

## A brief introduction to p-adic numbers.

Reference: S.P. Serna, A Course in Arithmetic, Springer Chapter II

Let  $p$  be a prime number.

For  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , we denote by  $v_p(m)$  the largest integer  $n$  such that  $p^n \mid m$ . We set  $v_p(0) = +\infty$ .

We therefore have a map  $v_p: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$  such

that:

$$\text{rd 1)} \quad v_p(m) = +\infty \Leftrightarrow m = 0;$$

$$\text{rd 2)} \quad v_p(mn) = v_p(m) + v_p(n);$$

$$\text{rd 3)} \quad v_p(m+n) \geq \inf(v_p(m), v_p(n)).$$

Let  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ , write  $a = \frac{p}{q}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ . We set:

$$v_p(a) = v_p(p) - v_p(b).$$

One can show that  $v_p(\cdot)$  is well-defined. We denote a map  $v_p: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$  satisfying rd 1), rd 2), rd 3).

Let  $(x_m)_{m \geq 0}$  be a sequence of elements in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

We say that  $(x_m)_{m \geq 0}$  is  $n$ -adically converges to  $l \in \mathbb{Q}$   
if:

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists m_0, \forall m \geq m_0, v_p(x_m - l) \geq N.$$

We say that  $(x_m)_{m \geq 0}$  is a  $p$ -adic Cauchy sequence  
if:

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists m_0, \forall m, n \geq m, v_p(x_m - x_n) \geq N.$$

$n$ -adic

If  $(x_m)_{m \geq 0}$  is  $n$ -adically convergent then it is a Cauchy sequence.

Example:

$$\forall n \geq 0, \quad x_n = (n-1) + (n-1)\alpha + (n-1)\alpha^n$$

$$\text{We have } x_n = (n-1)(1 + \dots + \alpha^{n-1})$$

$$= (n-1) \frac{\alpha^{n-1} - 1}{\alpha - 1}$$

$$= 1 + \alpha^{n-2}$$

Thus:

$$n(x_n - 1) = n\alpha.$$

Therefore  $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  n-thly converges to 1.

We denote by  $\bar{Q}_n$  the n-th completion of  $Q$ . We have:

$Q$  is dense in  $\bar{Q}_n$

$\bar{Q}_n$  is a field

$\bar{Q}_n$  is complete (i.e. every Cauchy sequence of elements in  $\bar{Q}_n$ )

We have a map  $v_n: Q_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  extending  $v_n: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  satisfying  $v_n(1), v_n(2), v_n(3)$ .

Lemma. ~~for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $x_n \in Q_n$~~

for  $\sum_{m \geq 0} x_m$  converges in  $\bar{Q}_n \iff \lim_m x_m = 0$

Proof.  $\leftarrow$

let  $N \geq 0$ .  $\exists m \geq 0, \forall n \geq m \quad v_n(x_n) > N$

Set  $S_m = x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_m$ . Then for  $m, m' \geq m$ ,

$$v_m(S_m - S_{m'}) = m(v_{m+1} + \dots + v_{m+m'})$$

$$\geq \inf(v_n(x_{m+1}), \dots, v_n(x_{m+m'}))$$

$$\geq N.$$

Therefore  $S_m$  is a Cauchy sequence and thus converges in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .  $\square$

We let  $z_n = \exists x \in \mathbb{Q}_n \text{ such that } z_n \neq 0$ . Then

$z_n$  is a nonempty closed domain and its closure is  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_n} = \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Furthermore the natural inclusion  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$  induces an isomorphism of rings:

$$\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$$

this implies that if  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we can uniquely write:

$$x = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i z^i, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}.$$

Theorem (Hensel's Lemma)

Let  $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_n[x]$  be a monic polynomial

Let  $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_n[x]$  be monic expansions and relatively prime such that:

$$F(x) \equiv g(x) h(x) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}_n[x]}$$

then there exist  $G(x), H(x)$  monic expansions in  $\mathbb{Z}_n[x]$  such that:

$$G(x) \equiv g(x) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}_n[x]}$$

$$H(x) \equiv h(x) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}_n[x]}$$

$$f(x) = G(x) H(x).$$

Example:  $n = 3$ .

$$x^2 - (1+n) \equiv (x-1)(x+1) \pmod{3}.$$

thus there exists  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $d = 1 (n)$ , ~~such that~~ such that:

$$x^2 - (1+n) = (x-\alpha)(x+\beta).$$

observe that if  $n=3$  then  $x=-2$  let's suppose

that  $n \geq 5$ , we want to show that  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  then since  $\alpha$  is integer over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we

$\alpha = \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  and  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

then  $|\alpha| \geq n+1$ .

this implies that  $(n+1)^2 \leq 1/n$  which is impossible for  
 $n \geq 5$ .

Lemma.Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ ,  $a \neq b (\text{in } \mathbb{Z}_n)$ .

Then:

$$a^n = b^n (\text{in } \mathbb{Z}_n)$$

Proof.

$$a = b + h^n c \quad c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} a^n &= b^n + h^{nh} c^n + \sum_{k=2}^{h^2} \binom{h}{k} b^k (h^n c)^{h-k} \\ &= b^n (\text{in } \mathbb{Z}_n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary.Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Then  $(a^n)^{m \rightarrow \infty}$  converges in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{convergence: } a^{n \rightarrow \infty} &= a^n (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \\ &\equiv a \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{Z}_n) \end{aligned}$$

Take  $m_0 < N$ 

then:

$$a^{n \rightarrow \infty} - a^{n \rightarrow N} = a^{n \rightarrow N} (a^{n-n \rightarrow N} - 1)$$

By the lemma:  $a^{n-n \rightarrow N} = 1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty, N \in \mathbb{N})$ Thus if  $m, m \rightarrow m$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a^{n \rightarrow \infty} - a^{n \rightarrow m} &= a^{n \rightarrow \infty} - a^{n \rightarrow m} + a^{n \rightarrow m} - a^{n \rightarrow m} \\ &\equiv 0 \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{Z}_n) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(a^n)^{m \rightarrow \infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence and therefore it converges.  $\square$ For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , we set:

$$w_n(a) = \lim_m a^{n \rightarrow m}$$

Show that  $w_n(a) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ ,  $w_n(a) \equiv a (\text{in } \mathbb{Z}_n)$  and  $w_n(a)^{n-2} = 1$ .

Lemma:

$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\times}$ ,

$$w_h(a) = w_h(b) \iff a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

Proof:

If  $w_h(a) = w_h(b)$  then

$$a \equiv w_h(a) \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv w_h(b) \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv b \pmod{n}$$

Reversingly let's assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ .

then,

$$\forall n > 0 \quad a^{n^m} \equiv b^{n^m} \pmod{n^m}$$

thus:

$$\begin{aligned} w_h(a) &= \lim_m a^{n^m} \\ &= \lim_m b^{n^m} \\ &= w_h(b) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma:  $w_h: \mathbb{Z}_n^{\times} \rightarrow N_{h,2} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^{\times}$  is  
a surjective group homomorphism and induces an  
isomorphism of groups.

$$w_h: (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} N_{h,2}$$

Ind.: By Havel's lemma  $N_{h,2} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^{\times}$ .

We see:

$$\begin{aligned} w_h(ab) &= \lim_n (ab)^{n^m} \\ &= \lim_n a^{n^m} b^{n^m} \\ &= w_h(a) w_h(b) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

$w_h: (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \rightarrow N_{h,2}$  is called the mod  $n$   
redundant character.

