# Mumford-Tate groups I

# Pham Ngo Thanh Dat

Sorbonne Université

August 26, 2021



# 2 Describe Hodge structures using the Deligne torus



Let V be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space, and  $V_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$ be its complexification. A *Hodge decomposition* of V is a decomposition of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear subspaces

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = igoplus_{(p,q)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} V^{p,q}$$

such that the complex-conjugate action  $c \otimes v \mapsto \overline{c} \otimes v$  on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ swaps the summands  $V^{p,q}$  and  $V^{q,p}$  for each  $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

An  $\mathbb{R}$ -Hodge structure is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space together with a Hodge decomposition.

## Definition

The Hodge structure on V is said to be *pure of weight n*, if we have  $V^{p,q} = 0$  for all pairs (p,q) with  $p + q \neq n$ .

More generally, for a subset  $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$  we say that a Hodge structure is of type T if all the summands  $V^{p,q}$  with  $(p,q) \notin T$  are zero.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the  $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace  $\bigoplus_{p+q=n} V^{p,q}$  of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  is stable under the complex conjugation action, hence descends to an  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace  $V_n$  of V, and we have  $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ . Each  $V_n$  is then naturally endowed with a real Hodge structure which is pure of weight n, so it is harmless to restrict our attention to pure Hodge structures. The decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$  is called the *weight decomposition* of V. A  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure (resp. a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge structure) is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space (resp. a finite free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module) V together with an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Hodge structure on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

#### Remark

Some authors require that the weight decomposition of  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure V is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . As we will mostly work with pure Hodge structures, this remark will not concern us.

Given a Hodge structure on V, the Hodge filtration on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  is given by the decreasing chain of  $\mathbb{C}$ -subspaces

$$F^{p}V := igoplus_{\substack{p' \ge p \ q' \in \mathbb{Z}}} V^{p',q'}$$

indexed by  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $F^{p}V \cap \overline{F^{q}V} = \bigoplus_{p' \ge p, q' \ge q} V^{p',q'}$ . In particular, if V is pure of weight *n*, then  $V^{p,q} = F^{p}V \cap \overline{F^{q}V}$  whenever p + q = n. Thus, in the pure case, the Hodge structure can be recovered from the Hodge filtration.

By definition, a morphism of Hodge structures is a linear map  $V \to W$  such that the induced  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $V_{\mathbb{C}} \to W_{\mathbb{C}}$  maps in  $V^{p,q}$  into  $W^{p,q}$  for all  $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . In particular, all morphisms between pure Hodge structures of different weights are zero. With this notion of morphism, we obtain the category of Hodge structures (over  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) which we denote by  $\mathrm{HS}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , etc.

As in representation theory, there are natural notions of morphism, tensor product, and dual among Hodge structures (over  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). For example, if U and U' are  $\mathbb{R}$ -Hodge structures and  $V = U_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $V' = U'_{\mathbb{C}}$ , then by definition the Hodge structures on  $U \otimes U'$  and  $U^{\vee}$  satisfy  $(V^{\vee})^{p,q} = (V^{-p,-q})^{\vee}$  (viewed as a subspace of  $V^{\vee}$  via the natural projection  $V \twoheadrightarrow V^{-p,-q}$ ) and

$$(V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V')^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\substack{a+a'=p\\b+b'=q}} V^{a,b} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V'^{a',b'}$$

In particular, if U and U' are pure of weight n and m, respectively, then  $U^{\vee}$  is pure of weight -n, while  $U \otimes U'$  is pure of weight n + m. The corresponding Hodge filtrations are  $F^{p}V^{\vee} = (F^{1-p}V)^{\perp}$  and  $F^{p}(V \otimes V') = \sum_{a+a'=p} F^{a}V \otimes F^{a'}V'$ .

