Singularity in Algebraic Geometry

Jungkai Alfred Chen National Taiwan Universty

2021/11/11

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Algebraic varieties are objects locally defined by zero locus of polynomial.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

For simplicity, let us assume that we are working over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Algebraic varieties are objects locally defined by zero locus of polynomial.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

For simplicity, let us assume that we are working over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

# Example Plane curve $C = (f(x, y) = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ .

1. 
$$C_1$$
 is defined by  $f(x, y) = y^2 - x^2 - x^3$ .

Algebraic varieties are objects locally defined by zero locus of polynomial.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

For simplicity, let us assume that we are working over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

#### Example

Plane curve 
$$C = (f(x, y) = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$$
.

- 1.  $C_1$  is defined by  $f(x, y) = y^2 x^2 x^3$ .
- 2.  $C_2$  is defined by  $f(x, y) = y^2 x^3$ .



#### Definition Given a hypersurface $X = (f(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ ,

#### Definition Given a hypersurface $X = (f(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ ,

 $o \in X$  is singular if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}|_o = 0, \forall i.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

#### Definition

Suppose that  $X = (f_1 = f_2 = ... = f_r = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is an affine variety of dimension m. X is singular at  $o \in X$  if

$$rk((\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}|_o)_{ij}) < n-m.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

One can define blowup a smooth point in  $o \in \mathbb{A}^2$ ,  $\pi : Y \subset \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^2$  so that

One can define blowup a smooth point in  $o \in \mathbb{A}^2$ ,  $\pi : Y \subset \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^2$  so that

1. 
$$E \subset \mathbb{P}^1 = \pi^{-1}(o);$$

One can define blowup a smooth point in  $o \in \mathbb{A}^2$ ,  $\pi : Y \subset \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^2$  so that

1. 
$$E \subset \mathbb{P}^1 = \pi^{-1}(o);$$

2. 
$$\pi: Y - E \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 - \{o\}$$
 is isomorphic;

One can define blowup a smooth point in  $o \in \mathbb{A}^2$ ,  $\pi : Y \subset \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^2$  so that

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

1. 
$$E \subset \mathbb{P}^1 = \pi^{-1}(o);$$

2.  $\pi: \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{E} \to \mathbb{A}^2 - \{o\}$  is isomorphic;

3.  $E^2 = -1;$ 

One can define blowup a smooth point in  $o \in \mathbb{A}^2$ ,  $\pi : Y \subset \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^2$  so that

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

1. 
$$E \subset \mathbb{P}^1 = \pi^{-1}(o);$$

2.  $\pi: Y - E \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 - \{o\}$  is isomorphic;

3.  $E^2 = -1;$ 

4. 
$$K_Y = \pi^* K_{\mathbb{A}^2} + E$$
.

 $\Upsilon \subset (A^2 \times [P])$   $(\chi, \chi) [z_0, z_1]$  $Y = V(x_{z_1} - y_{z_0})$ or  $Y = \{(x, y), (z_1, z_1) \mid (x, y) = (z_1 + z_1)\}$  $|\Delta^2$ 





#### Example

We have the following surface singularities. How can we compare their complexity?



#### Example

We have the following surface singularities. How can we compare their complexity?

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

1.  $S_1$  defined by  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ ;

#### Example

We have the following surface singularities. How can we compare their complexity?

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- 1.  $S_1$  defined by  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ ;
- 2.  $S_2$  defined by  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + z^5$ ;

#### Example

We have the following surface singularities. How can we compare their complexity?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- 1.  $S_1$  defined by  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ ;
- 2.  $S_2$  defined by  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + z^5$ ;
- 3.  $S_3$  defined by  $f(x, y) = x^3 + y^3 + z^3$ .





 $E \cong \text{elliptic surve (cubic in } \mathbb{P}^2)$ 

We have the following another main sources of singularities.

Definition

Consider  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with coordinate  $\{x, y\}$ .



We have the following another main sources of singularities.