References: L. Washington, Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields, Springer, chapter 5  
 T. Arakawa, T. Kaneko, T. Katsurada, Bernoulli Numbers and Zeta Functions, Springer, chapter 3/2/3, 4  
 (1)

Bernoulli Numbers & Zeta Values. (1)

The  $n$ th Bernoulli number  $B_n \in \mathbb{Q}$  is defined as follows:

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]].$$

$$\text{where } c^t = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!} \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]].$$

Exercise 1.

a) Prove that  $B_0 = 1$ ,  $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $B_2 = \frac{1}{4}$ .

b) Prove that if  $n \geq 1$ ,  $B_{2n+1} = 0$ .

Let  $x$  be another indeterminate and let's note:

$$\frac{t e^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \in \mathbb{Q}[x][[t]].$$

where  $B_n(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  is the  $n$ th Bernoulli polynomial.

Exercise 2.

a) Prove that  $B_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} B_i x^{n-i}$

b) Prove that  $B_n(1-x) = (-1)^n B_n(x)$

c) Prove that,  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,  $B'_n(x) = n B_{n-1}(x)$

d) Prove that  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,  $B_n(0) = B_n(1) = B_n$ .

Lemma: Let  $n$  be a prime number and let  $m \geq 2$ ,  $m = \alpha(2)$ .

We have:

$$B_m = h^{m-2} \sum_{a=1}^h B_m\left(\frac{a}{h}\right).$$

Proof

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} h^{m-2} \sum_{a=1}^h B_m\left(\frac{a}{h}\right) \frac{t^m}{m!}$$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^h \sum_{m \geq 0} h^{m-2} B_m\left(\frac{a}{h}\right) \frac{t^m}{m!}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{a=2}^n \frac{t e^{\frac{a}{n}nt}}{e^{nt}-1} \\
 &= \frac{t}{e^{nt}-1} \sum_{a=2}^n (e^t)^a \\
 &= \frac{t}{e^{nt}-1} \frac{e^{tn}-e^t}{e^t-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{t e^t}{e^t-1} = t + \frac{t}{e^t-1} \\
 &= t + \sum_{m>0} B_m \frac{t^m}{m!} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Théorème (von Staudt-Claussen)

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad B_{2n} + \sum_{\substack{n \text{ paire} \\ n \geq 1, n}} \frac{1}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Proof.

Let  $n$  be a prime number. We will prove by induction on  $m$  that:

$$\forall m \geq 2, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad B_m = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{n} (2n) & n \mid m \\ 0 & (2n) \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In case  $m=2$ :  $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$ .

thus  $B_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  if  $n \geq 5$

$$B_2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{4}{6} = \frac{2}{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$B_2 + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_3.$$

Therefore the assertion is true for  $n=2$ .

By our Lemma:

$$B_m = n^{m-2} \sum_{a=2}^n B_m \left( \frac{a}{n} \right)$$

$$= n^{m-2} \sum_{a=2}^n \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} B_i \left( \frac{a}{n} \right)^{m-i}$$

$$= \sum_{a=2}^n \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} n B_i a^{m-i} n^{i-2}$$

Bog an induction by induction for  $j < n$ , we get  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &= \sum_{a=2}^n (n a^n n^{-2} + n \cdot n B_1 a^{n-2} n^{-2} + n \cdot n B_2 a^{n-4} n^{-2}) (\mathbb{Z}_n) \\ &= n^n B_n + 1 \sum_{a=2}^n a^n (\mathbb{Z}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get:

$$(1 - n^n) B_n = 1 \sum_{a=2}^n a^n (\mathbb{Z}_n)$$

### Example 3

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field having  $q$  elements and let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Then we:

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \alpha^n = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Bog the above exercise,

$$(1 - n^n) B_n \in \begin{cases} 1 & (\mathbb{Z}_n) \text{ if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{n-1} \\ 0 & (\mathbb{Z}_n) \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We conclude that  $B_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{n-1}$ . since  $1 - n^n \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ .

If  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n-1}$ :

$$B_n = -\frac{1}{n(1 - n^n)} (\mathbb{Z}_n)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \geq 1} (n^n)^k (\mathbb{Z}_n)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n} (\mathbb{Z}_n) \text{ or } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n-1}.$$

We conclude that for every non-zero  $n$ :

$$B_n + \sum_{m, m+1 \mid n} \frac{1}{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

The theorem follows.  $\square$

Exercise 4

Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let's make

$$B_m = \frac{v_m}{v_n} \quad v_m, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad v_m \neq 0 \quad (v_m, v_n) = 1.$$

Prove that :  $v_m = \pi_{n, m}$

## Bernoulli Numbers & Zeta Values (2)

$$\frac{t}{e^{kt} - 1} = \sum_{m \geq 0} B_m \frac{t^m}{m!} e^{ptk} \quad \text{for } p, k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Theorem (von Staudt-Clebsch)

$$\forall m \geq 2, \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(2), \quad B_{m+1} \sum_{n, h-1 \mid n} \frac{1}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark:

$$\forall m \geq 2, m \neq 2, \quad B_m \neq 0.$$

Indeed, if  $B_m = 0$  then  $\sum_{n, h-1 \mid n} \frac{1}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But:

$$\zeta_2\left(\sum_{n, h-1 \mid n} \frac{1}{n}\right) = -1 < 0$$

this is a contradiction!

Proposition: Let  $p$  be an odd prime.

Let  $n, s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\varphi(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ .

Want:

$$\varphi(e^{nt} - e^{st}) = \sum_{m \geq 0} A_m \frac{t^m}{m!} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]].$$

Then:

$$(i) \quad \forall m \geq 0, \quad A_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

$$(ii) \quad \forall m \geq 0, \quad$$

$$A_{m+(h-1)p^{a-1}} \equiv A_m (h^a).$$

Proof: write  $\varphi(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k t^k$  after  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

$$\text{We have: } \varphi(e^{nt} - e^{st}) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k (e^{nt} - e^{st})^k$$

$$= \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} (n^l - s^l) e^{nt} e^{sl} e^{(n-s)t}.$$

Then:

$$q(c^{at} c^{bt}) = \sum_{k=0}^h (-1)^k a_k \binom{h}{k} c^{(a(c+k)+b)k}$$

Take the  $m$ th derivative and set  $t=0$ :

$$A_m = \sum_{k=0}^h (-1)^k a_k \binom{h}{k} (a(c+k)+b)^m$$

Remark that since  $(c^{at} - c^{bt})^h = 0 \pmod{n^a}$ , we get also:

$$h \geq m, \quad \sum_{k=0}^h (-1)^k a_k \binom{h}{k} (a(c+k)+b)^m = 0$$

In particular  $A_m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Now, let  $m \geq a+1$ :

$$A_{m+(h-1)n^{a-2}} - A_m$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^h \sum_{l=0}^h (-1)^k a_k \binom{h}{k} (a(c+k)+b)^m (a(c+k)+b)^{(h-1)n^{a-2}-1}$$

If  $k \nmid (a(c+k)+b)$   $\Rightarrow (a(c+k)+b)^m \equiv 0 \pmod{n^a}$  since  $m \geq a$

If  $k \nmid (a(c+k)+b)$   $\Rightarrow (a(c+k)+b)^{h-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n^a}$

$$\Rightarrow (a(c+k)+b)^{(h-1)n^{a-2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{n^a}$$

Therefore:

$$A_{m+(h-1)n^{a-2}} - A_m \equiv 0 \pmod{n^a} \quad \square$$

Theorem (Kummer Congruences)

Let  $p$  be an odd prime.

a) Let  $m \geq 2$ ,  $m \equiv 0(2)$ ,  $m \not\equiv 0(p-1)$ . Then  $\frac{B_m}{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

b) Let  $m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m > m \geq a \geq 1$ ,  $m \equiv m - ((n-1)p^{a-2})$ ,  $m \not\equiv 0(p-1)$ .  
Then,

$$\frac{B_m}{m} = \frac{B_m}{m} (p^a)$$

Proof. Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ ,  $c \neq 1$

We have:

$$(1+ct)^c = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{c(c-1)\dots(c-m+1)}{m!} t^m \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$$

