Is a finite group scheme annihilated by its rank?

Frans Oort

Utrecht University

Workshop on Hopf Algebras, Hanoi, October-November 2023

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Introduction.

In the previous talk we considered finite group group schemes (1) defined over over an *algebraically closed ground field*, and (2) we considered *commutative* group schemes and (3) moreover we considered group schemes *annihilated by p*. In this talk we drop all three conditions, and we wonder

Is a finite group scheme annihilated by its rank?

In the previous talk I discussed a proved theorem, and I hope you enjoyed the beauty of that proof.

In this talk I discuss an *open problem* on which I am working since 1966.

Below I will discuss some cases where the answer is known to be positive. Furthermore I discuss some (im)possible approaches. Up to now these all failed. I give no guarantee that material developed and discussed is useful. **Some notation.** Although the title says "group schemes" all of them will be *finite* and equivalently I will discuss Hopf algebras.

We write p for a fixed prime number. We write k for an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. Saying G/S is a *finite* group scheme we assume $G \rightarrow S$ is locally free and of finite presentation.

Most of the time we take a local artin ring R; over R for a finitely generated module the concepts

"flat"
$$\leftrightarrow$$
 "projective" \leftrightarrow "free"

are equivalent. In this case a finite group scheme G over R corresponds with a R Hopf algebra E, where $E \cong R^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$; here m is called the *rank* of G/S: we distinguish the notion of *order* of a finite group, versus *rank* of a finite group scheme. In case we use the term "rank" we assume the group scheme is of constant rank over the base scheme.

For a finite group scheme G/S and an integer d we write $[d]: G \to G$ for the *morphism* "exponentiation" by d. For a group this is the map $g \to g^d$. For a group scheme G/S and a scheme theoretic point $x \in G(T)$ this is $x \to x^d$. On the level of a Hopf algebra this ring homomorphism is

$$[d]:\left(E\stackrel{s_d}{\longrightarrow} E^{\otimes d}\stackrel{\mathrm{mult}}{\longrightarrow} E\right).$$

For a finite group scheme of constant rank m over the base we study the question:

$$[m]: \left(E \xrightarrow{s_m} E^{\otimes m} \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} E\right) \stackrel{?}{=} \left(E \xrightarrow{\varphi} E/I_E = R \to E\right).$$

In group theory, and in the theory of group scheme in general [d] is not a homomorphism.

The set G[d] of elements x with $x^d = e$ is in general not a subgroup. For a group scheme G/S the subscheme G[d] in general is not a subgroup scheme and in general it is not flat over S.

A little warning

We consider finite group schemes $G \rightarrow S$ flat over S. However there are many examples of non-flat finite maps, and of *non-flat* finite group schemes $H \rightarrow S$.

Artificial example. Take $H \to \mathbb{A}^1$ with finite, non-trivial H_0 above $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ and $H_s = \{1\}$, the trivial group scheme above all $0 \neq s \in \mathbb{A}^1$. Clearly $H \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is a finite morphism and we have a non-flat group scheme.

Natural example. Let $G \to \mathbb{A}_k^1 = S$ be a flat group scheme of rank p in characteristic p with $G_0 \cong \alpha_p$, and etale $(G \setminus G_0) \to (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$. Define $H = \text{Ker}(F : G \to G)$. We see $H \to S$ is a finite morphism and we have a non-flat group scheme.

Natural example. Let $G \to \mathbb{A}_k^1 = S$ be a flat group scheme of rank p^2 with $G_0 \cong (\alpha_p)^2$ and geometric generic fiber $G_{\overline{\eta}} \cong \mu_{p^2}$. We see $H := \operatorname{Ker}([p] : G \to G)$ is a finite morphism and we have a non-flat group scheme.

In this talk we consider:

- 1 Known cases
- 2 Reduction of the problem
- 3 Some examples and questions

We will have short *break* after the discussion of examples and questions.

