bar{z}.$

$d''f = 0 \Leftrightarrow d''f(a) = 0 \forall a \in U \Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(a) = 0 \forall a \in U \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{O}(U).$  □

# The Kernel of a Sheaf Homomorphism

## Examples

**1**  $\mathcal{O} = \ker(\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{d''} \mathcal{E}^{0,1}).$

*Proof:* For  $f \in \mathcal{E}(U)$ ,  $a \in U$ ,  $d''f(a) = d''_a f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(a) d_a \bar{z}$ .

$$d''f = 0 \Leftrightarrow d''f(a) = 0 \forall a \in U \Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(a) = 0 \forall a \in U \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{O}(U). \quad \square$$

**2**  $\Omega = \ker(\mathcal{E}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^{(2)})$

*Proof:* By Theorem 9.16, every closed 1-form  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}^{1,0}(U)$  is holomorphic, i.e.,  $d\omega = 0$  implies  $\omega \in \Omega(U)$ , we get

$\Omega \supset \ker(\mathcal{E}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^{(2)})$ . Also by Theorem 9.16, every holomorphic 1-form  $\omega \in \Omega(U)$  is closed, i.e.,  $d\omega = 0$  and using the obvious fact that  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{E}^{1,0}$  we get

$$\Omega \subset \ker(\mathcal{E}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}). \quad \square$$

# The Image of a Sheaf Homomorphism

## Definition

$\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf homomorphism on the topological space  $X$ .  
We define:

$$\mathcal{B}(U) := \text{Im}(\mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G}(U))$$

$\{\mathcal{B}(U)\}_{U:\text{open}}$  defines a presheaf  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\mathcal{B}$  in general is not a sheaf.

# The Image of a Sheaf Homomorphism

## Counter-example

Consider the sheaf homomorphism:  $ex : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$  on space  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .  
 Let  $U_1 = \mathbb{C}^* - \mathbb{R}_-$  and  $U_2 = \mathbb{C}^* - \mathbb{R}_+$ . We define  $f_k \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_k)$  by  $f_k(z) = z$  for every  $z \in U_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . Since  $U_k$  is simply connected, there exists  $h_k \in \mathcal{O}(U_k)$  s.t  $f_k = \exp(h_k)$ . Hence,  $f_k = \exp_{U_k}(h_k/(2\pi i))$ , i.e.,

$$f_k \in \text{Im}(\mathcal{O}(U_k) \xrightarrow{\text{ex}} \mathcal{O}^*(U_k))$$

and  $f_1 = f_2$  on  $U_1 \cap U_2$  but there is no

$$f \in \text{Im}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\text{ex}} \mathcal{O}^*(\mathbb{C}^*))$$

s.t  $f|_{U_k} = f_k$  since  $\log(z) \notin \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*)$ .

# Exact sequences

## Definition

$\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf homomorphism on the topological space  $X$ . For each  $x \in X$ , there is an induced homomorphism of the stalks  $\alpha_x : \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_x$ .

A sequence  $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H}$  is called *exact* if for any  $x \in X$ , the sequence

$$\mathcal{F}_x \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} \mathcal{G}_x \xrightarrow{\beta_x} \mathcal{H}_x$$

is exact. A sequence

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} \mathcal{F}_n$$

is called exact if the sequence  $\mathcal{F}_k \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} \mathcal{F}_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k+1}} \mathcal{F}_2$  is exact for every  $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ .

# Exact sequence

## Lemma 1

$\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf monomorphism on the topological space  $X$ . Then for every open  $U \subset X$ , the mapping  $\alpha_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  is injective.

## Fact

$\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf epimorphism on the topological space  $X$ . It is not generally true that  $\alpha_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  is surjective.

We consider  $ex : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$  as a counter example,  $ex_x : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x^*$  is surjective since every non-vanishing function locally has a logarithm (at least in a simply-connected domain) but  $ex : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(\mathbb{C}^*)$  is not surjective.



# Exact sequence

## Lemma 2

$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H}$  is an exact sequence of sheaves on topological space  $X$ . For every open  $U \subset X$  the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G}(U) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H}(U)$$

is exact.

# Exact sequence

## Lemma 2

$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H}$  is an exact sequence of sheaves on topological space  $X$ . For every open  $U \subset X$  the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G}(U) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H}(U)$$

is exact.