Let  $\frac{c(c-1)\dots(c-m+1)}{m!} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Thus:

$$(1+ct)^c \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]].$$

$$\text{Let } \varphi(t) = \frac{1}{t} - \frac{c}{(1+ct)^{c-2}}.$$

$$\text{Observe that } (1+ct)^{c-2} = ct + t^2 + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]].$$

Thus:

$$\frac{c}{(1+ct)^{c-2}} = \frac{c}{ct(1+t^2+\dots)} \quad g = \frac{t}{1+t^2+\dots} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]].$$

$$\text{Therefore } \frac{c}{(1+ct)^{c-2}} = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{m \geq 0} (tg)^m$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} (\in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]).$$

We conclude that:

$$\varphi(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]].$$

$$\text{Now, } \varphi(e^t-1) = \frac{1}{e^t-1} - \frac{c}{e^{ct}-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{t}{e^t-1} - \frac{ct}{e^{ct}-1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{m \geq 0} (1 - c^m) B_m \frac{t^m}{m!}$$

$$= \sum_{m \geq 2} (1 - c^m) \frac{B_m}{m} \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}$$

By our previous procedure:

$$\forall c \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times, \quad (1 - c^m) \frac{B_m}{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$$

Let's select  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$  such that  $c^m \neq 1 \pmod{n}$  ( $m \neq 0 \pmod{n}$ ).

then  $(1 - c^m) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$  and thus:

$$\frac{B_m}{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

Now, let  $m \geq 2$ . By our previous procedure:

$$(1 - c^{m+(n-1)t^{n-2}}) \frac{B_{m+(n-1)t^{n-2}}}{m+(n-1)t^{n-2}} = (1 - c^m) \frac{B_m}{m} \quad (\text{ii})$$

But:

$$(1 - c^{m+(n-1)t^{n-2}}) = (1 - c^m) (t^n),$$

and  $(1 - c^m) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$  for a good choice of  $c$  ( $m \neq 0 \pmod{n}$ ).

$$\text{thus } \frac{B_{m+(n-1)t^{n-2}}}{m+(n-1)t^{n-2}} = \frac{B_m}{m} (t^n).$$

thus:

$$\forall k \geq 1, \quad \frac{B_{m+k(n-1)t^{n-2}}}{m+k(n-1)t^{n-2}} = \frac{B_m}{m} (t^n).$$

We conclude that:

$$\frac{B_m}{m} = \frac{B_m}{m} (t^n). \quad \square$$

### Liouville's Theorem

Let  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an entire function. Let's suppose there exists  $n \in [0, \infty]$  such that:

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, |f(z)| \leq n.$$

Then there exists  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, f(z) = c$ .

Theorem:

$$\sin(\pi z) = \pi z \prod_{m \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{m^2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}[\![z]\!].$$

Proof:

$$\forall z_0 \in \mathbb{C}, \sin(\pi z_0) = \frac{e^{iz_0} - e^{-iz_0}}{2i}$$

$$= \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m-1} \frac{\pi^{2m+2} z_0^{2m+2}}{(2m+1)!}$$

$$= \pi z_0 + \frac{(\pi z_0)^3}{3!}$$

$$\text{thus } \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi z)} = \frac{\pi^2}{\pi^2 z^2 (1 - \frac{\pi^2 z^2}{1^2} + \dots)^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^2} + \text{regular at } z$$

In fact  $\sin(\sin(\pi z + m)) = \sin(\pi z)^2 \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we get:

$$\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi z)} = \frac{1}{(z+m)^2} + \text{regular at } (z+m)$$

But  $\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi z)}$  has only poles at  $z = m \pi, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We get:

$$f(z) = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi z)} - \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{(z+m)^2} \text{ has no poles}$$

and therefore is an entire function on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let's assume that  $f(z) = f(z+1)$ .

Furthermore, it is not difficult to verify that  $f$  is bounded on  $\{z = iy, z \in \mathbb{C}, |y| \leq N\}$  for any fixed number. By the periodicity of  $f$ , we get that  $f$  is bounded on  $\{z = iy, z \in \mathbb{R}, |y| \leq N\}$  for any  $N$ .

But  $\lim_{|y| \rightarrow +\infty} f(z+iy) = 0$ . Thus  $f$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Therefore by Riemann's theorem,  $f$  is constant. Thus  $f = 0$ .

We therefore have:

$$\frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi z)^2} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z-m)^2} \quad \text{REMOVED}$$

We rearrange it has:

$$\frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi z)^2} = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{(z-m)^2} + \frac{1}{(z+m)^2}$$

The left hand side is the derivative of:

$$-\pi \cot(\pi z)$$

The right hand side is the derivative of:

$$-\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{z-m} - \frac{1}{z+m}$$

Thus  $-\pi \cot(\pi z) = \text{const} + \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{z-m} + \frac{1}{z+m}$

But since the functions are odd,  $\text{const} = 0$ . Therefore we have proved:

$$\pi \cot(\pi z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{z-m} + \frac{1}{z+m}$$

Observe that:

$$d \log \sin \pi z = \frac{\pi \cos(\pi z)}{\sin(\pi z)}$$

$$= \pi \cot(\pi z)$$

$$m_{z,2} d \log \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{m^2} \right) = \frac{-\frac{2z}{m^2} \pi}{1 - \frac{z^2}{m^2}}$$

$$= \frac{2z\pi}{z^2 - m^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{z-m} + \frac{1}{z+m}$$

Therefore:

$$d \log \sin \pi z = d \log \left( \gamma \pi \frac{(z - \frac{z^2}{m^2})}{m_{z,2}} \right)$$

We get:

$$\log \sin(\pi z) = \text{ct} + \log \left( \gamma \pi \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{m^2} \right) \right)$$

thus:

$$\sin \pi z = e^{\text{ct}} \gamma \pi \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{m^2} \right).$$

$$\text{But } \sin \pi z = \pi z \text{ mod } z^2 \text{ thus: } e^{\text{ct}} = \pi.$$

Therefore:

$$\sin \pi z = \gamma \pi \frac{(z - \frac{z^2}{m^2})}{m_{z,2}}. \square$$

Recall that  $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(z) > 1,$

$$\mathcal{E}(z) = \sum_{m_{z,2}} \frac{1}{m^2} \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$= \pi \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m^2} \right)^{-2}$$

Theorem (L.Euler)

$$\forall n \geq 1, \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!}}{(2i\pi)^{2n}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof

We have:

$$\sin(t) = t - \frac{\pi}{m!} \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{\pi^2}\right)^{m!} \in \varphi[[t]].$$

Let's take the logarithmic derivative and multiplying by  $t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t \cos(t)}{\sin(t)} &= 1 - 2 \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{t^2}{m! \pi^2 - t^2} \\ &= 1 - 2 \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{2m} (-1)^k}{(2\pi)^{2m}} \frac{t^{2m}}{(2\pi)^{2m}} \end{aligned}$$

But  $\cos(t) = \frac{e^{it} + e^{-it}}{2}$        $\sin(t) = \frac{e^{it} - e^{-it}}{2i}$

Thus  $\frac{t \cos(t)}{\sin(t)} = it + \frac{2it}{e^{2it} - 1}$

$$= it + \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{B_m (2it)^m}{m!}$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{2^m}{m!} \frac{B_m (-1)^m}{m+2} t^m$$

thus:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{2m} (-1)^k}{(2\pi)^{2m}} \frac{B_{2m}}{2m!} = (-1)^{2m-1} 2^{2m-2} \frac{B_{2m}}{2m!}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{2m} (-1)^k}{(2i\pi)^{2m}} \frac{B_{2m}}{2m!} \quad \square$$

Corollary.