In view of the natural identification  $\operatorname{Hom}(U, U') = U^{\vee} \otimes U'$ , we see that  $\operatorname{Hom}(U, U')$  is naturally equipped with a Hodge structure, which is pure of weight m - n if U and U' are pure of weights n and m, respectively. For instance, we have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Hom}(V,V')^{0,0} &= \bigoplus_{\substack{a+a'=0\\b+b'=0}} (V^{\vee})^{a,b} \otimes V'^{a',b'} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\substack{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}} (V^{a,b})^{\vee} \otimes V'^{a,b} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\substack{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}} \operatorname{Hom}(V^{a,b},V'^{a,b}) \\ &= \{T \in \operatorname{Hom}(V,V') \mid T(V^{a,b}) \subseteq V'^{a,b} \text{ for all } (a,b) \}. \end{split}$$

Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}(1)$  the rank 1 free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $2\pi i\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  with Hodge structure of type (-1, -1). For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $\mathbb{Z}(n) := \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\otimes n}$  if  $n \geq 0$  and  $\mathbb{Z}(n) := (\mathbb{Z}(-n))^{\vee}$  if  $n \leq 0$ . Explicitly,  $\mathbb{Z}(n)$  has underlying module  $(2\pi i)^n\mathbb{Z}$  and type (-n, -n) (so it is purely of weight -2n). Similarly, we have the notions of  $\mathbb{Q}(n)$  and  $\mathbb{R}(n)$ . If V is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge structure, define the *n*th Tate twist of V by  $V(n) := V \otimes \mathbb{Z}(n)$ , and similarly in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -case and  $\mathbb{R}$ -case.

#### Example 1

Let V be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space. Then to give an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Hodge structure of type  $\{(-1,0), (0,-1)\}$  on V is the same as giving a complex structure on V. Indeed, given a Hodge structure  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V^{-1,0} \oplus V^{0,-1}$ , the natural  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $V 
ightarrow V_{\Bbb C}/V^{-1,0} = V^{0,-1}$  is an isomorphism so that V inherits a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear structure from  $V^{0,-1}$ . Conversely, assume that we have a complex structure on V. Denote by J the multiplication by  $i \in \mathbb{C}$ , viewed as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $V \to V$ . On  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ , we have  $J^2 + \text{Id} = (J + i)(J - i) = 0$ , hence a decomposition  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V^{-1,0} \oplus V^{0,-1}$  into *i*- and (-i)-eigenspaces. Since J commutes with the complex conjugation on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ , it is easy to see that  $\overline{V^{-1,0}} = V^{0,-1}$  so that V has a Hodge structure of the required type.

# Example 1 (Cont.)

By definition, to give a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure of type  $\{(-1,0), (0,-1)\}$  then amounts to giving a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space V and a complex structure on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ , and to give a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge structure of type  $\{(-1,0), (0,-1)\}$  is to give a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space V and a lattice  $\Lambda \subseteq V$  (i.e., a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule generated by an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis for V).

#### Example 2

Let  $X/\mathbb{C}$  be a smooth projective variety. Then the Hodge decomposition

$$H^n(X(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}=igoplus_{p+q=n}H^{p,q}, ext{ where } H^{p,q}:=H^q(X,\Omega^p_X)$$

equips  $H^n(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  with a pure integral Hodge structure of weight *n*. Moreover, under the canonical comparison isomorphism  $H^n(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \cong H^n_{dR}(X/\mathbb{C})$  the corresponding Hodge filtration matches the filtration on  $H^n_{dR}(X/\mathbb{C})$  induced from the degeneration at the  $E_1$  page of the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} = H^j(X, \Omega_X^i) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet}) =: H^{i+j}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/\mathbb{C}).$$

The Deligne torus  ${\mathbb S}$  is an algebraic group over  ${\mathbb R},$  defined by

 $\mathbb{S} := \operatorname{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}.$ 

By definition, this means that for any  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra A we have

$$\mathbb{S}(A) = (A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{ imes} = \{(a,b) \in A imes A \mid a^2 + b^2 \in A^{ imes}\}$$

with multiplication given by (a, b)(a', b') = (aa' - bb', ab' + a'b). In particular,  $S(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  via  $(a, b) \mapsto a + ib$ .