*Proof:*

*Step 1:* By lemma 1,  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G}(U)$  is exact.

## Exact sequence

## Lemma 2

$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H}$  is an exact sequence of sheaves on topological space  $X$ . For every open  $U \subset X$  the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G}(U) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H}(U)$$

is exact.

*Proof:*

*Step 1:* By lemma 1,  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G}(U)$  is exact.

*Step 2:* Proving  $\text{Im } \alpha \subset \ker \beta$ :

Since the sequence of stalks  $\mathcal{F}_x \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} \mathcal{G}_x \xrightarrow{\beta_x} \mathcal{H}_x$  is exact for every  $x \in U$ . It means for  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  there exists a neighbor  $V_x \subset U$  of  $x$  s.t  $\beta \circ \alpha(f)|_{V_x} = 0$ . By sheaf axiom I, since  $U = \bigcup_{x \in U} V_x$ ,  $\beta \circ \alpha(f) = 0$ .

# Exact sequence

*Step 3: Proving  $\text{Im } \alpha \supset \ker \beta$*

Suppose  $g \in \ker \beta_U$ . Since for every  $x \in U$   $\ker \beta_x = \text{Im } \alpha_x$ , there exists a open covering  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $U$  s.t  $\alpha(f_i) = g|_{V_i}$  for every  $i \in I$ .  
 On  $V_i \cap V_j$ ,  $\alpha(f_i - f_j) = \alpha(f_i) - \alpha(f_j) = (g - g)|_{V_i \cap V_j} = 0$ .

By lemma 1,  $\alpha$  is a monomorphism then

$\alpha : \mathcal{F}(V_i \cap V_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(V_i \cap V_j)$  is injective. Hence,  $f_i - f_j = 0$  or  $f_i = f_j$  on  $V_i \cap V_j$ . By sheaf axiom II, there exists  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  s.t  $f_i = f|_{V_i}$ . By sheaf axiom I, since

$\alpha(f)|_{V_i} = \alpha(f|_{V_i}) = \alpha(f_i) = g|_{V_i}$ ,  $\alpha(f) = g$  on  $U$ . □

# Exact sequence

## Examples

$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{d''} \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

# Exact sequence

## Examples

$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{d''} \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

*Proof:* Since  $\mathcal{O}_x = \ker(\mathcal{E}_x \xrightarrow{d''} \mathcal{E}_x^{0,1})$  for every  $x$ , we only need to show that  $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{d''} \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

For a fixed  $x \in X$ ,  $(U, z)$  is a neighborhood coordinate of  $x$ , WLOG we can assume that  $z(x) = 0$ . For some  $\omega = gd\bar{z} \in \mathcal{E}^{0,1}(Y \cap U)$ ,  $Y \ni x$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{E}(Y \cap U)$ . There exists  $V_x^R := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$  s.t.  $V_x^R \subset z(Y \cap U)$  (it also means  $x \in z^{-1}(V_x^R) \subset Y \cap U$ ). By Dolbeault's lemma,  $\exists f \in \mathcal{E}(V_x^R) : \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = g \circ z^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}(V_x^R)$ . It implies  $\frac{\partial f \circ z}{\partial \bar{z}} = g$  on  $z^{-1}(V_x^R)$  and  $f \circ z \in \mathcal{E}(z^{-1}(V_x^R))$ . Hence,

$$d''(f \circ z)|_{z^{-1}(V_x^R)} = d''(f \circ z|_{z^{-1}(V_x^R)}) = \frac{\partial f \circ z}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z} = gd\bar{z} = \omega$$



# Exact sequence

## Example

1 Similarly we get

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^{(2)} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

# Exact sequence

## Example

- 1 Similarly we get

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^{(2)} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

- 2  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

Where  $\mathcal{L} := \ker(\mathcal{E}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^{(2)})$ .

- 3  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

- 4  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\text{ex}} \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 0$  is exact.