Let  $n \geq 1$ . Then:

$$|B_{2n}| \rightarrow 2 \left( \frac{n}{\pi c} \right)^{2n}$$

$$\text{In particular } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_{2n}|}{2^n} = +\infty.$$

Proof.

We have

$$|B_{2n}| = \frac{2^n!}{(2\pi)^{2n}} e^{-\frac{2}{c}(2n)} \rightarrow \frac{2^n!}{(2\pi)^{2n}} e$$

Now:

$$e^{2n} \rightarrow \frac{(2n)^{2n}}{2n!}$$

$$\text{thus: } 2^n! \rightarrow \left( \frac{2n}{c} \right)^{2n}$$

Therefore:

$$|B_{2n}| \rightarrow e \left( \frac{n}{\pi c} \right)^{2n}$$

thus:

$$\frac{|B_{2n}|}{2^n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi c} \left( \frac{n}{\pi c} \right)^{2n-2}$$

And we get:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_{2n}|}{2^n} = +\infty \quad \square$$

Let  $n$  be an odd prime number.

For  $k \geq 1$ ,  $k = o(z)$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq n-3$ , we have:

$$B_k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$$

The prime number  $n$  is called regular if:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n-3}{\pi} \\ & k=2 \quad B_{2k} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ & k=o(z) \end{aligned}$$

$n$  is called irregular otherwise.

Conjecture There exist infinitely many regular primes.

(It is believed that  $\approx 67\%$  of the time numbers are regular)

Example 37 is the first regular prime, we have:

$$B_{37} \equiv 0 \pmod{37}.$$

A list of regular primes:

$$37, 59, 67, 101, 103, 131, 149, 157, 233 \dots$$

Let  $i(n) = \#\{2 \leq k \leq n-3, B_k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$  the number of regularity of  $n$ .

Then  $n$  is regular  $\Leftrightarrow i(n) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} i(37) &= 1, & i(59) &= 1, & i(67) &= 1, & i(101) &= 1, & i(103) &= 1 \\ i(131) &= 1, & i(149) &= 1, & i(157) &= 2, & i(233) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture

$$\limsup_n i(n) = +\infty$$

~~There are more than  $B_{n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$~~

Conjecture (~~Mihaly Berczes~~)

~~that there are no regular primes between  $B_{n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$~~

Conjecture (n. Koblitz D Zagier)

Let  $N \geq 3$ ,  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . There exist infinitely many prime

numbers  $n$  such that  $B_{n-N} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ .

Theorem There exist infinitely many regular primes.

Proof

Let  $S$  be the set of irregular primes and let's suppose that  $S$  is finite. Write  $S = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r\}$ .

Let  $m = N(n_1+1) \cdots (n_r+1)$  where  $N \in \mathbb{Z}(2)$  and  $N$  is large enough such that

$$|B_{m-1}|/m > 1.$$

Let  $p$  be a prime number dividing the numerator of  $\frac{B_m}{m}$ .

By the von Staudt-Clausen Theorem:

$$p \nmid n_i \iff p \text{ divides } n_i - 1 \text{ or}$$

$$p \mid 1 + m$$

(thus  $n$  is odd)

Let  $m \in \{2, \dots, n-3\}$  such that  $m \equiv m \pmod{n-2}$ .

By the Kummer Congruences:

$$\frac{B_m}{m} \equiv \frac{B_m}{m} \pmod{n}$$

thus:

$$B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \text{ and } n \in S.$$

This is a contradiction.  $\square$

One can prove by analytic techniques.

Theorem Let  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $B_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ .

Let  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and let  $k = \varphi(\sqrt{n})$ .

Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 1$  such that  $\alpha_k^x = 2 \pm i \sqrt{3} \times \varepsilon^2$

Recall that  $\alpha_k = 2 \pm i \frac{\sqrt{n}-1}{2}$ . Thus one can write:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{t + u\sqrt{n}}{c} \quad t, u \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is obvious that  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ .

Let  $b_K$  be the width of a member of  $K$ .

Theorem (Arhang-Mulin-Chandra)

$$b_K \frac{u}{t} = B_{\frac{n+1}{2}}(n)$$

By analytic techniques one can prove that  $b_K < \sqrt{t}$ .

thus  $u \in o(n) \Leftrightarrow B_{\frac{n+1}{2}} = o(n)$

Open Problem

Let  $n = 100$ . Is it true that  $B_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \neq o(n)$ ?

## The Hasse-Weil theorem (1)

$$\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i / n}$$

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_n] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathbb{Q} \zeta_n^k$$

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathbb{Z} \zeta_n^k$$

$n$ : odd prime number

$$\Delta = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\sigma: K \rightarrow K \mid \sigma \text{ isomorphism of fields}\}.$$

One has:

$$\Delta \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus n\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\text{Ta} \in \Delta$  such that  $\text{Ta}(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^a$ .

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in K, x &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} x_k \zeta_n^k \quad x_k \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ \text{Ta}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} x_k \text{Ta}(\zeta_n^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} x_k \zeta_n^{ak}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\forall s \in \Delta$ ,  $s(\mathcal{O}_K) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Let  $C$  be a prime number  $C \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Then  $x^{n-1}$  divides  $x^{C-1} - 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . Recall that,

$$x^{C-1} - 1 = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} x - \alpha$$

Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c \in \{2, \dots, C-1\}$  such that  $c^n \equiv 1 \pmod{C}$ .

Let:

$$\varepsilon = (\zeta_n, c, C) \text{ with } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K$$

Then  $C \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\zeta_n \equiv c(\varepsilon)$ . Thus:

$$\mathcal{O}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$$

In particular  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ .

We have:

$$\text{Ta}^{-1}(\zeta_n) \equiv c \pmod{\mathcal{O}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}}$$

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

We get:

$$e_n^L = c \cdot (\tau_{a^{-2}}(z)).$$

thus  $e_n^{ab} = e_n = c^a \cdot (\tau_{a^{-2}}(z))$ .

Name:  $\tau_{a^{-2}}(z) = (e_n^{a-c}, c)$

But  $e_n^{a-c} = e_n^a - c^a$  (e)

$$= (e_n - c^a) \cdot (e_n^{a-c} + (c^a)^{a-c}) \text{ (e)}$$

thus  $\tau_{a^{-2}}(z) \subset (e_n - c^a, c)$ . But these two sets  
are disjoint, thus:

$$\tau_{a^{-2}}(z) = (e_n - c^a, c).$$

let's assume that:

$$\tau_{a^{-1}}(z) = \tau_{a^{-2}}(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow e_n - c^2 \in \tau_{a^{-2}}(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow (e_n - c^a) - (e_n - c^c) \in \tau_{a^{-2}}(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow c^c - c^a \in \tau_{a^{-2}}(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow c^c - c^a \in \tau_{a^{-2}}(z) \wedge z = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c^c = c^a \text{ (e)}$$

$$\Rightarrow a \equiv b \text{ (n)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau_a = \tau_b$$

Thus:  $\mathcal{C}_k = \prod_{a=1}^{h-L} \tau_{a^{-2}}(z).$

Let  $I$  be the set of fractional ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , i.e.

$$a \in I \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in K^*, x \neq 0 \text{ such that } a \subset \mathcal{O}_K, xa \in I.$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a Dedekind domain, every  $a \in I$  can be uniquely written:

$$a = \pi \beta^{n_p}$$

passes through the maximal ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $n_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n_p > 0$  for almost all  $p$ .

Here  $\beta^{-1}$  is the unique fractional ideal of  $I$  such that  $\beta\beta^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_K$ .