Via the identification  $(a, b) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$ , we may also regard  $\mathbb{S}$  as a closed commutative  $\mathbb{R}$ -subgroup of  $\operatorname{GL}_{2,\mathbb{R}}$ . For later use, we also introduce the *weight cocharacter*  $w : \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{S}$  given on points by  $A^{\times} \to (A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{\times}$ .

If A is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra, then  $\mathbb{S}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{\times} \times A^{\times}$  via

 $(a, b) \mapsto (a + ib, a - ib).$ 

The Yoneda lemma therefore implies that

$$(z,\overline{z}): \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}.$$

Thus, S is a (non-split) torus of rank 2 over  $\mathbb{R}$ , whose character group  $X^*(S) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}})$  is freely generated by two characters z and  $\overline{z}$  which are interchanged by the complex conjugation  $c \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ . In view of the usual equivalence between tori over a field k and finite free abelian groups equipped with a linear action of  $G_k = \operatorname{Gal}(k^s/k)$ , we see that this latter property in fact characterizes S uniquely as a torus over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let V be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space. By definition, an algebraic representation of  $\mathbb{S}$  on V is a morphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic groups  $\mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ . Equivalently, this is the data of an A-linear representation  $\mathbb{S}(A) \to \operatorname{GL}_A(V_A)$ , functorial in  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras A.

#### Theorem

To equip V with an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Hodge structure is equivalent to specifying an algebraic representation  $h : \mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ . Under this equivalence, the Hodge structure on V is pure of weight n precisely if the restriction  $h \circ w : \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{R}} \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  is given on points by  $x \mapsto x^{-n} \cdot \operatorname{id}$ .

Before proving the theorem, let us recall the representation theory of algebraic tori over a general base field. Specializing to the case of the Deligne torus  $\mathbb{S}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  then gives the desired result.

Fix a base field k and a choice of  $k^s$ . Recall a k-torus T is called *split* (over k) if  $T \cong (\mathbb{G}_{m,k})^r$  for some  $r \ge 0$ . Representations of split tori are simple to understand: they are just direct sums of characters. More precisely, given such a representation  $\rho: T \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ , we have

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X^*(T)} V^{\chi},$$

where  $V^{\chi}$  is the subspace on which T acts through the character  $\chi$ :

$$\rho(g).v = \chi(g).v$$

More concretely, if T has rank r (i.e.  $T \cong (\mathbb{G}_{m,k})^r$ ), then any representation  $(\rho, V)$  of T can be decomposed canonically as

$$V = \bigoplus_{(n_1,\ldots,n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r} V^{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$$

where  $(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r$  acts on  $V^{n_1, \ldots, n_r}$  via multiplication by  $x_1^{n_1} \ldots x_r^{n_r}$ .

# Representations of algebraic tori

Now let T be a general k-torus. Then  $T_{k^s} = (\mathbb{G}_{m,k^s})^r$  for some  $r \ge 0$ .

Given a representation  $\rho : T \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ , the base change  $\rho_{k^s} : T_{k^s} \to \operatorname{GL}(V_{k^s})$  is a representation of  $T_{k^s}$ . By our preceding paragraph, to give such a representation is to specify a  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ -grading on  $V_{k^s}$ :

$$V_{k^s} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X^*(T) = \mathbb{Z}^r} V^{\chi}.$$

However, not all such gradings on  $V_{k^s}$  come from a representation defined over k. From the theory of Galois descent (for morphisms), we know that the right condition to put on the map  $\rho_{k^s}$  is that it is equivariant for the natural actions of  $G_k = \operatorname{Gal}(k^s/k)$  on  $T_{k^s}$  and  $\operatorname{GL}(V_{k^s})$ . We now check that this latter condition is equivalent to the condition that

$$\sigma(V^{\chi}) = V^{\sigma(\chi)}$$
 for all  $\sigma \in G_k$  and  $\chi \in X^*(T)$ .