Definition

Consider  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with coordinate  $\{x, y\}$ .

Consider a group action  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  by  $\sigma(x, y) = (\zeta^a x, \zeta^b y)$ .

We have the following another main sources of singularities.

#### Definition

Consider  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with coordinate  $\{x, y\}$ .

Consider a group action  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  by  $\sigma(x, y) = (\zeta^a x, \zeta^b y)$ .

Let X be the quotient space, together with  $\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to X$ .

We have the following another main sources of singularities. Definition Consider  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with coordinate  $\{x, y\}$ .

Consider a group action  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  by  $\sigma(x, y) = (\zeta^a x, \zeta^b y)$ .

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let X be the quotient space, together with  $\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to X$ .

The image of  $o \in X$  is singular of type  $\frac{1}{r}(a, b)$ .

We have the following another main sources of singularities. Definition Consider  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with coordinate  $\{x, y\}$ .

Consider a group action  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  by  $\sigma(x, y) = (\zeta^a x, \zeta^b y)$ .

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let X be the quotient space, together with  $\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to X$ .

The image of  $o \in X$  is singular of type  $\frac{1}{r}(a, b)$ .

Example We have the following examples.

We have the following another main sources of singularities. Definition Consider  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with coordinate  $\{x, y\}$ .

Consider a group action  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  by  $\sigma(x, y) = (\zeta^a x, \zeta^b y)$ .

Let X be the quotient space, together with  $\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to X$ .

The image of  $o \in X$  is singular of type  $\frac{1}{r}(a, b)$ .

Example

We have the following examples.

1.  $S_4$  is a quotient singularity of type  $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1)$ . This singularity is actually isomorphic to  $S_1$ .

We have the following another main sources of singularities. Definition Consider  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with coordinate  $\{x, y\}$ .

Consider a group action  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  by  $\sigma(x, y) = (\zeta^a x, \zeta^b y)$ .

Let X be the quotient space, together with  $\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to X$ .

The image of  $o \in X$  is singular of type  $\frac{1}{r}(a, b)$ .

#### Example

We have the following examples.

1.  $S_4$  is a quotient singularity of type  $\frac{1}{2}(1,1)$ . This singularity is actually isomorphic to  $S_1$ .

- ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

2.  $S_5$  is a quotient singularity of type  $\frac{1}{5}(1,4)$ .

We have the following another main sources of singularities. Definition Consider  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with coordinate  $\{x, y\}$ .

Consider a group action  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  by  $\sigma(x, y) = (\zeta^a x, \zeta^b y)$ .

Let X be the quotient space, together with  $\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to X$ .

The image of  $o \in X$  is singular of type  $\frac{1}{r}(a, b)$ .

#### Example

We have the following examples.

1.  $S_4$  is a quotient singularity of type  $\frac{1}{2}(1,1)$ . This singularity is actually isomorphic to  $S_1$ .

- ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

2.  $S_5$  is a quotient singularity of type  $\frac{1}{5}(1,4)$ .

Degeneration of varieties



Degeneration of varieties

Example  $X_1 \subset \mathbb{A}^3$  defined by  $y^2 - t_1 x - x^2 - x^3$ .

Degeneration of varieties

Example  $X_1 \subset \mathbb{A}^3$  defined by  $y^2 - t_1 x - x^2 - x^3$ .

Example  $X_2 \subset \mathbb{A}^4$  defined by  $y^2 - t_1 x - t_2 x^2 - x^3$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Minimal Model Program

Minimal Model Program

#### Theorem

Let *S* be a smooth non-rational surface. By contracting at most finitely many (-1) curves, one obtain a smooth surface  $S_0$  without (-1) curve, which we call a minimal surface.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Minimal Model Program

#### Theorem

Let S be a smooth non-rational surface. By contracting at most finitely many (-1) curves, one obtain a smooth surface  $S_0$  without (-1) curve, which we call a minimal surface.