We prove that  $I$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$ -module:

$$\begin{matrix} I \text{ has } s \\ s \in S \end{matrix} \quad a = \prod_{s \in S} s(a)^{n_s}$$

Let  $P$  be the subgroup of  $I$  consisting of principal ideals,  $P = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K, x \in K^*\}$ . Then  $P$  is also a  $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$ -module. Thus:

$$\text{Cl}(K) := I/P \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}[\sigma] \text{-module } \leftrightarrow$$

Furthermore,  $\text{Cl}(K)$  is finite.

### Theorem (Selmer)

Let  $T \in \text{Cl}(K)$ . Then there exist infinitely many prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  such that:

$$1) \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = \ell \mathbb{Z}, \ell \text{ prime number, } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha};$$

$$2) \mathfrak{p} \in T.$$

Let  $\ell$  be a prime number,  $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha}$ .

Let  $s \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$  such that  $\langle s \rangle = \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$ .

Let  $\pi_{\ell}: \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times} \rightarrow T^{\times}$  such that:

$$\pi_{\ell}(s) = \mathfrak{p}.$$

We have,

$$g(\pi_e) = \sum_{l=1}^{l-1} \pi_e(l) e^l \in k(e) \text{ where } e^l = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{l} l}$$

Proposition:

$$\text{1)} g(\pi_e) \overline{g(\pi_e)} = l$$

$$\text{2)} g(\pi_e)^{l-1} \in k.$$

Proof.

1) We have,

$$g(\pi_e) \overline{g(\pi_e)}$$

$$= \sum_{l, l'} \pi_e(l) \overline{\pi_e(l')} e^{l-l'}$$

$$= \sum_{c, c'} \pi_e(l c'^{-1}) e^{(lc'-l)c'}$$

$$= \sum_{c, c'} \pi_e(c) e^{(c-1)c'}$$

$$= l-1 + \sum_{c \neq 1} \sum_{c'} \pi_e(c) e^{(c-1)c'}$$

$$= l-1 + \sum_{c \neq 1} \pi_e(c) + \text{Tr}_{k(e)/k} (e^{c-1})$$

$$= l-1 - \sum_{c \neq 1} \pi_e(c)$$

$$= l-1 - (-1)$$

$$= l$$

2) By definition this holds.

$$cl(k(e)/k) \cong cl((k(e)/\phi(e)) \cap k)$$

$$= cl(\phi(e)/k)$$

$$\text{let } z \in cl(k(e)/k), \quad z(e) = z_e^c \quad c \in \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}.$$

We have,

$$z(g(\pi_e)) = \sum_{c=1}^{l-1} \pi_e(c) z_e^{lc}$$

$$\text{Thus } Z(g(\pi_e)) = - \sum_{\mathbf{c}} e^{b\mathbf{c}} \pi_e(b\mathbf{c}) \bar{\pi}_e(\mathbf{c}) \\ = \bar{\pi}_e(\mathbf{c}) g(\pi_e).$$

$$\text{Therefore: } Z(g(\pi_e)^{l-2})$$

$$= Z(g(\pi_e))^{l-2}$$

$$= (\bar{\pi}_e(\mathbf{c}))^{l-2} g(\pi_e)^{l-2}$$

$$= g(\pi_e)^{l-2}$$

This being true for any  $\pi \in \text{Gr}(K(z_e)/K)$ . We get:

$$g(\pi_e)^{l-2} \in K.$$

But  $g(\pi_e)$  is an algebraic integer, thus:

$$g(\pi_e)^{l-2} \in K. \quad \square$$

(\*) Let  $\mathcal{Q}[\Delta]$  be the commutative ring defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}[\Delta] = \bigoplus_{S \in \Delta} \mathcal{Q}S \quad \text{as a } \mathcal{Q}\text{-vector space}$$

$$(Z \otimes S)(Z \otimes S', S')$$

$$= \sum_{Z \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{SS' = Z} X_S \otimes S' \right) Z$$

Then  $Z[\Delta] = \bigoplus_{S \in \Delta} Z \otimes S$  is a commutative subring of  $\mathcal{Q}[\Delta]$ .

We note

$$\theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=2}^{n-2} a^{-1} \in \mathcal{Q}[\Delta].$$

Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal ideal of  $OK$  above  $\mathfrak{l}$ . Then there exists

$\pi \in \Delta$  such that:

$$g(\pi_e)^{l-2} OK = (\mathfrak{l}-1) \pi \theta. \mathfrak{p}.$$

base  
ring

$$L = K(\mathbb{E}_C) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{E}_{\text{tors}}, \mathbb{E}_C] = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{E}_C] \quad \mathbb{E}_C = C^{\frac{2+i\sqrt{5}}{2}}$$
$$\begin{array}{ccc} & | & \\ & \mathbb{E} & \\ | & & | \\ K & \mathbb{E} & \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{E}] \\ | & & | \\ \emptyset & \mathbb{E} & \mathcal{O}_\emptyset = \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

We have an isomorphism of groups:

$$\text{cl.}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_{\text{tors}}, \mathbb{E}_C)/\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E})) \cong \text{cl}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_C)/\mathbb{Z})$$

We have:

$$\alpha^{l-2} = \mathbb{E} \mathcal{O}_L$$

We deduce that  $\{s(x) \mid s \in S\}$  is exactly the set of norms of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  base  $\mathbb{E}$ .

We have  $\alpha(\mathbb{E}_C)^{l-2} \alpha(\overline{\mathbb{E}_C})^{l-2} = C^{l-2}$ . Thus in the decomposition of  $\alpha(\mathbb{E}_C)^{l-2} \mathcal{O}_K$  into prime ideals, only the ideals above  $\mathbb{E}$  appear. Therefore,

$$\alpha(\mathbb{E}_C)^{l-2} \mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{a=1}^{n-2} \alpha_a \mathbb{E}_a^{-2} \cdot \mathbb{P} \quad \text{[Note: } \mathbb{E}_a \text{ are prime ideals above } \mathbb{E} \text{]}$$

Let  $\tau \in \text{cl}(K(\mathbb{E}_C)/\mathbb{K})$ ,  $\tau(\mathbb{E}_C) = \mathbb{E}_C'$ . Then  $\tau(x) = s \alpha$ .

We have:

$$\tau(\alpha(\mathbb{E}_C)) = \alpha(\mathbb{E}_C') \alpha(\mathbb{E}_C)$$

Now,  $\frac{\mathbb{E}_C'^{-2}}{\mathbb{E}_C^{-2}} = \sigma (\alpha_a^{-2} \alpha)$

We get:

$$\frac{\alpha(\mathbb{E}_C)}{(\mathbb{E}_C^{-1})^{n_a}} = \frac{\tau(\alpha(\mathbb{E}_C))}{\tau((\mathbb{E}_C^{-1})^{n_a})} = \frac{(\alpha_a^{-2} \alpha)}{(\alpha_a^{-2} \alpha)}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha(\mathbb{E}_C) \alpha(\mathbb{E}_C'^{-1})^{-2}}{(\mathbb{E}_C^{-1})^{n_a} \alpha'^{-2}}$$

Thus:

$$\pi_c(n) = \gamma^{-na} (\tau_{a^{-1}} d)$$

i.e.

$$e_n = \gamma^{-na} (\tau_{a^{-1}} e). \quad \tau_{a^{-1}} d \cap \theta_k = \tau_{a^{-1}} e.$$

Finally:  $e_n^a = \gamma^{-na} (e).$

But  $e_n = \gamma^{-\frac{a-1}{n} c}$  (as some  $c$  must be there).

thus:  $n = \frac{a-1}{n} ca (\text{as } a-1).$

But  $a < 2, \dots, a-1$ , thus:

$$n = (a-1) \left\{ \frac{ac}{n} \right\}.$$

Name

$$\sum_{a=2}^{na} (a-1) \left\{ \frac{ac}{n} \right\} \tau_{a^{-1}}$$

$$= (a-1) \tau_c \sum_{a=2}^{na} a \tau_{a^{-1}}$$

$$= (a-1) \tau_c \theta \quad \square$$

Theorem (Schelling's theorem)

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$  such that  $\beta \cdot \theta \in \mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$ .