First, assume that  $\rho_{k^s}$  is Galois equivariant. Then for each  $v \in V^{\chi}$ ,  $g(\sigma(v)) = \sigma(gv) = \sigma(\chi(g)v) = (\sigma\chi)(g)\sigma(v)$ , and hence  $\sigma(v) \in V^{\sigma(\chi)}$  by definition. Thus,  $\sigma(V^{\chi}) \subseteq V^{\sigma(\chi)}$ . Replace  $\sigma$  by  $\sigma^{-1}$  and  $\chi$  by  $\sigma(\chi)$ , we deduce that  $\sigma(V^{\chi}) = V^{\sigma(\chi)}$ . Conversely, if this last equality holds for all  $\sigma$  and  $\chi$ , then since the  $V^{\chi'}$ 's generate V we deduce by the same argument that  $\rho_{k^s}$  is indeed Galois equivariant.

In summary, we have the following equivalence of categories

$$\operatorname{Rep}_{k}(\mathcal{T}) \to \begin{cases} \text{finite dimensional } k \text{-vector spaces } V \\ \text{with } X^{*}(\mathcal{T}) \text{-grading } V_{k^{s}} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X^{*}(\mathcal{T})} V^{\chi} \\ \text{s.t. } \sigma(V^{\chi}) = V^{\sigma(\chi)} \text{ for all } \sigma \text{ and } \chi \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where  $\operatorname{Rep}_k(T)$  is the category of algebraic representations T on finite dimensional k-vector spaces.

We now apply the preceding discussion for the Deligne torus. Recall that  $X^*(\mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{Z}z \oplus \mathbb{Z}\overline{z}$  for a pair of complex-conjugate characters  $\{z, \overline{z}\}$ . Thus, given an algebraic representation  $h : \mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ , we get a corresponding Hodge structure on V:

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = igoplus_{(p,q)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} V^{p,q},$$

where  $V^{p,q}$  is the eigenspace for the character  $z^{-p}\overline{z}^{-q}$ . This Hodge structure is pure of weight *n* precisely if the restriction  $h \circ w : \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$  is given on points by  $x \mapsto x^{-n} \cdot \mathrm{id}$ .

## Remark

We can see the above equivalence between real Hodge structures and representations of the Deligne torus more directly (i.e. without invoking the general theory of algebraic tori). Namely, given a Hodge structure

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}} = igoplus_{(p,q)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \mathcal{V}^{p,q}$$

we define a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear action of  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$  on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  by letting  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  acts on  $V^{p,q}$  as multiplication by  $z^{-p}\overline{z}^{-q}$ . Then using our hypothesis that  $\overline{V^{q,p}} = V^{p,q}$ , it is easy to see that this action commutes with the complex conjugation on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ , hence descends to an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear action  $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ . Since this action is given by polynomials, we can see that it arises (as the induced map on  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued points) from a unique algebraic representation  $\mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ .

The natural operations on Hodge structures that we introduced earlier agree, under the above equivalence, with the corresponding operations in representation theory. For instance, if V and V' are  $\mathbb{R}$ -Hodge structures given by homomorphisms  $h : \mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  and  $h' : \mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(V')$ , the Hodge structure on  $V \otimes V'$  is given by the representation  $(h \otimes h', V \otimes V')$ .

Now let V be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. By definition, to give a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure on V is to give an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Hodge structure on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ , which is then the same as giving a representation  $h: \mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Note that despite both the source and target are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , in general such homomorphism is only defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . In a sense, the Mumford-Tate group of V is defined so as to measure how far this homomorphism is from being defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

#### Remark

As mentioned earlier, some authors require the weight decomposition of  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  for a Q-Hodge structure V to be actually defined over Q. With this modified definition, to give a Q-Hodge structure on V is to give a representation  $h : \mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$  such that the restriction  $h \circ w : \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{R}} \to \operatorname{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$  is defined over Q.