# Cohomology homomorphism

## Definition

Any homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  induces homomorphism:

$$\alpha_0 : H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{G})$$

$$\alpha_1 : H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{G})$$

Where  $\alpha_0 := \alpha_X : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X)$  and  $\alpha_1$  is constructed by:

$\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$  is an open covering of  $X$ , consider

$\alpha_{\mathcal{U}} : C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$ ,  $(f_{ij}) \rightarrow (\alpha(f_{ij}))$ .  $\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}$  induces:

$\bar{\alpha}_{\mathcal{U}} : H^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$ . The family of  $\bar{\alpha}_{\mathcal{U}}$  together make

$\alpha_1 : H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{G})$ .

# The Connecting Homomorphism

## Definition

$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of sheaves on topological space  $X$ . We will define the *connecting homomorphism*

$$\delta^* : H^0(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$$

$h \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}(X)$ . Since all  $\beta_x : \mathcal{G}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_x$  are surjective, there exists a open covering  $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)$  of  $X$  and a cochain  $g_u \in C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$  s.t  $\beta(g_i) = h|_{U_i}$ . Hence  $\beta(g_i - g_j) = 0$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . By lemma 2, there exists  $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$  s.t  $\alpha(f_{ij}) = g_j - g_i$ . It implies  $\alpha(f_{ij} + f_{jk} - f_{ik}) = 0$  on  $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$ . By lemma 1,  $\alpha$  is injective on  $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$ , we get  $f_{ik} = f_{ij} + f_{jk}$ , i.e.,  $(f_{ij}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ . Finally, we define  $\delta^* h \in H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$  is the class represent by  $(f_{ij})$ .

# Exact Cohomology Sequence

## Theorem

The exact sequence of sheaves on topological space  $X$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} H^0(X, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\beta^0} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\delta^*}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} H^1(X, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\beta^1} H^1(X, \mathcal{H})$$

# Exact Cohomology Sequence

*Proof:*

*Step 1:* We have already proved the exactness at  $H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$  and  $H^0(X, \mathcal{G})$  by proving lemma 2.

*Step 2:* Proving the exactness at  $H^0(X, \mathcal{H})$ .

Suppose  $h = \beta^0(g)$ ,  $g \in H^0(X, \mathcal{G})$ . For an open covering  $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)$ , we have  $\beta(g|_{U_i}) = h|_{U_i}$ . This implies, by the definition of  $\delta^*$ ,  $\delta^*h = (\overline{f_{ij}}) \in H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$  where  $f_{ij} = g|_{U_j} - g|_{U_i} = 0$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$  for all  $i, j$ . Hence,  $\delta^*h = 0$ , i.e.,  $\text{Im } \beta^0 \subset \ker \delta^*$ .

Suppose cocycles  $(f_{ij}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  is a representation of  $\delta^*h$ .

Assume that  $\delta^*h = 0$  then  $(f_{ij}) \in B^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ , i.e., there exists  $(f_i) \in C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  s.t.  $f_{ij} = f_j - f_i$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . For  $(g_i) \in C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$  s.t.  $\beta(g_i) = h|_{U_i}$ , set  $\overline{g}_i := g_i - \alpha(f_i)$ . It is easy to check that  $\overline{g}_i = \overline{g}_j$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . Thus they are the restriction of  $g \in \mathcal{G}(X) = H^0(X, \mathcal{G})$ . We can also check that  $\beta(g) = h$ . Hence,  $\ker \delta^* \subset \text{Im } \beta^0$ .

# Exact Cohomology Sequence

*Step 3:* Proving the exactness at  $H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

For some  $\delta^* h$ , take  $(f_{ij}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  is a representation of it. We have  $\bar{\alpha}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{(f_{ij})}) = 0$  since

$\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}((f_{ij})) = (\alpha(f_{ij})) = (g_j - g_i) \in B^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$ . Thus  $\alpha^1 \delta^* h = 0$ , i.e.,  $\text{Im } \delta^* \subset \ker \alpha^1$ .

Suppose  $\xi \in \ker \alpha^1$  represented by  $(f_{ij}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ . Since  $\alpha^1 \xi = 0$ , there exists  $(g_i) \in C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$  s.t  $\alpha(f_{ij}) = g_j - g_i$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . This implies

$$0 = \beta(\alpha(f_{ij})) = \beta(g_j) - \beta(g_i)$$

on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . Hence we can take  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X) = H^0(X, \mathcal{H})$  s.t  $h|_{U_i} = \beta(g_i)$ , i.e.,  $\delta^* h = \xi$  or overall  $\ker \alpha^1 \subset \text{Im } \delta^*$ .