Then,

$$\beta \cdot \theta \cdot \mathcal{Q}(k) = \{\alpha\}.$$

Proof. Let  $\tau \in \mathcal{Q}(k)$ . Select, by induction,  $\tau' \in \tau$ ,  
 $\ell \cap \mathbb{Z} = \ell \mathbb{Z}, \quad \ell \in I(\Delta)$ .

Let  $\tau' = \text{then: } g(\tau')^{\ell-1} \theta_k = (a-1) \tau_c \theta \cdot \tau'$ .

for some  $\tau_c \in \Delta$ .

Let:

$$\gamma = g(\pi_e)^{\frac{r_{e^{-}}}{r_e} \beta} \in K(\pi_e).$$

We have:

$$\gamma^{l-2} \in K.$$

Furthermore,

$$\gamma^{l-2} \theta_K = (\beta \cdot \theta \cdot \ell)^{l-2}$$

This implies that  $K(\gamma)/K$  is unramified tame every  
place outside  $\ell$  &  $K$  tame  $\ell$ .

But  $K(\gamma) \subset K(\pi_e)$  and  $K(\pi_e)/K$  is totally  
ramified at every place of  $O_K$  above  $\ell$ .

thus  $K(\gamma) = K$ , i.e.  $\gamma \in K$  thus:

$$\beta \cdot \theta \cdot \ell = \gamma \theta_K.$$

In other words:

$$(\beta \cdot \theta - \ell) = 0.$$

the leads for every  $\gamma \in O(K)$ .  $\square$

we can add more.

(5)

let's give some basic facts about  $Z_n[\Delta]$ -modules.

$$Q_n[\Delta] = \bigoplus_{S \in \Delta} Q_n S \text{ or } Q_n \text{-vector space}$$

$$(\sum x_S S) (\sum y_{S'} S') = \sum_{S'' \in \Delta} (\sum_{S+S'=S''} x_S y_{S'}) S''$$

thus  $Q_n[\Delta]$  is a commutative  $Q_n$ -algebra and

$$Z_n[\Delta] = \bigoplus_{S \in \Delta} Z_n S \text{ is a subring of } Q_n[\Delta].$$

Note that  $0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} a \tau_a^{-1} \in Q_n[\Delta] \cap Z_n[\Delta]$ .

Recall that  $(n-1) \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} a^n = 1$  thus  $n \tau_a^{-1} \in Z_n^\times$ .  
(b)

$$\widehat{\Delta} = \text{Hom}(\Delta, Z_n^\times) \cong \Delta$$

For  $x \in \widehat{\Delta}$ , we set

$$c_x = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{S \in \Delta} x^{-1}(S) S \in Z_n[\Delta].$$

Induction:

a)  $\forall \pi, \pi' \in \widehat{\Delta}$ , express  $s_{\pi, \pi'} c_\pi$ .

b)  $\forall s \in \Delta$ ,  $s c_\pi = \pi(s) c_\pi$

c)  $1 = \sum_{x \in \widehat{\Delta}} c_x$  (here  $1 = \tau_1 \in \Delta$ ).

Proof:

$$b) \quad s c_\pi = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{S \in \Delta} \pi^{-1}(S) S S'$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{S' \in \Delta} \pi(S) \pi^{-1}(SS') S S'$$

$$= \pi(s) c_\pi$$

c)

If  $x \in \Delta$ ,  $n \neq 1$ . we have:

$\exists s_0 \in \Delta$ ,  $x(s_0) \neq 1$ . thus:

$$x(s_0) \sum_{s \in \Delta} x(s) = \sum_{s \in \Delta} x(s_{s_0}) = \sum_{s \in \Delta} x(s)$$

$$\text{Because } (x(s_0)-1) \sum_{s \in \Delta} x(s) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{s \in \Delta} x(s) = 0.$$

Now,

exp' exp

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{s \in \Delta} x'(s)^{-2} s c_n$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{s \in \Delta} x'(s)^{-2} x(s) c_n$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{s \in \Delta} (x x'^{-2})(s) \right) c_n$$

$$= \begin{cases} c_n & x = x' \\ 0 & x \neq x' \end{cases}$$

c) let  $s \in \Delta$ ,  $s \neq 1$   $\exists x \in \Delta$ ,  $x(s) \neq 1$ .

We have:

$$x(s) \sum_{s \in \Delta} x(s) = \sum_{s \in \Delta} x x(s) = \sum_{s \in \Delta} x(s)$$

$$\Rightarrow (x(s)-1) \left( \sum_{s \in \Delta} x(s) \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{s \in \Delta} x(s) = 0$$

Now

$$\sum_{x \in \Delta} c_n$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \Delta} \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{s \in \Delta} x(s) s^{-2}$$

$$= \sum_{s \in \Delta} \frac{1}{n-2} \left( \sum_{x \in \Delta} x(s) \right) s^{-2}$$

$$= 1 \quad \square$$

Let  $\pi$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_m[\Delta]$ -module.

We set:

$$\forall x \in \Delta, \pi(x) = \sum_{m \in M} \forall s \in \Delta, s \cdot m = \pi(s) \cdot m^x.$$

Lemma:

$$(a) \pi(n) = e_n \pi$$

$$(b) \pi = \bigoplus_{x \in \Delta} \pi(x) \quad (\text{direct sum of } \mathbb{Z}_m \text{-modules})$$

Proof.

$$\text{We have } 1 = \sum_{x \in \Delta} e_x \text{ thus}$$

$$\pi = \sum_{x \in \Delta} e_x \pi.$$

$$\text{Let } \beta \in e_x \pi, \beta = e_x m \quad m \in \Delta. \text{ We have}$$

$$s \cdot \beta = s e_x m = \pi(s) e_x m = \pi(s) \beta.$$

We therefore get:

$$e_x \pi \subset \pi(x).$$

$$\text{Let } m = \sum_{x \in \Delta} m_x e_x, m_x \in e_x \pi.$$

then:

Let's assume that  $m = 0$ . then:

$$0 = e_x m$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \Delta} \beta_x m_x$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \Delta} e_x e_x m_x \quad m_x = e_x m_x$$

$$= e_x e_x m_x$$

$$= e_x m_x$$

thus:

$$\pi = \bigoplus_{x \in \Delta} e_x \pi.$$

Let  $m \in n(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ .

$$s e_{\varphi} m$$

$$= \psi(s) e_{\varphi} m$$

$$= \pi(s) e_{\varphi} m$$

thus:

$$(\pi(s) - \psi(s)) e_{\varphi} m = 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{A}.$$

This implies  $\forall k \in \mathbb{A} e_{\varphi} m = 0 \Rightarrow k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore:

$$n(\mathbb{Z}_n) \subset e_{\varphi} \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{and further } e_{\varphi} \mathbb{N} = n(\mathbb{Z}_n) \cap \mathbb{N}$$

Example:

1)  $n = \mathbb{Z}_n[\alpha]$  viewed as a  $\mathbb{Z}_n[\alpha]$  module.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{A}, \quad e_{\varphi} n = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^n.$$

$$\text{thus } n = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{A}} \mathbb{Z}_n e_x$$

2)  $n = \mathbb{Z}_n$  viewed as a  $\mathbb{Z}_n[\alpha]$  module

with (right) action of  $\alpha$ :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{A}, \quad \alpha \cdot x \quad n(x) = e_{\varphi} n = 0$$

If  $n = 1$ ,

$$n(1) = e_{\varphi} n = \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

Remark: Let  $(n, +)$  be a finite abelian  $n$ -group.

then  $n$  is not under a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  module:

If  $n^N = 1$ , we get,

$$\forall m \in n, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i \cdot \alpha^i \cdot m = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i \cdot \alpha^i \cdot m$$

Furthermore there exist  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_N$  such that,

$$n = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_n}{\langle a_1 \rangle \mathbb{Z}_n} \times \dots \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_n}{\langle a_N \rangle \mathbb{Z}_n}$$

$$\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_n} n = n^{a_2} \mathbb{Z}_n$$

$$\text{Filt}_{\mathbb{Z}_n} n = n^{a_1 + a_2} \mathbb{Z}_n$$

## The Hensel-Robert theorem (2)

$n$  : an odd prime.

$$x = \hat{\Delta} \in \text{Hom}(\Delta, \mathbb{Z}_n^\times) \subset \mathbb{F}_n^\times$$

Since  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $w_n(a) = w_n(a) \equiv a(n)$ .