- 4 Moduli, lifting problems, deformation theory
- **5** Group schemes of rank p^2
- 6 Some answers

As you will see, in this talk almost all results are negative. The problem we discuss seems not solved. We discuss some possible, but failed attempts.

1 Known cases.

Etale group schemes.

For a group scheme G/S of rank m, where m is invertible in all local rings of S, we know $G \rightarrow S$ is *etale*, hence locally constant, and by group theory (the original Lagrange theorem) we conclude G/S is annihilated by its rank.

In case we work over a field of positive characteristic p, or, more generally over a local ring R with residue characteristic p,

we are reduced to the case that $rank(G/S) = m = p^{n}$.

Commutative finite group schemes.

Theorem (Deligne, 1970). *Any* finite commutative *group scheme is annihilated by its rank.*

Comment. In general we can try to *transplant a proof in the theory of groups to the theory of group schemes.*

Suppose *H* is a finite *commutative* abstract group; in this case we can prove Lagrange's theorem as follows: for any $y \in H$ with #(H) = m we have

$$(\prod_{z\in H} z) = \prod_{x\in H} (yx) \stackrel{*}{=} y^m \times (\prod_{x\in H} x);$$
 hence $y^m = e;$

The equality $\stackrel{*}{=}$ uses the fact that *H* is commutative. Deligne had the insight how to formulate this proof "without using elements". For details see the TO paper, Theorem on page 4.

1 Known cases: over a field

Theorem. Suppose E is a Hopf-algebra finite over a field R = K. In this case E is annihilated by its rank.

There are many proofs for this. We will follow:

Proposition (Edixhoven). Let A be a finite flat R-Hopf-algebra, with augmentation ideal $I \subset A$. Let p be a prime number. In this case

$$[p](I)=pI+I^p.$$

Sketch of a proof of the proposition. We may replace R by R/pR. The regular representation of the Hopf algebra E gives a $p^2 \times p^2$ matrix $S \in \operatorname{GL}_{p^2} = \operatorname{Spec}(B)$, $\varphi : B \to E$. The entries of $S - \mathbb{1}_{p^2}$ generate the augmentation ideal $I' = I_B \subset B$. As $0 = p \cdot 1 \in R$ we have $S^p - \mathbb{1}_{p^2} = (S - \mathbb{1}_{p^2})^p$. Hence $[p](I') \subset (I')^p$. Applying $\varphi : B \to E$ we obtain $[p](I) \subset I^p$ as required. **Theorem.** Suppose E is a Hopf-algebra finite over a field R = K. In this case E is annihilated by its rank.

Sketch of a proof of the theorem.

By the exact sequence $G/G^0 = G^{et}$ we only need to show the case of local group schemes. It suffices to show the case K - k is algebraically closed. We see the local Hopf algebra is of rank p^n for some *n* and *p* the characteristic of *k*. By the proposition we have $[p](I) = I^p$ hence $[p^n](I) = I^{p^n} = 0$, which proves the theorem.

2 Reduction of the problem

In order to show that any finite group scheme G/S is annihilated by its rank, or to give a counter example, it suffices to consider the following

Question. Let p be a prime number, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $m = p^n$. Let R be a local artin ring with residue field $k = R/\mathfrak{m}_R$ algebraically closed of characteristic p. Is every finite local group scheme G/R of rank m annihilated by its rank?

We sketch the reduction steps. (1) Start with a finite $G \rightarrow S$; covering S by affines it suffices to consider $S = Spec(\Gamma)$. (2) It suffices to assume S = Spec(R), where R is a *local artin ring*.

(3) By the exact sequence $G/G^0 = G^{et}$ if suffices to consider G a *local group scheme*. In that case the residue characteristic of R is positive, call it p, and $\operatorname{rank}(G/R) = p^n$ for some integer n. (4) Extending R we can assume $R/\mathfrak{m}_R = k$ is algebraically closed. I do not have a definite, final approach to the question whether a finite group scheme is annihilated by its rank.