Let *H* be a pure  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure of weight *n*. By definition, a *polarization* on *H* is a morphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structures

 $\psi: H \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H \to \mathbb{Q}(-n)$ 

such that the  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form  $H_{\mathbb{R}} \times H_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $(x, y) \mapsto (2\pi i)^n \psi_{\mathbb{R}}(x, h(i)y)$  is symmetric and positive definite. In particular, the form  $\psi$  is non-degenerate on H.

A Hodge structure is said to be *polarizable* if it admits a polarization. The key property of polarizable Hodge structures is the following.

#### Proposition

Let  $(H, \psi)$  be a polarizable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure and  $W \subseteq H$  be a sub-Hodge structure. Then  $\psi$  restricts to a polarization on W. Moreover, the orthogonal complement  $W^{\perp}$  of W in H with respect to  $\psi$  is again a sub-Hodge structure, and  $H \cong W \oplus W^{\perp}$  as  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structures. Hence, the category of polarizable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structures is semi-simple.

#### Proof

That  $\psi$  restricts to a polarization on W is clear. Let's look at  $\psi_{\mathbb{C}}: H_{\mathbb{C}} \times H_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, x \in H^{p,q}$  and  $y \in H^{p',q'}$ ,

$$(z\overline{z})^{-n}\psi_{\mathbb{C}}(x,y) = \psi_{\mathbb{C}}(h(z)x,h(z)y)$$
  
=  $\psi_{\mathbb{C}}(z^{-p}\overline{z}^{-q}x,z^{-p'}\overline{z}^{-q'}y)$   
=  $z^{-p-p'}\overline{z}^{-q-q'}\psi_{\mathbb{C}}(x,y).$ 

Thus,  $\psi_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y) = 0$  if  $(p', q') \neq (q, p)$ . So, if we set  $\psi_{C}(x, y) := i^{n}\psi_{\mathbb{C}}(x, h(i)\overline{y})$ , then  $\psi_{C}(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x \in H^{p,q}$  and  $y \in H^{p',q'}$  with  $(p,q) \neq (p',q')$ . Using this, we see easily that  $(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{(p,q)}((W_{\mathbb{C}})^{\perp} \cap H^{p,q})$  where  $(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{\perp}$  is the orthogonal complement of  $W_{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  with respect to  $\psi_{C}$ . To check that  $W^{\perp}$ is sub-Hodge structure of H, it is therefore enough to show that  $(W^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}} = (W_{\mathbb{C}})^{\perp}$ , which is true since

 $(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{\perp} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(H_{\mathbb{C}}/W_{\mathbb{C}},\mathbb{C}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(H/W,\mathbb{Q})_{\mathbb{C}} = (W^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}}.$ 

# Proof (Cont.)

It remains to check the equality  $H = W \oplus W^{\perp}$  (this equality is then automatically an identification of Hodge structures). For this, we need to show that the restriction  $\psi|_W$  is non-degenerate, or equivalently,  $\psi_C|_{W_{\mathbb{C}}}$  is non-degenerate, which is true since  $\psi_C$  is even definite on  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  (this follows from our hypothesis that the form  $(x, y) \mapsto (2\pi i)^n \psi_{\mathbb{R}}(x, h(i)y)$  is symmetric and definite on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ ).  $\Box$  We will now define our objects of main interest.

# Definition

Let V be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure, with corresponding representation  $h : \mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ . The Mumford-Tate group of V, denoted  $\operatorname{MF}(V)$ , is defined to be the smallest (closed)  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic subgroup M of  $\operatorname{GL}(V)$  such that h factors through the subgroup  $M_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \operatorname{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ .

The key property of the Mumford-Tate group is that it cuts out precisely the sub-Hodge structure inside any tensor construction obtained from H. To explain rigorously what we mean by this, we need some notation. For a finite collection of pairs of nonnegative integers  $v = \{(a_i, b_i)\}$ , we define

$$T^{\mathbf{v}} := \bigoplus_{i} H^{\otimes \mathbf{a}_{i}} \otimes (H^{\vee})^{\otimes \mathbf{b}_{i}}.$$

Then  $T^{\nu}$  inherits from H a Q-Hodge structure. We often refer to spaces of the form  $T^{\nu}$  as tensor spaces obtained from H.