We have :

$$\sigma(w_n) = \tau_{n-1}.$$

thus,

$$x = \langle w_n \rangle = \{ w_n^k, \forall k \leq n-1 \}.$$

Since  $w_n(-1)^2 = 1$  and  $w_n(-1) \equiv -1(n)$ . We get.

$$w_n(-1) = -1.$$

We get :

$$x_{\text{odd}} = \{ x \in X \mid x(-1) = -1 \}$$

$$= \{ w_n^m, 1 \leq m \leq n-2, m \equiv 1(2) \}.$$

If  $x \in X$ ,

$$e_x \theta$$

$$= e_x \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=2}^{n-2} a^{-2} \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=2}^{n-2} x^{-2}(a) a \right) e_x$$

Thus  $\forall x \in X$ , we set:

$$B_{1,n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=2}^{n-2} x^{-2}(a) a \in \mathbb{Q}_n.$$

We have :

$$\forall x \in X, e_x \theta = B_{1,n} e_x.$$

Remark. If  $n=1$ ,

$$B_{1,n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=2}^{n-2} a = \frac{n-1}{2}$$

Lemma  $\forall \pi \in X$

$$B_{1,n} = \begin{cases} 0 & (\pi \in) \quad \pi \neq w_n^{-1} \\ -\frac{1}{n} & (\pi \in) \quad \pi = w_n^{-1} \end{cases}$$

Proof.

min

Want  $\pi = w_n^{-1}$   $\rightarrow \pi \in \{w_n^{-1}\}$

We have:

$$\sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \pi(a) a$$

$$= \sum_{a=2}^{n-1} a^{n-a} \quad (n)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & (\pi \in) \quad n-1 \neq 0 \quad i.e. \quad \pi \neq w_n^{-1} \\ -1 & (\pi \in) \quad n-1 = 0 \quad i.e. \quad \pi = w_n^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

The lemma follows.  $\square$

Lemma: Let  $\pi \in X$ ,  $\pi \neq 1$  and  $\pi(-1) = 1$ .

then:  $B_{1,n} = 0$

Proof.

min

$$B_{1,n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \pi(a) a$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \pi(n-a)(n-a)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \pi(-a)a \quad \pi \neq 1$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \pi(a)a \quad \pi(-1) = 1$$

$= -B_{1,n}$ .  $\square$

Remark:  $x \in X, x \neq 1$   
 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{re}(n) > 1,$

$$L(s, x) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{x(n)}{n^s}$$

$$= \prod_n \left( 1 - \frac{x(n)}{n^s} \right)^{-1}$$

One can show that  $L(s, x)$  can be analytically continued to a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore,

$$L(1, x) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{x(n)}{n} \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

One can prove that if  $n \in X_{\text{odd}}$ , we have:

$$\frac{L(1, x)}{2i\pi} Z(x^{-1}) = -\frac{1}{2} B_{1, n-2}$$

$$\text{where } Z(x^{-1}) = \sum_{a=2}^n \bar{x}(a) c^{2i\pi a/n} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$$

thus  $\forall x \in X_{\text{odd}}, B_{1, n} \neq 0$ .

Open Problem: Give an algebraic proof of the fact

$$\text{let } B_{1, n} \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in X_{\text{odd}}.$$

$$\text{Let } x \in X \text{ and let } Z(x) = \sum_{a=2}^n x(a) e_a. \quad (\text{recall let } e_a = e^{2i\pi a})$$

Reduction:  $\forall x \in X, x \neq 1, \text{ we have:}$

$$Z(x) \overline{Z(x^{-1})} = 1$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} (x-1) Z(x) \overline{Z(x^{-1})} &= \sum_{c=1}^n x(c) \bar{x}(c) Z(x) \overline{Z(x^{-1})} \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^n \left( \sum_{a=2}^n x(a) c^{2i\pi a/n} \right) \left( \sum_{a=2}^n \bar{x}(a) c^{-2i\pi a/n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{a, c} x(a) \bar{x}(c) \sum_c e^{2i\pi b(a-c)/n}$$

$$= \sum_{a=c} 1 = 1. \quad \square$$

Induction.

$x \in X_{\text{odd}}$ .

$$\sum_{a=2}^n \frac{\bar{x}(a)}{e_n^{a-2}} = B_{1,n} z(x^{-1}).$$

loop  
rule

$$Leftrightarrow x(x) = \overline{z(x^{-1})} \sum_{a=2}^n \frac{\bar{x}(a)}{e_n^{a-2}}.$$

We have,

$$x(x) = \sum_{a|b} x(b) e_n^{-b} \frac{\bar{x}(a)}{e_n^{a-2}}$$

$$= \sum_{a|b} x(b) \bar{x}(a) \frac{e_n^{-b}}{e_n^{a-2}}$$

$$= \sum_{a|c \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} x(c) \frac{e_n^{-ca}}{e_n^{a-2}}$$

$$= \sum_{a,c \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} x(a) \frac{e_n^{-ca}}{e_n^{a-2}}$$

$$= - \sum_{a,c \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} x(a) \frac{e_n^{-ca}}{e_n^{a-2}}$$

$$= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} x(a) \frac{e_n^{ac-1}}{e_n^{a-2}} x(z)$$

$$= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} x(a) (1 + (e_n^a)^{-1} + (e_n^a)^{-2})$$

$$= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} x(a) \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} (1 + (e_n^a)^{-1} + (e_n^a)^{-2})$$

$$= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} x(a) \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} (e_n^{-2} + \dots + (e_n^a)^{-1})$$

$$= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} x(a) - (c_1)$$

$$= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_n^\times} c x(a)$$

$$= h B_{1,n}.$$

$$= z(x) z(\overline{x^{-1}}) B_{1,n} \quad \square$$

Let  $E = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n$ .