I present some examples, and some questions one can ask in order to try to achieve progress. This is just a very small list of the various approaches I tried.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

3 Some examples and questions

3.1 TO group schemes

Group schemes of rank p are classified over a base with mild conditions. In particular if R is a complete local ring with residue characteristic p:

Theorem (John Tate - FO).

(1) For a noetherian complete local ring R with residue class field $R/\mathfrak{m}_R = \kappa$, a field of characteristic p, and

 $a, c \in R$ with ac = p and comultiplication s(-) and coinverse $\iota(-)$

given above the result is a R-Hopf-algebra free of rank p. (2) Conversely if G is a flat R-group scheme of rank p there exist a, c, s, ι as above such that $G \cong \text{Spec}(R[x])$.

Notation.

This group scheme will be denoted by $G_a^c = G_{a,R}^c$. (3) The group schemes

 $G_{a_1}^{c_1}$ and $G_{a_2}^{c_2}$ are isomorphic R-group schemes if and only if there exists a unit $u \in R^*$ such that

$$u^{p-1}a_1 = a_2$$
 and $u^{1-p}c_1 = c_2$.

The group schemes $G_{a,b}$. Using (over a base ring R, omitted in the notation here) the group scheme G_a^c , with ac = p, writing $b = w_{p-1}c$, we define $G_{a,b}$ by

$${\cal G}_{{\sf a},b}={\cal G}_{{\sf a}}^{c}={\cal G}_{{\sf a}}^{b/w_{p-1}}={\cal G}_{{\sf a},w_{p-1}c},\;\;{\sf a}w_{p-1}c={\sf a}b=w_{p-1}p.$$

In particular, if $p \cdot 1 = 0 \in R$: $G_{0,0} = \alpha_p$.

$$\underline{\mathbb{Z}/p}_R = G_{1,0} = G_1^0$$
, and $\mu_{p,R} = G_0^{-1} = G_{0,1}$.

3.2 Semidirect products

Let *N* and *H* be (abstract) groups, written multiplicatively. Let $\varphi : H \to Aut(N)$ be a homomorphism of groups. We define the *semidirect product*

$$G = N \rtimes_{\varphi} H$$

as follows: as *sets* we have a bijection $G = N \times H$, and the group law on this product is given by:

$$(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) := (x_1 \cdot \varphi(y_1)(x_2), y_1 \cdot y_2).$$

We see that conjugation on the normal subgroup $N \subset G$ is given by

$$(1, y) \cdot (x, 1) \cdot (1, y^{-1}) = (\varphi(y)(x), 1).$$

Note that $N = \{(x, 1)\} \subset G$ and $H = \{(1, y)\} \subset G$ are subgroups.

Easy considerations in theory of finite groups. The *exponent* of G is the minimum for $\operatorname{order}(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

Suppose the exponent of G is a prime number p. Does this imply G is commutative?

For p = 2: any G of exponent 2 is commutative. Proof: $e = (xy)^2 = xyxy$ hence $xy = y^{-1}x^{-1} = yx$.

For $p \ge 2$: Heisenberg groups, **Construction of** UT(3,p). Let *G* be the group generated by *x* and *y* with

$$z := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy, \quad xz = zx, \quad yz = zy, \quad x^p = y^p = z^p = e.$$

We see xy = yxz, the subgroups $\langle x, z \rangle$ and $\langle y, z \rangle$ are normal in *G*, every element can be written in a unique way as $x^{u}y^{v}z^{w}$, with $0 \leq u, v, w < p$, we have

$$G \cong \langle x, z \rangle \rtimes \langle y \rangle \cong \langle y, z \rangle \rtimes \langle x \rangle,$$

the order of G equals p^3 and G is not commutative.