#### Theorem (Mori)

Let X be a smooth projective threefold. There is a sequence of birational maps

$$X \to X_1 \to \ldots \to X_n$$

such that either  $X_n$  is a minimal model, or  $X_n$  admits a Mori fiber space.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Minimal Model Program

#### Theorem

Let *S* be a smooth non-rational surface. By contracting at most finitely many (-1) curves, one obtain a smooth surface  $S_0$  without (-1) curve, which we call a minimal surface.

#### Theorem (Mori)

Let X be a smooth projective threefold. There is a sequence of birational maps

$$X \to X_1 \to \ldots \to X_n$$

such that either  $X_n$  is a minimal model, or  $X_n$  admits a Mori fiber space.

However, we need to allow "mild" singularities (terminal singularities indeed) in order for the above program to work.

## Complexity of Singularities

#### Definition

Let X be a possibly singular variety. Let  $\pi : Y \to X$  be a resolution. We can compare  $K_Y = \pi^* K_X + \sum a_i E_i$ . Then X is said to be

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>
#### Definition

Let X be a possibly singular variety. Let  $\pi : Y \to X$  be a resolution. We can compare  $K_Y = \pi^* K_X + \sum a_i E_i$ . Then X is said to be

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

#### Definition

Let X be a possibly singular variety. Let  $\pi : Y \to X$  be a resolution. We can compare  $K_Y = \pi^* K_X + \sum a_i E_i$ . Then X is said to be

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

1. terminal if  $a_i > 0$  for all *i*;

#### Definition

Let X be a possibly singular variety. Let  $\pi : Y \to X$  be a resolution. We can compare  $K_Y = \pi^* K_X + \sum a_i E_i$ . Then X is said to be

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- 1. terminal if  $a_i > 0$  for all *i*;
- **2**. canonical if  $a_i \ge 0$  for all *i*;

#### Definition

Let X be a possibly singular variety. Let  $\pi : Y \to X$  be a resolution. We can compare  $K_Y = \pi^* K_X + \sum a_i E_i$ . Then X is said to be

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- 1. terminal if  $a_i > 0$  for all *i*;
- 2. canonical if  $a_i \ge 0$  for all *i*;
- 3. klt if  $a_i > -1$  for all *i*;

#### Definition

Let X be a possibly singular variety. Let  $\pi : Y \to X$  be a resolution. We can compare  $K_Y = \pi^* K_X + \sum a_i E_i$ . Then X is said to be

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- 1. terminal if  $a_i > 0$  for all *i*;
- 2. canonical if  $a_i \ge 0$  for all *i*;
- 3. klt if  $a_i > -1$  for all *i*;
- 4. Ic if  $a_i \ge -1$  for all *i*.

Let  $o \in X$  be possibly singular point in a surface. A neighborhood of  $o \in X$  is called a "germ of singularity".

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Let  $o \in X$  be possibly singular point in a surface. A neighborhood of  $o \in X$  is called a "germ of singularity".

The  $o \in X$  is terminal if and only if  $o \in X$  is non-singular.



The following are equivalent:





















The following are equivalent:

The following are equivalent:

1.  $o \in X$  is canonical;



The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $o \in X$  is canonical;
- **2.**  $o \in X$  is Du Val;



The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $o \in X$  is canonical;
- **2**.  $o \in X$  is Du Val;
- 3.  $o \in X$  is a singularity with Dynkin diagram A-D-E.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $o \in X$  is canonical;
- **2**.  $o \in X$  is Du Val;
- 3.  $o \in X$  is a singularity with Dynkin diagram A-D-E.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Where A-D-E singularities are given by:

The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $o \in X$  is canonical;
- **2**.  $o \in X$  is Du Val;
- 3.  $o \in X$  is a singularity with Dynkin diagram A-D-E.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Where A-D-E singularities are given by:

1.  $A_n$  is given by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^{n+1}$  (with  $n \ge 1$ );

The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $o \in X$  is canonical;
- **2**.  $o \in X$  is Du Val;
- 3.  $o \in X$  is a singularity with Dynkin diagram A-D-E.