Then  $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a Galois extension ad:

$$\Delta \cong \text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

$$E = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{h-2} \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E_n$$

$R = \mathbb{Z}_p$

$$x \in E, \quad x = \sum_{k=0}^{h-2} x_k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E_n$$

$$\text{sa}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{h-2} x_k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{sa}(E_n)$$

$$R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[E_n]] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{h-2} \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E_n$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{h-2} x_k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E_n$$

$R$  is a discrete valuation ring, its maximal ideal is:

$$\mathfrak{m} = (2_{h-1}) R.$$

In particular:

$$hR = \mathfrak{m}^{h-1}$$

$$R/\mathfrak{m} R \cong E_n$$

$R$  ad is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Delta]]$ -module. Let  $x \in X$ , then

$$c_x E_n = \frac{1}{h-2} z(x^{-1})$$

Since  $R$  is complete in the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology,  $\forall h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ ,

$$\forall z \in \mathfrak{m}, \quad h(z) \in R.$$

### Theorem (J. Tate)

there exists  $\lambda \in R$ ,  $\lambda^{h-2} = -1$  and there exists  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$  such that:

$$1) \quad g(\lambda) = E_n.$$

$$2) \quad g(x) = \text{coth}(x) \quad (x^{h-2})$$

$$3) \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{sa}(\lambda) = w_a(a) \lambda.$$

Proof:

$$3) \quad \text{sa}(\lambda^{h-2}) = \text{sa}(\lambda)^{h-2} = \text{sa}(-1) = -1.$$

$$\text{thus } \underline{\text{sa}(\lambda)} = \pm \lambda \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq 0.$$

But  $\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda$  (as)

$$\tau_a(\alpha(\lambda)) = \alpha(\tau_a(\lambda)) = \lambda^a = \alpha(\lambda)^a.$$

Since  $\alpha(x) = x (x \in I)$ , we get:

$$\alpha(\lambda)^a = \lambda^a (\lambda^a)$$

thus:

$$\tau_a(\lambda) = \lambda^a (\lambda^a)$$

Back

then  $m \in \text{M} \cap \text{N}$

We get:  $N = a (m) \quad \text{as } \lambda \in M_0$ .

thus  $N = a (m) \quad \text{as } m \cap Z_n = n Z_n$

thus  $N = \omega_n(a). \quad \square$

Induction.

$$\lambda \in X, \lambda = w h^m \quad 1 \leq m \leq n-2.$$

We have:

$$e(\lambda^{-1}) = -\frac{\lambda^n}{n!} (nR)$$

Proof.

$$c_\lambda \lambda^{-1} = \frac{1}{n-2} e(\lambda^{-1}) = -e(\lambda^{-2}) (nR)$$

$$\text{Now, } e_\lambda \lambda^{-1} = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_a \lambda^{-1}(a) \circ_a (\lambda^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_a \lambda^{-1}(a) \circ_a (g(\lambda))$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_a \lambda^{-1}(a) \circ_a \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \right) (nR)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_a \lambda^{-1}(a) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{w_h(a)^k \lambda^k}{k!} (nR)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left( \sum_{h \in \mathcal{A}} w_h \lambda^{k-h} (a) \right) (nR)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} (nR) . \square$$

Theorem.  $\lambda = w h^m, 1 \leq m \leq n-2, m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

then:

$$B_{1, \lambda} = \frac{B_{n-2}}{n-2} (n)$$

Proof.

We have:

$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{-2}} = \frac{\lambda}{g(\lambda)} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} B_k \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} (nR)$$

then:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{-2}} = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-3} \frac{B_k}{k} \frac{\lambda^{k-2}}{(k-1)!} (\lambda^{m_0 \lambda^{-2}})$$

Here we can  $\lambda R = m_0$  since  $\frac{1}{\lambda^{-2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{m_0}$ .

thus:

$$e_\lambda \frac{1}{\lambda^{-2}} = e_\lambda \frac{1}{\lambda} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-3} \frac{B_k}{k} c_\lambda \frac{\lambda^{k-2}}{(k-1)!} (m_0 \lambda^{-2})$$

T.S.V.P.

i.e.

$$c_n \lambda^n = \left( \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_a \frac{\pi(a) w_h^{-a}(\alpha)}{a} \right) \lambda^n$$
$$= \begin{cases} \lambda^n & \text{dim } \pi = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

thus:

$$c_n \frac{1}{\lambda^{n-2}} = \frac{B_{mn}}{m!} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} \quad (m^{n-2})$$

But  $c_n \frac{1}{\lambda^{n-2}} = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{a=2}^{n-2} \frac{\pi(a)}{\lambda^{a-2}}$

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} B_{1,n} \lambda^{n-1}$$

$$= B_{1,n} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \quad (m^{n-2})$$

thus:

$$B_{1,n} = \frac{B_{mn}}{m!} \quad (m) \quad n < m < n-2$$

Therefore

$$B_{1,n} = \frac{B_{mn}}{m!} \quad (1)$$

Let  $H_n$  be the  $n$ -solar subgroup of  $\text{Cl}(k)$  where  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ .

Then  $H$  is a finite abelian group thus a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -module.

Note that  $\Delta$  acts on  $H$  and therefore  $H$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_n[\Delta]$ -module.

Therefore we have a direct sum of (finite)  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -modules,

$$H_1 = \bigoplus_{x \in \Delta} H_n(x),$$

where  $H_n(x) = \{ h \in H_n \mid xhx^{-1} \in \langle x \rangle \}$ ,  $\langle x \rangle = \{ x^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ .

Theorem (Herbrand-Rubat 1976-)  $n$  is an odd prime

Let  $\pi = \text{det}^m$ ,  $3 \leq m \leq n-2$ ,  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

We have

$$H(x) \neq \{0\} \iff B_{n-m} \neq 0(n)$$

We will only prove  $\Rightarrow$  called Herbrand's theorem:

By Stickelberger's theorem,  $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$ ,  $\beta \theta \in \mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$ , we have

$$\beta \theta \in H(x) = \{0\}.$$

Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , then:

$$(c - \tau_c) \sum_{a=2}^{n-2} a \tau_a^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_a a c \tau_a^{-1} - \tau_c \sum_a a \cancel{\tau_a^{-1}} \tau_a^{-1} (n)$$

$$= \sum_a a c \tau_a^{-1} \tau_c - \tau_c \sum_a a \tau_a^{-1} (n)$$

$$= 0 (n).$$

thus  $(c - \tau_c) \theta \in \mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$ .

Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $c^{n-2} \neq 1 \pmod{n}$ .

$$(c-\pi c) \theta \equiv H_n(n)$$

$$= (c-\pi c) \theta \equiv \exp(H_n)$$

$$= (c-\pi(c)) B_{1,n} \exp(H_n)$$

But  $c-\pi(c) \equiv c-c^n \pmod{n}$ . Thus  $c-\pi(c) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ .

Therefore  $B_{1,n}^{-1} \exp(H_n)(n) = 0$  since  $H_n(n) \neq 0$ ,  
it follows:

$$B_{1,n}^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

$$\text{But } B_{1,n-1} = B_{1,n} \cdot \omega_n^{n-n-2}$$

$$\equiv \frac{B_{n-n}}{n-n} \pmod{n}$$

Therefore  $B_{n-n} \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \quad \square$

Theorem (B. Noyes - A. Wiles 1980 -)

Let  $x = w_n^{-m}$ ,  $3 \leq m \leq h-2$ ,  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

$$\text{Then } H_n(x) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_n}{B_{1,2} \mathbb{Z}_n}$$

Conjecture (Imana-Ledoit)

For any odd prime number  $n$ , for any odd number  $m$ ,  $3 \leq m \leq h-2$ , we have:

$$H_n(w_n^{-m}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_n}{B_{1,2} \mathbb{Z}_n}$$

Conjecture (Kummer-Vandiver)

The class group number of  $\mathbb{Q}(x+1)$  is prime to  $n$ .

We have:

$$H_n = \bigoplus_{x \in S} H_n(x)$$

Theorem (Spiegelberg-Ledoit)

Let  $1 \leq m \leq h-2$ ,  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Then:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_n} \frac{H_n(w_n x^{-1})}{n H_n(w_n x^m)} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_n} \frac{H_n(x)}{n H_n(x)} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_n} \frac{H_n(w_n x^{-1})}{n H_n(w_n x^m)}$$

where  $x = w_n^{-m}$ .

Now the Kummer-Vandiver Conjecture for the prime  $n$  is equivalent to:

$$\forall x \in X, x(-1) = 1, H_n(x) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, in this case,  $\forall x \in X_{\text{ad}}$ ,  $H_n(x)$  is a cyclic group.

By the analytic class number formula, we get:

$$H_n(x) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_n}{B_{1,2} \mathbb{Z}_n}.$$