The exponent of a Heisenberg group

p = 2 The Heisenberg group UT(3,2) $\cong D_8$ is the dihedral group of order 8, it is non-commutative, and its exponent is 4.

p > 2 The Heisenberg group UT(3,p) is non-commutative and its exponent is p. **Proof.** Show that

$$(yxz)^k = y^k x^k z^{1+2+\dots+k};$$

note that 1 + 2 is not divisible by 2, and that for p > 2 the integer $1 + 2 + \cdots + p = p(p+1)/2$ is divisible by p.

Conclusion: for p > 2 there exists a non-commutative group of exponent p.

This Heisenberg group can be defined with the help of 3×3 matrices. I leave that interesting topic to the audience.

3.3 Question A: existence of flat subgroup schemes?

Note:

any finite p-group has a non-trivial centre (not true for group schemes), a group of order p^2 is commutative (not true for group schemes).

Method (?!): try to "transplant an idea that works for abstract groups into the theory of group schemes". We saw the wonderful theorem of Deligne for commutative groups. Here is another attempt:

Question A. Let $G \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be a finite local group scheme over a local artin ring (say, of rank p^n with $n \ge 2$). Does G contain a subgroup scheme $\{e\} \subsetneq N \gneqq G$ flat over S?

Comment. A positive answer to this question would solve our problem (apply induction on the rank of *G*). For any non-trivial *G* and $R \neq R/\mathfrak{m}_R$ the answer is "yes" for the question where you drop the condition *N* is flat over *R*.

3.4 A non-commutative group scheme of rank p^2

An easy way to remember the construction over a field of characteristic p is:

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{p} & lpha_{p} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This group scheme \mathcal{T} has the following properties:

- ▶ rank(T) = p² and T is non-commutative;

$$s(\rho) = \rho \otimes \rho, \quad s(\sigma) = \rho \otimes \sigma + \sigma \otimes 1,$$

the augmentation is given by $\rho \mapsto 1$, $\sigma \mapsto 0$, and the coinverse is given by $\rho \mapsto 1/\rho$, $\sigma \mapsto -\sigma/\rho$; there is an exact sequence

$$e \to \alpha_p \to \mathcal{T} \to \mu_p \to e,$$

 $R[\sigma'] \leftarrow R[\rho, \sigma] \hookleftarrow R[\rho], \quad \sigma' \hookleftarrow \sigma,$

where the normal subgroup $\alpha_p \subset \mathcal{T}$ is given by $\rho \mapsto 1$ and there is a subgroup $\mu_p \subset \mathcal{T}$ given by $\sigma = 0$;

in fact

 $\mathcal{T} = \alpha_p \rtimes \mu_p$, given by the natural map $\mu_p \hookrightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Aut}}(\alpha_p) \cong \mathbb{G}_m$,

and the center of this semi-direct product is the trivial subgroup $e: S \to T$.

• Note that $\varphi_1: \mu_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ and $\varphi_2: \mu_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ give

$$\alpha_{\boldsymbol{p}} \rtimes_{\varphi_1} \mu_{\boldsymbol{p}} \cong \alpha_{\boldsymbol{p}} \rtimes_{\varphi_2} \mu_{\boldsymbol{p}}.$$

• \mathcal{T} is not annihilated by p, and \mathcal{T} is annihilated by p^2 .

3.5 Group schemes of rank p^2

Theorem (Schoof, 2001). Let $k = \overline{k} \supset \mathbb{F}_p$. Suppose G is a non-commutative group scheme of rank p^2 over k. Then $G \cong \mathcal{T} \otimes k$.

As a corollary we have a classification of all isomorphism classes of group schemes of rank p^2 over k. There are 9 isomorphism classes.

- If G is non-commutative G ≅ T ⊗ k.
 For commutative group schemes:
- ▶ If G is etale with local dual, either $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2)$ or $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^2$.
- If G is local with etale dual, either $G \cong \mu_{p^2}$ or $G \cong (\mu_p)^2$.
- If G is local with local dual, as we have seen, there are 4 cases for the Dieudonné modules: (M[']_θ)², M[']_F, M[']_V, M_[FV].