Where A-D-E singularities are given by:

- 1.  $A_n$  is given by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^{n+1}$  (with  $n \ge 1$ );
- 2.  $D_n$  is given by  $x^2 + y^2 z + z^{n-1}$  (with  $n \ge 3$ );

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $o \in X$  is canonical;
- **2**.  $o \in X$  is Du Val;
- 3.  $o \in X$  is a singularity with Dynkin diagram A-D-E.

Where A-D-E singularities are given by:

- 1.  $A_n$  is given by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^{n+1}$  (with  $n \ge 1$ );
- 2.  $D_n$  is given by  $x^2 + y^2 z + z^{n-1}$  (with  $n \ge 3$ );

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

3.  $E_6$  is given by  $x^2 + y^3 + z^4$ ;

The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $o \in X$  is canonical;
- **2**.  $o \in X$  is Du Val;
- 3.  $o \in X$  is a singularity with Dynkin diagram A-D-E.

Where A-D-E singularities are given by:

- 1.  $A_n$  is given by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^{n+1}$  (with  $n \ge 1$ );
- 2.  $D_n$  is given by  $x^2 + y^2 z + z^{n-1}$  (with  $n \ge 3$ );

- 3.  $E_6$  is given by  $x^2 + y^3 + z^4$ ;
- 4.  $E_7$  is given by  $x^2 + y^3 + yz^3$ ;

The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $o \in X$  is canonical;
- **2**.  $o \in X$  is Du Val;

3.  $o \in X$  is a singularity with Dynkin diagram A-D-E.

Where A-D-E singularities are given by:

- 1.  $A_n$  is given by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^{n+1}$  (with  $n \ge 1$ );
- 2.  $D_n$  is given by  $x^2 + y^2 z + z^{n-1}$  (with  $n \ge 3$ );

- 3.  $E_6$  is given by  $x^2 + y^3 + z^4$ ;
- 4.  $E_7$  is given by  $x^2 + y^3 + yz^3$ ;
- 5.  $E_8$  is given by  $x^2 + y^3 + z^5$ .

If  $o \in X$  is an isolated cDV point and Gorenstein.

If  $o \in X$  is an isolated cDV point and Gorenstein.

1. *cA*: 
$$(xy + z^{n+1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$$
.

If  $o \in X$  is an isolated cDV point and Gorenstein.

1. *cA*: 
$$(xy + z^{n+1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$$
.

2. cD: 
$$(x^2 + y^2z + z^{n-1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$$
.

If  $o \in X$  is an isolated cDV point and Gorenstein.

1. *cA*: 
$$(xy + z^{n+1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$$
.

2. cD: 
$$(x^2 + y^2z + z^{n-1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$$
.

3. 
$$cE_6$$
:  $(x^2 + y^3 + z^4 + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ .

If  $o \in X$  is an isolated cDV point and Gorenstein.

1. 
$$cA: (xy + z^{n+1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4.$$

2. cD: 
$$(x^2 + y^2z + z^{n-1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$$
.

3. 
$$cE_6$$
:  $(x^2 + y^3 + z^4 + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ .

4. 
$$cE_7$$
:  $(x^2 + y^3 + yz^3 + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ .

If  $o \in X$  is an isolated cDV point and Gorenstein.

1. *cA*: 
$$(xy + z^{n+1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$$
.

2. cD: 
$$(x^2 + y^2z + z^{n-1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$$
.

- 3.  $cE_6$ :  $(x^2 + y^3 + z^4 + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ .
- 4.  $cE_7$ :  $(x^2 + y^3 + yz^3 + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ .
- 5.  $cE_8$ :  $(x^2 + y^3 + z^5 + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ .

 $o \in X$  is a quotient of a smooth or an isolated cDV point.



 $o \in X$  is a quotient of a smooth or an isolated cDV point.