#### Proposition

Let  $W \subseteq T^{\nu}$  be a Q-subspace. Then W is a sub-Hodge structure if and only if it is stable the action of MT(V) on  $T^{v}$ .

#### Proof

If W is stable under the action of MT(V), it is a representation of

MT(V) and therefore a sub-Hodge structure. Conversely, suppose that  $W \subseteq T^{\vee}$  is a Q-sub-Hodge structure. Let  $G_W \subseteq GL(V)$  be the subgroup of those elements that preserve W. Then  $G_W$  is a closed  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic subgroup of GL(V), and its set of real points contains the image of  $\rho$  because V is a sub-Hodge structure. Thus,  $MT(V) \subseteq G_W$  by definition, and hence W is preserved by MT(V) as wanted.

#### Definition

Let H be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure. An element  $\xi \in H$  is called a Hodge class if  $\xi$  is purely of type (0,0) in the Hodge decomposition  $H_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{(p,q)} H^{p,q}$ .

#### Remark

The space of Hodge classes in H has an alternative description, namely it can be naturally identified with  $\operatorname{Hom}_{HS_{\mathbb{O}}}(\mathbb{Q}(0), H)$ .

#### Proposition

An element  $t \in T^{\nu}$  is a Hodge class in  $T^{\nu}$  if and only if t is invariant under the action of MT(V) on  $T^{\nu}$ .

## Proof

Let  $L \subseteq T^{\nu} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(0)$  be the line generated by (t, 1). Then t is Hodge class in  $T^{\nu}$  if and only if L is sub-Hodge structure of  $T^{\nu} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(0)$ . By the preceding result, the latter holds precisely if Lis stable under the action of MT(V) on  $T^{\nu}$ , which in turn holds if and only if t is fixed by MT(V) (keep in mind that MT(V) acts trivially on  $\mathbb{Q}(0)$ ).  $\Box$ 

#### Example

Given Q-Hodge structures H and H', we have seen earlier that the Hodge classes in  $\operatorname{Hom}(H, H') = H^{\vee} \otimes H'$  are precisely those which are morphisms of Hodge structures. In particular, it follows from the previous proposition that

 $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{HS}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(H) = (\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(H))^{\operatorname{MT}(V)}.$ 

# Proposition

Let *H* be a pure  $\mathbb{Q}$ -structure of weight *n*.

- (i) Assume in addition that H is polarizable. Then MT(H) is a connected reductive subgroup of GL(H).
- (ii) If n = 0, then MT(H) is contained in SL(H). If  $n \neq 0$ , MT(H) contains  $\mathbb{G}_m$ .id<sub>H</sub>  $\subseteq$  GL(H).

# Proof

(i) That MT(H) is connected is true even if H is not polarizable. To see this, let  $MT^{0}(H)$  be the connected component of the identity in MT(H). Then it is a standard fact that  $MT^{0}(H)$  is a closed subgroup of MT(H). Moreover, the map  $\mathbb{S} \to MT(H)$  factors through  $MT^{0}(H) \subseteq MT(H)$  as  $\mathbb{S}$  is connected. Hence,  $MT^{0}(H) = MT(H)$  by definition of the latter. It remains to show that MT(H) is reductive provided H is polarizable.

# Proof (Cont.)

For this, we will make use of the fact that, over a field of characteristic 0, a connected linear algebraic group is reductive if and only if has a faithful semisimple representation. Consider the tautological representation  $MT(H) \hookrightarrow GL(H)$ . Its sub-representations are exactly the sub-Hodge structures of H. The desired conclusion then follows from the fact that the category of polarizable Q-Hodge structures is semisimple.

(ii) This follows from the definition of MT(H).  $\Box$ 

#### Corollary

$$MT(\mathbb{Q}(n)) = \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}}$$
 if  $n \neq 0$ , and  $MT(\mathbb{Q}(0)) = 1$ .

# Thank you for your attention!