Note that a finite group scheme over a field is annihilated by its rank. Hence a finite group scheme over an integral domain is annihilated by its rank. Hence we could be interested in:

Question B. Suppose R is a local artin ring and G_0 a finite group scheme over R. Does there exist an integral domain Γ , a finite group scheme over Γ , and a homomorphism $\Gamma \to R$ such that $G \otimes_{\Gamma} R \cong R$?

3.7 Question C: non-commutative group schemes of rank p^2

It is know that for a field $K \supset \mathbb{F}_p$ and the non-commutative group scheme $H_0 = \mathcal{T}$ of rank p^2 constructed in 3.4, and a lifting of H_0 to a local artin ring $R \to K$, with G finite over R and $H \otimes_R K \cong \mathcal{T} = H_0$

then
$$0 = p \cdot 1 \in R$$
.

Hence, naturally the following question comes up:

Question C. Suppose R is a local artin ring, residue characteristic p and G is a finite, non-commutative group scheme over R of rank p^2 . Does this imply $0 = p \cdot 1 \in R$?

We have a short break now.

4 Moduli, lifting problems, deformation theory

Please see te notes for explanation, examples and much more. We use one construction.

For a given $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we study triples (R, N, β) , were R is a commutative ring with $1 \in R$, and $N = \operatorname{Spec}(E) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is a (finite) group scheme, with augmentation ideal $I = I_E = \operatorname{Ker}(E \to R)$, and

$$\beta: R^{m-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} I$$

is an isomorphism of *R*-modules. This implies that *E* is *R*-free hence *R*-flat. Note that the interesting case that *R* is a local ring and *E* is finitely generated and flat implies that *E* is *R*-projective, hence *E* is *R*-free, and *I* is *R*-free of rank equal to $\operatorname{rank}(E/R) - 1$. We show that $R \mapsto (R, N, \beta)$ defines a representable functor. **Theorem.** There exists such a triple $(\mathcal{R}^{(m)}, \mathcal{N}^{(m)}, \beta^{(m)})$, we write $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{(m)}, \mathcal{N}^{(m)} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(m)})$, such that for any triple (R, N, β) there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{R}^{(m)} \to R$ such that

$$(R, N, \beta) \cong (\mathcal{R}^{(m)}, \mathcal{N}^{(m)}, \beta^{(m)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^{(m)}} R.$$

Proof. The equations for comultiplication, coinverse, and augmentation are given by a finite number of coefficients. Use these as variables T_i ; the Hopf-algebra conditions give an ideal $J = J^{(m)} \subset \mathbb{Z}[T_i]$, write $\mathcal{R}^{(m)} = \mathbb{Z}[T_i]/J$, and define the $\mathcal{R}^{(m)}$ -Hopf-algebra $\mathcal{R}^{(m)}$ by these relations. For any (R, N, β) the coefficients in its comultiplication, coinverse, and augmentation define $\psi : \mathcal{R}^{(m)} \to R$ and the result follows.

Remark. For any algebraically closed field k the set of k-isomorphism classes of finite group schemes of rank p equals $\operatorname{GL}(k,p)\setminus\operatorname{RingHom}(\mathcal{R}^{(m)},k)$. Even in case the quotient $\operatorname{GL}_p\setminus(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(m)})$ would exist, in general $\mathcal{N}^{(m)}$ does not descend to this quotient.

Example. In case n = p = 2 we know

 $R = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[A, C]/(AC - p))$, and the structure of $R^{(2)} \subset \mathbb{A}^{(2)}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is known as is proved in the Tate-FO paper.

If n = p > 2 the structure of $R^{(p)}$ is more complicated. For Λ_p as in Tate-FO paper, the quotient of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(p)}) \otimes \Lambda_p$ by GL_p and by $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$ is isomorphic with $\operatorname{Spec}(\Lambda_p[A, B]/(AB + p))$, which is an integral domain.

It seems not easy to describe $\mathcal{R}^{(m)}$ explicitly for every *m*.