1. 
$$\mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(a,r-a,1) \cong \mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,b), (r,a) = (r,b) = 1.$$

 $o \in X$  is a quotient of a smooth or an isolated cDV point.

1. 
$$\mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(a,r-a,1) \cong \mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,b), (r,a) = (r,b) = 1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

2. cA/r:  $(xy + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4 / \frac{1}{r}(a, r - a, 1, r)$ .

 $o \in X$  is a quotient of a smooth or an isolated cDV point.

1. 
$$\mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(a,r-a,1) \cong \mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,b), (r,a) = (r,b) = 1.$$

2. 
$$cA/r$$
:  $(xy + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{r}(a, r - a, 1, r)$ .

3. 
$$cAx/2$$
:  $(x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 0, 1, 0)$ .

 $o \in X$  is a quotient of a smooth or an isolated cDV point.

1. 
$$\mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(a,r-a,1) \cong \mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,b), (r,a) = (r,b) = 1.$$

2. 
$$cA/r$$
:  $(xy + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{r}(a, r - a, 1, r)$ .

3. 
$$cAx/2$$
:  $(x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 0, 1, 0)$ .

4. 
$$cAx/4$$
:  $(x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{4}(1, 3, 1, 2)$ .

 $o \in X$  is a quotient of a smooth or an isolated cDV point.

1. 
$$\mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(a,r-a,1) \cong \mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,b), (r,a) = (r,b) = 1.$$

2. 
$$cA/r$$
:  $(xy + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{r}(a, r - a, 1, r)$ .

3. 
$$cAx/2$$
:  $(x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 0, 1, 0)$ .

4. 
$$cAx/4$$
:  $(x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{4}(1, 3, 1, 2)$ .

5. cD/2:  $P \in X$  is given by  $(\varphi = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 0, 1)$  with  $\varphi$  being certain cD type.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

 $o \in X$  is a quotient of a smooth or an isolated cDV point.

1. 
$$\mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(a,r-a,1) \cong \mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,b), (r,a) = (r,b) = 1.$$

2. 
$$cA/r$$
:  $(xy + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{r}(a, r - a, 1, r)$ .

3. 
$$cAx/2$$
:  $(x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 0, 1, 0)$ .

4. 
$$cAx/4$$
:  $(x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{4}(1, 3, 1, 2).$ 

- 5. cD/2:  $P \in X$  is given by  $(\varphi = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 0, 1)$  with  $\varphi$  being certain cD type.
- cD/3: P ∈ X is given as (φ = 0) ⊂ C<sup>4</sup>/<sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub>(0, 2, 1, 1) with φ being certain cD type.

 $o \in X$  is a quotient of a smooth or an isolated cDV point.

1. 
$$\mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(a,r-a,1) \cong \mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,b), (r,a) = (r,b) = 1.$$

2. 
$$cA/r$$
:  $(xy + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{r}(a, r - a, 1, r)$ .

3. 
$$cAx/2$$
:  $(x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 0, 1, 0)$ .

4. 
$$cAx/4$$
:  $(x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{4}(1, 3, 1, 2)$ .

- 5. cD/2:  $P \in X$  is given by  $(\varphi = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 0, 1)$  with  $\varphi$  being certain cD type.
- 6. cD/3:  $P \in X$  is given as  $(\varphi = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{3}(0, 2, 1, 1)$  with  $\varphi$  being certain cD type.

7. 
$$cE/2$$
:  $(x^2 + y^3 + yg(z, u) + h(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 0, 1, 1)$ .

## Further Studies and Developments

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ のへで

## Further Studies and Developments

method of toric varieties for quotient singularities;

# Further Studies and Developments

- method of toric varieties for quotient singularities;
- singularities of pairs (X, △), where △ is a divisor (or Q-divisor, ℝ-divisor) on X;

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ
## Further Studies and Developments

- method of toric varieties for quotient singularities;
- Singularities of pairs (X, △), where △ is a divisor (or Q-divisor, ℝ-divisor) on X;

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

singularities in positive characteristic.

Thank you!