## Exact Cohomology Sequence

*Step 4:* Proving the exactness at  $H^1(X, \mathcal{G})$ .

Take a representation  $(f_{ij}) \in C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  of  $\xi \in H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$ , we have  $\beta(\alpha(f)_{ij}) = 0$  on every  $U_i \cap U_j$ . Hence  $\text{Im } \alpha^1 \subset \ker \beta^1$ .

Suppose  $\eta \in \ker \beta^1$  is represented by  $(g_{ij}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$ . By its definition, there exists  $(h_i) \in C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H})$  s.t  $\beta(g_{ij}) = h_j - h_i$ . For

every  $x \in U_{\tau x} \subset X$ , since  $\mathcal{G}_x \xrightarrow{\beta_x} \mathcal{H}_x \rightarrow 0$  is exact, there exist a neighborhood  $V_x \subset U_{\tau x}$  of  $x$  and  $g_x \in \mathcal{G}(V_x)$  s.t  $\beta(g_x) = h_{\tau x}|_{V_x}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = (V_x)$  is a open covering of  $X$  and  $\bar{g}_{xy} := g_{\tau x \tau y}|_{V_x \cap V_y}$ . We can check that  $(\bar{g}_{xy}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{G})$  is also a representation of  $\eta$ . Let

$\psi_{xy} := \bar{g}_{xy} - g_y + g_x$ ,  $(\psi_{xy})$  is also a representation of  $\eta$  and  $\beta(\psi_{xy}) = 0$ . Since the sequence

$\mathcal{F}(V_x \cap V_y) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G}(V_x \cap V_y) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H}(V_x \cap V_y)$  is exact, there exists  $(f_{xy}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F})$  s.t  $\alpha^1(f_{xy}) = \psi_{xy}$ . Hence  $\alpha(\overline{(f_{xy})}) = \eta$ , i.e.,  $\ker \beta^1 \subset \text{Im } \alpha^1$ .  $\square$

# Consequences and Applications

## Consequence 1

$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$  is exact sequence of sheaves on topological space  $X$  s.t.  $H^1(X, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ . Then

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{H}(X) / \beta\mathcal{G}(X)$$

# Consequences and Applications

## Dolbeault's theorem

Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface. We have:

1  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{E}^{0,1}(X)/d''\mathcal{E}(X)$

2  $H^1(X, \Omega) \cong \mathcal{E}^2(X)/d\mathcal{E}^{1,0}(X).$



# Consequences and Applications

## Dolbeault's theorem

Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface. We have:

- 1  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{E}^{0,1}(X) / d'' \mathcal{E}(X)$
- 2  $H^1(X, \Omega) \cong \mathcal{E}^2(X) / d \mathcal{E}^{1,0}(X)$ .

*Proof:*

- 1 Using Consequence 1 and the facts

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{d''} \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

- 2 Using Consequence 1 and the fact

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{E}^{1,0}) = 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

# The deRham Groups

## Definition

On Riemann surface  $X$ , every exact 1-form is closed but every closed 1-form is not exact in general. Consider the quotient group:

$$Rh^1(X) := \frac{\ker(\mathcal{E}^1(X) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^2(X))}{\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{E}^0(X) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^1(X))}$$

$Rh^1(X)$  is called the 1st deRham group of  $X$ .

# The deRham Groups

## Definition

On Riemann surface  $X$ , every exact 1-form is closed but every closed 1-form is not exact in general. Consider the quotient group:

$$Rh^1(X) := \frac{\ker(\mathcal{E}^1(X) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^2(X))}{\text{Im}(\mathcal{E}^0(X) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^1(X))}$$

$Rh^1(X)$  is called the 1st deRham group of  $X$ .

## Fact

$Rh^1(X) = 0$  if every closed 1-form is exact, i.e., it has a primitive. For an example, if  $X$  is simply connected then  $Rh^1(X) = 0$ .

# The deRham groups

## deRham's Theorem

Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface. Then

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong Rh^1(X)$$

.

# The deRham groups

## deRham's Theorem

Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface. Then

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong Rh^1(X)$$

.

*Prove:* Using Consequence 1 on the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{L} := \ker(\mathcal{E}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}) \rightarrow 0$$