Expectation. We expect that in case $m = p^n$ any irreducible component $S \subset \text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(p^n)})$ has a geometric point $P_0 \in S(k)$ such that $(\mathcal{N}^{(n)} \mid_S) \times_S P_0 \cong (\alpha_p)^n$.

Structure of moduli spaces of finite group schemes, expectations:

The following could very well be true: consider all irreducible components of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(p^n)})$ and of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(p^n)} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$. I would guess:

the number of irreducible components of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(p^2)})$ equals 3 (?)

the number of irreducible components of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(p^2)} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ equals 5, and every of these irreducible components is a reduced scheme (??).

It might be that a precise description of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(p^2)})$ gives an answer to the question whether every group of rank p^2 is annihilated by p^2 .

Comments. Compare different situations, e.g. the (coarse) moduli scheme \mathcal{M}_g of algebraic curves of genus g > 1 on the one hand and the "moduli space" $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(m)})$ for finite group schemes of rank $m = p^n$ constructed here. Note that the automorphism group of a curve of genus g > 1 is finite, however the automorphism group of may finite group schemes is infinite. This makes the difference in constructions and in properties

For curves, the geometric points in \mathcal{M}_g : there are the infinitely many isomorphism classes of algebraic curves of genus g.

For example for m = p or $m = p^2$ the number of geometric isomorphism classes of group schemes of rank m is finite, but $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(m)})$ has infinitely many geometric points because of the rigidification $\beta : \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} I$. The "moduli space" of isomorphism classes of finite group schemes is not interesting; for geometric and arithmetic applications we have to consider the situation as described here.

5 Group schemes of rank p^2 ; a negative answer for Question C

We will see that answer to all questions A-B-C are negative, hence no progress in the annihilation-by-rank-problem along these ideas.

Question C. Suppose R is a local artin ring, residue characteristic p and G is a finite, non-commutative group scheme over R of rank p^2 . Does this imply $0 = p \cdot 1 \in R$?

In fact what is true:

Answer C. For any prime number p, for any integer $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ there exists an R with $p^r \cdot 1 \neq 0 \in R$, and $a, c, C \in \mathfrak{m}_R$ and $A \in R$ and a non-commutative $G_a^c \rtimes G_A^C$ over R. A proof can be found in the notes: study $\underline{\operatorname{Aut}}(G_a^c)$ and find appropriate R and non-trivial $G_A^C \to \underline{\operatorname{Aut}}(G_a^c)$. For later use we mention: there is a non-commutative $G_a^c \rtimes G_A^C$ over R with $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{m}_R$, and $\varepsilon^2 = p$ and $\varepsilon^3 = 0$. An afterthought. We see the curious situation that:

(non-lift) the non-commutative $\mathcal{T} = \alpha_p \rtimes_{\varphi} \mu_p$ over $K \supset \mathbb{F}_p$ cannot be lifted to a ring R in which $0 \neq p \cdot 1 \in R$

however, for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

(lift) $\alpha_p \times \alpha_p$ over $K \supset \mathbb{F}_p$ can be lifted to a non-commutative group scheme over a ring R in which $0 \neq p^r \cdot 1 \in R$.

I have no good description of the moduli space of group schemes of rank p^2 .

We see there is a least one irreducible component not over \mathbb{F}_p that carries non-commutative group schemes, but this component does not have a point over an integral domain of characteristic zero.

Problem. Describe all components of the moduli space $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(p^2)} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$. Is every component of this a reduced scheme?

6 Some answers: questions B and C

Question B. Suppose R is a local artin ring and G_0 a finite group scheme over R. Does there exist an integral domain Γ , a finite group scheme over Γ , and a homomorphism $\Gamma \to R$ such that $G \otimes_{\Gamma} R \cong R$?

There are many counter examples possible.

Here is an easy example. Take $a = \varepsilon = b$ with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. The finite group scheme $G = G_{a,b}$ in characteristic p does not admit a lift to an integral domain $\Gamma \supset \mathbb{F}_p$ (do you see a proof?). However this group scheme G does admit a lift to a mixed characteristic domain where p is ramified.

We will see "better" examples.

Questions A and B have a negative answer.

Question A. Let $G \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be a finite local group scheme over a local artin ring. Does G contain a subgroup scheme $\{e\} \subsetneq N \subsetneq G$ flat over S?

Question B. Suppose R is a local artin ring and G_0 a finite group scheme over R. Does there exist an integral domain Γ , a finite group scheme over Γ , and a homomorphism $\Gamma \to R$ such that $G \otimes_{\Gamma} R \cong R$?

Construction. Choose any p and $m = p^2$. Take the moduli space given by $M = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}^{(p^2)})$. Choose $0 \in M$ such that $\mathcal{N}_0^{(p^2)} \cong (\alpha_p)^2$. Let \mathcal{O} be the local ring $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{M,0}$. Define $R = \mathcal{O}/((\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}})^3)$ and $G = \mathcal{N}^{(p^2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^{(p^2)}} R$.

Comment / explanation. We see that G/R is "the universal deformation" of $G_0 = (\alpha_p)^2$ over artin rings with $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$.

Claim. The situation G/R constructed here gives a negative answer to Question A (existence of a flat subgroup scheme) and a negative answer to Question B (lifting to a domain). We fix the G/R as constructed above.

(1) Observe that G/R is not commutative, as we have seen in (lift) above. (2) Observe that α_p can be deformed over $R' = k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ to $G_{\varepsilon,0}$ and to $G_{0,\varepsilon}$. Hence G/R admits $\rho : R \to R'$ with $G \otimes R' \cong G_{\varepsilon,0} \times G_{0,\varepsilon}$.

Question A. Let $G \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be a finite local group scheme over a local artin ring. Does G contain a subgroup scheme $\{e\} \subsetneq N \subsetneq G$ flat over S?

(A) Note that $\operatorname{End}((\alpha_{p,\mathbb{F}_p})^2) = \operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_p)$. By "transport of structure" this ring operates faithfully on G/R. Suppose there would exist $\{e\} \subsetneqq N \gneqq G$ flat over R. Using the the action of $\operatorname{End}((\alpha_{p,\mathbb{F}_p})^2)$ on $N \subset G$ shows that any

$$N_{0,\psi} = \alpha_{p,\mathbb{F}_p} \stackrel{\psi}{\hookrightarrow} (\alpha_{p,\mathbb{F}_p})^2$$

can be lifted to a flat $N_{\psi} \subset G$ over R. This would imply that any $\psi = \{(1,1)\}$ would give a flat $N_{\psi} \otimes R' \subset G_{\varepsilon,0} \times G_{0,\varepsilon}$, giving an isomorphism between $G_{\varepsilon,0}$ and $G_{0,\varepsilon}$. This contradiction shows $N \subset G$ as indicated does not exist: a negative answer to Question A, a proof of the claim part A.

Question B. Suppose R is a local artin ring and G_0 a finite group scheme over R. Does there exist an integral domain Γ , a finite group scheme over Γ , and a homomorphism $\Gamma \to R$ such that $G \otimes_{\Gamma} R \cong R$?

(B) Note that $0 \neq p \cdot 1 \in R$ and G/R is not-commutative of rank p^2 . Suppose G/R could be lifted to a domain $\Gamma \rightarrow R$. Then the characteristic of Γ is not positive, hence equal to zero. We would obtain a finite group scheme of rank p^2 over the fraction field of Γ , hence an etale group scheme, over the algebraic closure a constant group scheme of rank p^2 . That is commutative by group theory, a contradiction. This shows the lift as assumed to an integral domain does not exist: a negative answer to Question B a proof of the claim part B.

I thank the organizers for giving me the opportunity to talk to you.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Thank you for your attention.

Wish you many happy mathematics years.